No

Course Content: Correct Level? No More variety? No More theory, less experiment? Yes More experiment, less theory? Yes

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes No More variety? Yes No More theory, less experiment? Yes No More experiment, less theory? Yes

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day? Could be more planned

No

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disectori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

		X TOTALISMO !	chool 2012: Linia, Peru	
Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No	
	More variety?	Yes	No	
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No	
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes		
greet a	·			
For those wh 1) Course str	o also attended other CTEQ Sun ructure and content 2) Location.	ımer Schools, pl Use back of pape	ease compare or if necessary.	
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pac			
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing	? Fire, R	ocitation could be	ise shader
Location:	Enough to do during free time/s	free day? No	, could have ende	ed a little
earlier 307	hat we had some	free tru	e in the event	*
Comments on Lectu	res:			
	our overall evaluation of our Sur opinion of what is right or wro or reference a list of speakers is b			the wrong apply for
Sterman (Intro)	Schwartz (Je		•	
Garcia (SM)	Hoeche (Mor		Discertori (CMS)	
Owens (Direct Pho	oton) Ravindran (H	liggs)	Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond Sl	M
Olness (DIS)	Ducati (Diffr	action)	Gago (Neutrinos)	VI)
Cordero (VecBos)	Roser (Heava	(Onoska)	N. C. C.	rontier)
Cordero (NLO Too	ols) Stump (PDFs)		
Beyond sta u	woolel could have the	reen a po	owes point discu	usion
Material CI	raixe boarar especia	Ch zindo	Theye were so	many
participalis	Colent anticolly to	OR abou	on The process it	ield)
Moute/ Careo o	experiment foliats	as well +	it was more.	tup backer
applicable co	exposition for the wis	ts,		
Jesuna cum	all at Physics bei	lding ha	d bad acoustics	s so it
The lecense in	esperimentally to experimentally to experimentally to lation for metally to all at Physics being car.	$\overline{\mathbf{I}}$	2 1	
was nar	ear. O grganisation, Fred	Hess an	of Koser's helpfe	ed advice
Overall, good	g yacun son in girilla			

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

It was fine

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Maybe Lectures of Ih instead of 1.5h

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

For visitors may be it was short only sat afternoon

Maybe lesrons sat-sunday morning and free watters

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

Excellent lecturers (Ingeneral) Very good introduction by sterman, Ravindran started abit too fast, but his second lecturer, when he presented results of computations and predictions, the it want very good. Garcia was very intuitive and exportaneous and funny too, Heche was too technical and was it Caseld have been more useful if he was more illustrative, the same comment goes for Ducati. Schwartz made the topic of Jets very understondable and his extusiasm was great. Grayo was good in introducing the neutrino from historical background and goal Name: (Optional) Selim the way to recent issues and predictions All other becturers were very good.

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No	
	More variety?	The second secon	vo)	
	More theory, less experiment?		No)	
	More experiment, less theory?		10	
En dans				
1) Course str	o also attended other CTEQ Summe. ructure and content 2) Location. Use	r Schools, please co back of paper if ne	ompare cessary.	
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace to			
	inn (1	
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?	1		,
recitation	was sometimes	too	part: some	most
long too like	He focus on stude Enough to do during free time/free	lents	part: some	theory
		day?		
yes -	wonderful		talles were o	verly.
Comments on Lectu	fine!	Vasic i	v sedundant (Stevenan /-
Comments on Lectu	res:	11/2./(4)	others off-topic	
Please let us know you level? Your frank of continued funding. For	our overall evaluation of our Summer opinion of what is right or wrong or reference a list of speakers is below	er School. Which	courses, if any, were at the	
Sterman (Intro)	Schwartz (Jets)			
Garcia (SM)	Hoeche (Monte	Carlo)	Diseertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas)	
Owens (Direct Pho	oton) Ravindran (Higg	(s)	Wacker (Beyond SM)	
Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos)	Ducati (Diffracti		Gago (Neutrinos)	
Cordero (NLO Too	ola) Chama (DDT)	ŕ	Morfin (Intensity From	itier)
,	, (x o)	^	Salazar (Auger)	
UNWall,	quite good! I	enjoyed	the chance	e fo
reet and	listen to a	wide i	variety of	lle "
munuity. I	Dinner was a	much to	late: I	Was
outinery st	arving by &p	m Also	Alas inthe	11125
wrrible, esp	recially given	He In.	b at will	1 Comment
		The the	x of vniew	Wearn.
Name: (Optional)	T. Hobbs		V	

Course Content:

Correct Level? Yes No More variety? Yes No More theory, less experiment? Yes No More experiment, less theory? Yes No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? There were good breaks in the day so it didn't feel too exhaustive, perhaps it would have been nice to be would

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

have been nice to have a comple of nights without nightcap, without nightcap, without nightcap, which is the two weeks to provide of mini-break + on more chance to see local

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day? Yes, him is a great city and tour was very good

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)

Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)

Disecrtori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier)

Salazar (Auger)

First off thanks a lot for all the effort and organization that has

gove into this summer school. Every tooky here, (students included!) were very friendly and helpful - which produces a really good atmosphere to learn.

It would have been really useful to provide (abound) copy of all of the letture notes at the start of the school - this means students could make notes during the lecture, aiding active learning whilst also making a good resource.

Name: (Optional) Andrew cook for future learning. (I brought and read any bound notes from the school before the school before

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes
	More variety?	Yes May de No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes No
E. d.		
1) Course stra	o also attended other CTEQ Summer ucture and content 2) Location. Use	Schools, please compare back of paper if necessary.
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace to	n much?
	Just about right	amount. Perhaps too pintensite
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?	in the beginning
Great.	Maybe 2 discussi	amount. Perhaps too intensive on sessions are worth trying: Second one in the end
8	one before kunch,	Second one in the end
Location:	Enough to do during free time/free	day?
Cocati	on is fantastic - p	perhaps not enough time
to e	xplore during the de	but that's easily compensated
Comments on Lectur	res:	perhaps not enough fine by but that's easily compensated y a holiday just before /after
Please let us know yo level? Your frank of continued funding. For	ur overall evaluation of our Summe pinion of what is right or wrong was reference a list of speakers is below	r School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for v. Use back of paper if necessary.
Sterman (Intro)	Schwartz (Jets)	Disecrtori (CMS)
Garcia (SM)	Hoeche (Monte (Carlo) Mazini (Atlas)
Owens (Direct Phot Olness (DIS)	(11188	Wacker (Beyond SM)
Cordero (VecBos)	Ducati (Diffraction Roser (Heavy, Ov	8- (1.15th mos)
Cordero (NLO Tool	Roser (Heavy Qu Stump (PDFs)	(Titolisty I Tollicit)
Edm	1. hole for	Salazar (Auger)
T CIO	the whole	ricture a lot clearer manachine
on la vieres	that were also studio	quality of lectures in general sicture a lot clearer, connecting ed in detail before.
10-6	I in my opinion we	ie the interaction serveen
The dest of	experimentalists an	of general discussions after the lecture of very useful and rewarding the organisers and the speakers
The or ists and	I found the school	of very useful and rewarding
In get	ald like to thank	the organizers and the speakers
0.0		for excellent job done.
	C	Journal Journal
Name: (Optional)	Sergey Senkin	

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes No
More variety? Yes No
More theory, less experiment? Yes No
More experiment, less theory? Yes No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? No

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing? Hours were ok, but or earlier

first would have been nice is 6:00 instead of 6:30

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day? Yes

Comments on Lectures: The duration of the lectures was good and the quality was generally excellet.

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary*.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

Overall the summer school was excellent and the lectures were garally well pared.

I particular appropriated that there was ample apportunity to question the beckness both in the recital session and at the right cap.

The diverse range of liquids was also benchown as it has telped broaden my understanding of the areal field and in perhapsional that helped close the gap between my understanding of their and experiment

Name: (Optional) ______ hammet

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No
For those wh 1) Course str	ho also attended other CTEQ Summe ructure and content 2) Location. Use	er Schools, ple back of paper	ase compare r if necessary
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace to		
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?	, so hal	from kreaks are with soo much.
	is, beboon ton	t was a	a beit soo much.
Location:	Enough to do during free time/free	e day?	
continued funding. For	our overall evaluation of our Summ opinion of what is right or wrong or reference a list of speakers is belo	will nelp us p w. <i>Use back o</i>	
Sterman (Intro) 5 Garcia (SM) (600 Covens (Direct Photollog) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Too	Hoeche (Monte Ravindran (High Ducati (Diffract Roser (Heavy Que Stump (PDFs)	Carlo) high gs) low leve ion) high a quarks) low s	Mazini (Atlas) 5000 Wacker (Beyond SM) great and Mazini (Atlas) 5000 Wacker (Beyond SM) great and Mazini (Intensity Frontier) 5000 Salazar (Auger) don't renember
> the	"low livel" il.	chures	generally centained premisers
-> the	" high level"	lectures	contained too much milian with.

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No	Some yos, some no
	More variety?	Yes	No	4 "(
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No	
	More experiment, less theory?	¥s-	No No	

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? Too much working not evengut me

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing? Try's were too long - not enough sleep and enough

(including myself) were getting :11

Discussion section too long. Lectures were an hour,

which was fine.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Coffee breaks were nice but it would have been nice to have actual fre time to take halls. It was hard sitting all day, The day was nice but most of us were too hered from the Grit week to want to go ant

and see time city center, so he stayed in and stept.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disecrtori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

I had verer learned any of this material before, so it was a bit fast for me. Plus I felt there was this broad assumption from lecturers that we all had been exposed to this material stready. I didn't feel comfortable actury greatons to between for this verson. Some lecturers were outstruding-Matthew Schnertzs lectures on jets were by her the sest. Dan Strups were also fantaskic from Rator was the most meliconing, engaying, and interesting lecturers. George Sterman's intro lectures were difficult to follow completely, but I teel I did learn from those were some lectures that were very trathing. Thirt's diffraction technic was discountaging: She need directly of The stides, die not explain anything, had formules united down that she did not motivate at all. It was hard to hear Salazar sporting in his lecture, and I didn't get much out of this. I also did not like Jay walker's teaching style on the board. Without was to small to read in the lecture hall, and most of the lectures made no source. Too morning formular and not enough exploration. The Monte Carlo lecture would have been better it it now more practical. I use the Name: (Optional) All The time, so it would have been nive to hear

more about I from an experimental point of view, namy lecturers do not define various explicitly on Their studes, so I deen any tell Engetting wat ramble stands for what overall lecturers were broadly people who were approachable - I minhans is

very important. The signi was him, the hotel was nice except I didn't got internot in the norm, which well you forthwater the were nice and we sound have at 18611 together through this made the real seven

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Nes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?		No
	More experiment, less theory?		The state of the s
For those wh 1) Course str	o chally gots through to also attended other CTEQ summe sucture and content 2) Location. Use	a measurem	ent in detail juthor than flos
Length:			s 4+2+4 woodle be
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing? Leture, Speak, le dure, b	reak other	l spacing: Edissession lunch +h.C
Location:	Enough to do during free time/free	day?	
of the might of and make Comments on Lectu	uce idea for organis laps would be bother them a bit shorter res: the day lead a d	(may be) and in scension.	divide bit more organization into 2 piece the Rocking have the lect of
level? Your frank of continued funding. For	our overall evaluation of our Summ pinion of what is right or wrong or reference a list of speakers is belo	er School. Whi will help us pla w. <i>Use back of</i>	ch courses, if any, were at the wrong an for the next school and apply for paper if necessary.
Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) 2 Owens (Direct Pho Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Too	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Ravindran (Higg Ducati (Diffract Roser (Heavy Q Stump (PDFs)	Carlo) 2 gs) I ion) I uarks) 5	Disecrtori (CMS) 2 Mazini (Atlas) 2 Wacker (Beyond SM) 3 Gago (Neutrinos) 3 Morfin (Intensity Frontier) 3 Salazar (Auger) 2
-s I werall the	lecturers should be	a bit m	ore epocdinated and have
of the exp	talk (again:)): tea get from a review	ich we s	omething specific that I results specific that I specif
being very go	nd a grade for &	maline .	and 1 total NOT)
Name: (Optional)	just what I though	ht could	be improved; this is
already a	nice school and.	I would	recommend it the freends
- it would intersept volo	he great if it was	middle exp	recommend it the freezels slicet that people con

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

4+2+4

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day? Yes

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) **Ravindran (Higgs) **Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Discertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	--

I think that some lectures repeats some topics in the same way.

Name:	(Optional)	

~			
Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	(No)
	•		

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

X15-

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Mas ou, but I would introduce some hands on excercises instead of having only lectures

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

And John stay and see Lima and Pear otherwise

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary.*

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools) Underlined Lectures	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Discertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger) And cless and I have (eseac)
new motter.	were very good	and clear and I have learned
prediction, VecBos no clean explanat that I knew all of the tools-many- tr be concentra	Heuteinos, Dittere rons, Higgs the s that before thigs mentioned ted on particu	ton - too many toemoles tome with the difference but would be letter the tool and replay less
d would he so pie	TOR to have h	ands on excelecises
ale lectures of	re to Apply lesou	dedge ue gan tron
Name: (Optional)	come no todetoil	ands on excercises dedge ue gain from ed, but is the best my
		to ken benber
		BINI OCVC,

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes No More variety? Yes No More theory, less experiment? Yes No More experiment, less theory? Yes

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

NO

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

OK

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

NO, at least 2 free days would be better

No

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

Yes

No

Course Content: Correct Level? No More variety? Yes No More theory, less experiment? Yes No More experiment, less theory?

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing? Good.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day? /es

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Excellent.
Garcia (SM) Excellent. Owens (Direct Photon) Excellent. Olness (DIS) Excellent Cordero (VecBos) Great ! Cordero (NLO Tools) Goot /

Schwartz (Jets) Great

Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Very good. Mazini (Atlas) New good.

Ravindran (Higgs) Excellent

Ducati (Diffraction) Very good.

Gago (Neutrinos) Excellent

Reser (Harris On the New good.) Schwartz (Jets) Stump (PDFs) Great /

Roser (Heavy Quarks) Excellent Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Excellent Salazar (Auger) Very Sood.

Name: (Optional) Carmen Araujo

Course Content:	Correct Level?	(Yes)	No
	More variety?	(Yes)	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	(No)
errenn hit ten hillions beskille het kannisk het der konste en de en de konste kannisk de kelskelsen 11 kentende en beskille	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No.
	·	\mathcal{O}	210

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary.*

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Diseertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

This is my first. CTEQ-Fermilab School. All the lectores was fine. To me. I saw the last days the students had a little participation, my suggestion is that we can form a few groups and discuss the Hems of the lectores in the last hours.

Name:	(Optional)	
-------	------------	--

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

It was good enough.

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

OK.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

05.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary.*

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disectori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	--

Yes

Course Content:

Correct Level?

More variety? Yes

More theory, less experiment? Yes

More experiment, less theory?

No

No

No No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

YES, BETTER

FULL WEEKS

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

SHORTER BREAKS, SAME AMOUNT OF LECTURES; SONE THORE FREE TIME AFTER LECTURES COULD HAVE

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro)

Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon)

Olness (DIS)

Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools) Schwartz (Jets)

Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs)

Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks)

Stump (PDFs)

Disecrtori (CMS)

Mazini (Atlas)

Wacker (Beyond SM)

Gago (Neutrinos)

Morfin (Intensity Frontier)

Salazar (Auger)

Name:	(Optional)	

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	(Yes)	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	$\overline{\overline{N}}$
	·		

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

No, but it could be extended to include more Hours of class per day, spacing?

Schedule:

It was appropriate.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disectori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	--

In general, all corses where good, but maybe there could be an extra more information on renormalisation at the SM course.

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

No, I' wosn'Y, I agree with The number of days.

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

a agree with the Hours of Class per days

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

I guess, it should be a place where I can do Comments on Lectures: Vopics relate with the School

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

Think every course was of the right level. However I guess that each presentation should be complete. For example,

50x theoretical, 50x Experimental. It must be well prepared (using

softward for the presentation of any topic)

Name:	(Optional)		
-------	------------	--	--

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes No More variety? Yes No More theory, less experiment? Yes More experiment, less theory? Yes For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary. Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? It was just right. Less would have been too little context; more would have been too Length: Hours of class per day, spacing? It was fine. Coffee breaks might seem long at first, but you and up nearing that much time between lectures. Schedule: Enough to do during free time/free day? Yes . In fact there were Location: for more things to do than what you could act ally become with the amount of free time. Comments on Lectures: Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary. Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disectori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger) The everall level was correct. I understood most of what was closer to my own work and learned some basics of the other things while acquiring good references for future analysis. In this sense, I really liked the mixture Recitations were really good and useful and Nightcaps offered off-topic guestions and detailed explanations.

Name: (Optional) Ivan A. Davidovidy

Most leatures were really good; beyond that it comes to a mother of toste regarding each tecturer's style.

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes V	No
	More variety?	Yes ~	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No W
	More experiment, less theory?	Vec	Na ·

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Time dunation is good enough,

Schedule:

Lectures can be of 12 hour, then I hown break.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

of WEU be good if the classes will finish everyday before 6 pm. so that we can get some three time everyday.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disectori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	--

All lectures are conceptual and every speaker presented them very nicely. Since 9 am a beginning PhD student, all the bectunes as work very useful for mo. There can be 4 lectures on Beyond sturdard model so that concepts 2nd different rodels can be discussed. There can be lectures on Statistics used in emperimental analysis and Instrumentation.

Name:	(Optional)	
-------	------------	--

MOST OF THE LECTURES **Course Content:** Correct Level? Yes No THE VARIETY IS More variety? No Yes GOOD More theory, less experiment? Yes No IT DEPENDS OF THE More experiment, less theory? Yes PARTICIPANTS

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

IT WAS OK.

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

ONE HOUR CLASS ARE FINE.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

MAY DE THIS PREE DAYS NOWLD BE BETTER,

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary*.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disectori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

POF'S AND JETS LECTURES WERE GREAT BECAUSE THEN START FROM THE BASICS

Name:	(Optional)			
-------	------------	--	--	--

Course Content:

Correct Level?

Yes

No

More variety?

Yes

(No)

More theory, less experiment?

Yes

No

More experiment, less theory?

Yes

No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary*.

Sterman (Intro)
Garcia (SM)
Owens (Direct Photon)
Olness (DIS)
Cordero (VecBos)
Cordero (NLO Tools)

Schwartz (Jets)
Hoeche (Monte Carlo)
Ravindran (Higgs)
Ducati (Diffraction)
Roser (Heavy Quarks)
Stump (PDFs)

Disectori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM)

Gago (Neutrinos)

Morfin (Intensity Frontier)

Salazar (Auger)

Name:	(Optional)	

Course Content:

Correct Level?

Yes

No

More variety?

Yes

No

More theory, less experiment?

Yes

No

More experiment, less theory?

Yes

No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Better, 4+2+7

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

6 K

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Mo, 2 day.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) ±

Schwartz (Jets) 👲

Disecrtori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) +

Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) /

Hoeche (Monte Carlo) 5 Ravindran (Higgs) 🗸 Ducati (Diffraction) ±

Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos)

Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)

Roser (Heavy Quarks) ± Stump (PDFs) +

Morfin (Intensity Frontier) / Salazar (Auger) 1

My opinion of speakers is;

- good level - Know your courses but med your promotation is

- Varight

- X= Wrong

- t= more 1css

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

OK

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Maybe 2 parce day Weekerd

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary*.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disecrtori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes -No More variety? Yes No -More theory, less experiment? Yes L No More experiment, less theory? Yes No -For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary. Length: Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? We could add an extra lective per day and save couple of days Hours of class per day, spacing? Schedule: Location: Enough to do during free time/free day? **Comments on Lectures:** Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary. Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disectori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger) I think Prof. Sterman did a Good Job and the level of his lectors Were Highe Prof. schwartz talk was ilominating and clear, even for people like we who doday know about set physics. In overall I think the level of the lectors was good, However It would be better if local organizers would gave the speakers more functions like better microphores as

el?	(Yes)	No
?	Yes	No
, less experiment?	Yes	No
nent, less theory?	Yes	No
,	y? , less experiment? ment, less theory?	Yes Yes Yes Yes

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

A little light but possed. ok.

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

- In general god spacing

-For people not slayin at the hotel didn't make to much sense to take the bus and then main 1 hour for the dinner. Enough to do during free time/free day?

Location:

Yes.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Excellent! Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) - Very good! Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) X Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs) Excellent!	Discertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

Hoeche d'on't provide a good introduction for such a technical subject. Level set

Name:	(Optional)	

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

No

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Just about right. Not exhers ting, pedagogic actually.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Couldn't say.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary.*

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Discertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

- Hoeche, Du carti lectures the failed to explain what their withre talking to in an introductory level.

- Schwortz, Sturp, Morfin, Waicher, Remindran, Gogs, Stermen, Olners, Grave where quite good as introductions, to the contrary.

Stump's lecture perhaps, should have been first, in order to get some inderstanding of the topics previously discorded.

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes No
More variety? Yes No
More theory, less experiment? Yes No
More experiment, less theory? Yes No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? NO

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing? I THINK IT WAS OK. MAYBE HAVING MORE TIME FOR

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day? NO, MAINUY BECAUSE WE LOST A LOT OF TIME GOWG FROM THE HOTEL TO PUCP.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary*.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger)

I THINK IT HAS BOON A MEALLY GOOD SCHOOL. I LEARN A LOT AND LOCTURES WE'RE AT THE PRIGHT LEVEL.

MAY BE "DIFFRACTION"S LOCTURE SHOULD HAVE BOON MORE BASIC (EXPLAINING DEEPLY THE NEW CONCEPTS - I'VE

NEVER HEARD ROOT (POMEMENS) OR 'REGGE THOORY' BOFFORE...-). IN MY PERSONAL OPINION, STEMMEN'S

LECTURES WE'RE THE BOST ONDS.

Name:	(Optional)	
-------	------------	--

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No	
	More variety?	Yes	No	
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No	
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No	
For those wh 1) Course str	no also attended other CTEQ Sum Fucture and content 2) Location. U	ner Schools, pl Ise back of pap	lease compare er if necessary.	
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace			
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?	OKL		
Location:	Enough to do during free time/fr		e time, becouse of (far from the universi	the
Comments on Lectu	location of res:	the hotel	(for from the universal	ity)
	our overall evaluation of our Sum opinion of what is right or wron or reference a list of speakers is be			e wrong pply for
Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photology Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Too	Schwartz (Jets Hoeche (Mon Ston) Ravindran (Hi Ducati (Diffra Roser (Heavy Stump (PDFs)	s) te Carlo) iggs) ction) Quarks)	Diseertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Fro Salazar (Auger)	
PD+s 200	"Bound SM" who	-l. 1 (.		A

"PDFs" and "Beyond SM", which had an introductory level, should have been placed in the first week part of the school, instead of "Monte Carlo" and "Jets", which were more advanced. I really enjoyed the lectures of George Sterman.

Atlas and CMS lectures were too similar to each other.

Querall evaluation: nice school?"

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	No
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No
For those wh 1) Course str	ho also attended other CTEQ Summe ructure and content 2) Location. Use		
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace to	oo much? I	5+1+5 our (5+2+5)
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?	I L	rif necessary. Levand prespare if it 5+1+5 our (5+2+5) would prespare if 2 1/2 hrs plan and an his event.
Location:	Enough to do during free time/free	day? YE	5 .
Comments on Lectu	ıres:		
LOWI HUILL	our overall evaluation of our Summ opinion of what is right or wrong or reference a list of speakers is below	Will help ne	Which courses, if any, were at the wrong plan for the next school and apply for of paper if necessary.
Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photoliness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Too	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Ravindran (Higg Ducati (Diffract Roser (Heavy O	Carlo) gs) ion)	Disectori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
Frankly	speaking, 9	Nea	lly loved all the

about letter if the spiration of letter if the spiration of letter if the spiration of letter one and half have have pream one hour. Overall the period hear heavy heaful to me.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

Course Content:

Correct Level?

More variety?

More theory, less experiment?

More experiment, less theory?

For those ; 1) Course .	who also attended or structure and conten	ther CTEQ Summer Schools, at 2) Location. Use back of p	please compe aper if necess	are ary.
Length:		1+4) at this pace too much?	Was	
Schedule:	Hours of class p	er day, spacing?		
		d amount.		
Location:	Enough to do du	ring free time/free day?		
		Yes.		
Comments on Lec	tures:			
- 0 0,1 11 0,111	CPHHOLICA WHALLS	tion of our Summer School. s right or wrong will help of speakers is below. <i>Use bo</i>	330 mlass E	ses, if any, were at the wrong the next school and apply for fnecessary.
Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Pi Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos Cordero (NLO To	hoton)] S)] Ools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	D M W Ga M Sa	iseertori (CMS) fazini (Atlas) facker (Beyond SM) fago (Neutrinos) forfin (Intensity Frontier) flazar (Auger)
Sterman, Owe	us, Olness, W	lacher ~ Excep Very great	fionally clear of examp	good lectures. and accessible, les & discussions.
& Roser	Tout.	astic discussions	of M	ore subtle aspects.
Great tw	in about f	faculty position	s from	Roser. Thank You!
Name: (Optional)	Lee			

Course Content: Correct Level? (Yes No More variety? Yes No. More theory, less experiment? (No) Yes More experiment, less theory? No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

18, perhaps I more free day would have her good to premer Hours of class per day, spacing?

Schedule:

There was no free time! Thorse bredes would be ok. A longer firm in the day to chelk imail, etc... would be weful

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

nd much free fire, so is dopont really master. There was

unough for the one free day

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disecrtori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No
	More variety?	Yes	(N_0)
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	(\vec{N})

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? $\mathcal{N}()$

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing? Spacing & length of classes is OK.

But land break should be longer (3h).

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

No. Nothing to do in Lima. Should be some nicer place.

With more sun.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. *Use back of paper if necessary*.

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
Sterman (Intro)	Schwartz (Jets)	(Disecrtori (CMS))
Garcia (SM)	Hoeche (Monte Carlo)	Mazini (Atlas)
Owens (Direct Photon)	Ravindran (Higgs)	Wacker (Beyond SM)
Olness (DIS)	Ducati (Diffraction)	Gago (Neutrinos)
Cordero (VecBos)	Roser (Heavy Quarks)	Morfin (Intensity Frontier)
Cordero (NLO Tools)	Stump (PDFs)	Salazar (Auger)
	The state of the s	

The circled lectures were very good.

The others were not. Some because the speaker was speaking too quietly and not clearly enough. And mostly it was like a review of the subject. If I knew it from before, OK, and if I didn't, it was too fast to follow so I couldn't learns a crything new. Also, the lectures should introduce about us to some physical concepts, and not just show some huge muth. formulas. That is impossible to follow on slides. If the speaker wants to show some muth. derivation, it should be done either extremly slowly, or by hand on the black board. The slides should explain more prysics and less math.

Name: (Optional)

Part	icipant Evaluation of CTEQ-F	ermilab S	School 2012: Lima, Peru	
Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	MARSTU TURBOU (Detuser)	
	More variety?	Yes	No very hard for experiment No and vice versa.	talisk
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	No una wee versa,	
1	More experiment, less theory?	Yes	No	
For those will Course st.	ho also attended other CTEQ Summe ructure and content 2) Location. Use	r Schools, ple back of pape	lagsa compana	
Length:	Was 9 days $(4+1+4)$ at this pace to longer 2 Chool \longrightarrow more	o much?	d out would be bether.	
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?			
	tess to shorter breaks	between	n would have allowed more	
Location:	which you could disc Enough to do during free time/free	nt night Use thi day?	t Cap + all the breaks in ings were overcill.	
	No fill time really!			
	Free day was good.			
Comments on Lectu	ires:			
	our overall evaluation of our Summe opinion of what is right or wrong or or reference a list of speakers is below		Which courses, if any, were at the wrong s plan for the next school and apply for k of paper if necessary.	
Sterman (Intro)	Schwartz (Jets)		Disecrtori (CMS)	
Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Pho	Hoeche (Monte	Carlo)	Mazini (Atlas)	
Olness (DIS)	oton) Ravindran (Higg Ducati (Diffracti		Wacker (Beyond SM)	
Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO To	Roser (Heavy Or	uarks)	Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)	
Shlowld barra	t and the second			
then have ev	below better to have	dronb	lunch someton, and	
		o disto	ance between unt + hotel	
Name: (Optional)				

Parti	cipant Evaluation of CTEQ-	Fermilab Sc	hool 2012: Lima, Per	·u
Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No	
	More variety?	Yes	No	
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes	(No)	
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes		
1) Course str	o also attended other CTEQ Summ ucture and content 2) Location. Us	e back of paper	if necessary.	
Length: About right to as	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace to the now ever the schedul anything (1) e.	oo much?	+ extrenely d	ifficult
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacing?		i mald has	ne preferred
The schedule snowler breaks (15 h too long and Location: There was not any break, a Comments on Lecture	Hours of class per day, spacing? Le could have been which and thou by 30 min ineffective. Maybe grade Enough to do during free time/free time/fre	none better and 2 homen hoping is held and 2 hoes hoes Mot me we. May	there the recit work is in was strff he better he dinner free.	which was injusting the form the lunch
level? Your frank o	our overall evaluation of our Summ pinion of what is right or wrong or reference a list of speakers is below	ner School. Wh	ich courses, if any, were	
Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Pho Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Too	Ducati (Diffrac Roser (Heavy C Stump (PDFs)	e Carlo) ggs) tion) Quarks)	Diseertori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Salazar (Auger)	SM) Frontier)
As I have	doorsibel above	wall 1	and maferial	of of there
PDF leeture e	erliev in the school.	Also, a mo	en neve too	o lecture complicated
was very pelas	jupical as mell as g	iving on	update on the	talks the
JAK or och, D.	interesting especi	ially the	way the spe	taker had
presented it.	The corders to	ilks deve	Lit well	to a ron-
expert and	de on effort of ience. , est	less I	Herest to un	of which
Mark Market	Lilre RSI	recially	the then I	

The Intensity trontier talk captured my afterhion move, though, certainly because of the presenter. Finally, my least favorite was the presenter. Finally, my least favorite was the diffraction talk. As a general comment, and a should say that I had a enjoyed the school, and would say that I had gaved new inouledge and would say that I had not touched for refreshed some aspects that I had not touched for refreshed some aspects that I had not touched for some time. More specifically though, I would have liked some time. More specifically though, I would have liked some time. I have greater that have possibility come more experimental or generally since graduate students have the possibility come only ove school in their grad shudent timeline. To attend only ove school in their grad shudent timeline. For example some port of a statistics lether would be to attend only ove school in more detail or at a greater use fall. It is also unfurturate that we couldn't have some some physics in more detail or at a greater cover BSM physics in more detail or at a greater length.

Course Content: Correct Level? No More variety? No More theory, less experiment? Yes No More experiment, less theory? Yes No

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much?

Appropriate.

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Appropiate, but the rea tation session.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

May be an extrafred ay lor afternoon

Comments on Lectures: about the country where the school is given.

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disectori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) (1) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) / Olness (DIS) / Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) / Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) / Stump (PDFs)

The Introduction to wonte corlo to storted at a high level, the name makes he think of a more accesible lecture, at least to stort from a more basic level and tuen

progressively & increose it.

As an extra comment, I should point out that the recitation that session is too large and lacks of the animinum dynamics in order to make it more use ful for us. Maybe to develop a sort of working groups instead for besides of it would be to helpful.

Name: (Optional) Juan Pablo Velás guez Ormaeche

the lecture lacked of the a detailed explonation of the exporting tal setup, even though it was meant to be an experiment-oriented lecture.

rating for this school is 9/10 (very good).

Course Content: Correct Level? Yes No More variety? Yes No More theory, less experiment? Yes No More experiment, less theory? Yes For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary. Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? Length: Schedule: Hours of class per day, spacing? Location: Enough to do during free time/free day? Comments on Lectures: Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary. Sterman (Intro) Schwartz (Jets) Disecrtori (CMS) Garcia (SM) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Mazini (Atlas) Owens (Direct Photon) Ravindran (Higgs) Wacker (Beyond SM) Olness (DIS) Ducati (Diffraction) Gago (Neutrinos) Cordero (VecBos) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger) Fluger Quite different topic as the rest of the school; Therefore, a chapped introduction to the astro particle physics would have been appropriate. Also, several times a more detailed description would have been helpful (e.g. measurement of u,). Otherwise, a interesting topic. Higgs: Very theory heavy. Some connections to experiments would have been nice (even though results have been presented by ATCAS & CMS talks) Name: (Optional) ATLAS &CMS: nice overview, not only focussing on recent Higgs results
Henry Quarks: More or less history lessons of Tevation; interesting, but could have
been done in one falk. neutrin

CTIFILIVI

Overall:

Special praise to the introduction lectures and the lectures about jets.

Beyond SM: blackboard not the best way to present such a broad topic in 2h.

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	No		
	More variety?	Yes	No		
	More theory, less experiment		No		
	More experiment, less theory		No		
For those wi 1) Course st	no also attended other CTEQ Suructure and content 2) Location.	mmer Schools, p Use back of pap	lease compare per if necessary.		
Length:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pa				
	No				
Schedule:	Hours of class per day, spacin	g?			
Location:	Really liked the daily schedule. Longer lectures might house been too much - the breaks were important to digest the Enough to do during free time/free day?				
Comments on Lectu	ires:				
	our overall evaluation of our Suppinion of what is right or wror reference a list of speakers is				ong for
Sterman (Intro)	Schwartz (Jo		Diseertori (
Garcia (SM)	Hoeche (Mo	onte Carlo)	Mazini (Atl		
Owens (Direct Pho Olness (DIS)		Higgs)	Wacker (Be	eyond SM)	
Cordero (VecBos)	Ducati (Diff Roser (Heav		Gago (Neut		
Cordero (NLO Too			Salazar (Au	ensity Frontier)
I really enjoyed	the school + Quand	almost a	el of the ta	NS vegas	sekul!
100 morge in	send west some of	The Mec	by talls and	and a le	evel
or anow of tand,	ng initially that	(did no	of haus - w	hich nea	sent
lgot lost qu	icely (mough red	rays (wo	uld And Ma	1, being	an
experimental	icely (Mough per ist!). For that, M	ough, 1	Mind I night	let have	found
Name: (Optional)			V		

or two really explaining the content conceptually - since I bound I was sometimes getting into the maths + equation so hast that by the end I wasn't sive what we had been talking about. In general, though I was really improved by the quality + accountibility of the tasks. The speakers have all been incredibly helpful + thienally - In particular the Q + A sessions at the end of the day are really useful + interesting.

Course Content:	Correct Level?	V	Yes	No	
	More variety?		Yes		
	More theory, le	ess experiment?	Yes	Not il more theory thous	
		ent, less theory?	yes	No more introductive lectures)	
For those wh 1) Course str	ucture and conte	ther CTEQ Summer nt 2) Location. Use	Schools, pleaso back of paper ij	e compare Inecessary	
Length:	Was 9 days (4+	1+4) at this pace too	much? Yes,	4+2+4 4 101/11/11	
Schedule:	Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? Yes, 4+2+4 would be better OR 3+1+3+1-34 Hours of class per day, spacing? OR 2+1+3+1+3 Was O.K. 1 Spacing was good.				
Location:	Enough to do do	uring free time/free	day?	to and from the	
Comments on Lectu	ires: university	Were alit a	ins rides	to and from the	
Please let us know yo	our overall evaluation	ation of our Summe	r School. Which	ch courses, if any, were at the wrong	
Sterman (Intro)		Schwartz (Jets)		Disecrtori (CMS)	
Garcia (SM)		Hoeche (Monte (Carlo)	Mazini (Atlas)	
Owens (Direct Pho Olness (DIS)		Ravindran (Higg	s)	Wacker (Beyond SM)	
Cordero (VecBos)		Ducati (Diffraction	on)	Gago (Neutrinos)	
Cordero (NLO Toc	ale)	Roser (Heavy Qu Stump (PDFs)		Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)	
Cordero (NLO Tools) Stump (PDFs) Salazar (Auger) - Hoeche (MC): To much material. A tutor al					
- Hoeche (MC): To much material. A tutorial for MC be generators would - cms 1 ATLAS tolke Those were two talks will account					
- CMS 1 ATLAS tolke Those were two tolks with exactly the					
- CMS 1 ATLAS talks Those were two talks with exactly the same content. Could be merged into one - Wacher: 3 don't see why he has to use a black loand Schwortz very good way of presenting jets. Vary allitable instructive Name: (Optional)					
- Schwartz very	good voy	of gresen	ling jets	Very Milatile instructive	
Name: (Optional)					
- Stump: also v	ery good	vayof	presentin	ng "complex" theory	

- Sterman: This was and a Nite Confine of Michael Lo renormalization in Ahour...

Overall: Good school! If there would be more free time

To think about the lectures it would be nice.

Could also have one or two futorials, you know downloading sta software and calculating cross-scations,

MC events or stuff like that.

Also hotel should be closer to lecture halls.

But in Summary I can recommend it to do other

Ph. D. Students!

Course Content:	Correct Level?	Yes	×	No	Something	ho to do
	More variety?	Yes		No	30, 61 41.9	or faces.
	More theory, less experiment?	Yes		(No)		
	More experiment, less theory?	Yes		No		

For those who also attended other CTEQ Summer Schools, please compare 1) Course structure and content 2) Location. Use back of paper if necessary.

Length:

Was 9 days (4+1+4) at this pace too much? A little. Maybe a 2-day break in the middle would be good. 4+2+4.

Schedule:

Hours of class per day, spacing?

Pretty good. Plenty of breaks, lecture length is good.

Location:

Enough to do during free time/free day?

Excellent.

Comments on Lectures:

Please let us know your overall evaluation of our Summer School. Which courses, if any, were at the wrong level? Your frank opinion of what is right or wrong will help us plan for the next school and apply for continued funding. For reference a list of speakers is below. Use back of paper if necessary.

Sterman (Intro) Garcia (SM) Owens (Direct Photon) Olness (DIS) Cordero (VecBos) Cordero (NLO Tools)	Schwartz (Jets) Hoeche (Monte Carlo) Ravindran (Higgs) Ducati (Diffraction) Roser (Heavy Quarks) Stump (PDFs)	Disecrtori (CMS) Mazini (Atlas) Wacker (Beyond SM) Gago (Neutrinos) Morfin (Intensity Frontier) Salazar (Auger)
---	---	---

Some lectures, particularly, theory ones, had some lots of isolated equations and there was maybe not enough time to work though e.g. derivations to see the corrections between them. Personally a conceptual introduction night be better in such a stort amount of time on the tran a mothermotical description. I'd prefer to work Though the equations myself once I interstand the context none clearly. Name: (Optional) James Dassoulus

Overall the sdeal was great. I lowest a lot related to my woment norte, many fusionaling Things in the field unrelated to my norte, and I met some really hateresting people (both students and to ())

and make some very vset connectors.

.

٠

.

.