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## Introduction

## Why Monte Carlos?

We want to understand

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\text {int }} \longleftrightarrow \text { Final states }
$$

## Can you spot the Higgs?



## Why Monte Carlos?

LHC experiments require sound understanding of signals and backgrounds.
$\uparrow$
Full detector simulation.
$\uparrow$
Fully exclusive hadronic final state.
$\uparrow$
Monte Carlo event generator with parton shower, hadronization model, decays of unstable particles.
$\uparrow$
Parton level computations.

## Experiment and Simulation

real life


Detector, Data Acquisition CMS, ATLAS, CDF ...
virtual reality


## Monte Carlo Event Generators

- Complex final states in full detail (jets).
- Arbitrary observables and cuts from final states.
- Studies of new physics models.
- Rates and topologies of final states.
- Background studies.
- Detector Design.
- Detector Performance Studies (Acceptance).
- Obvious for calculation of observables on the quantum level

$$
|A|^{2} \longrightarrow \text { Probability }
$$

## pp Event Generator
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## pp Event Generator



## Divide and conquer

Partonic cross section from Feynman diagrams

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\text {hard }} \mathrm{d} P(\text { partons } \rightarrow \text { hadrons })
$$

Note, that

$$
\int \mathrm{d} P(\text { partons } \rightarrow \text { hadrons })=1
$$

- $\sigma$ remains unchanged
- introduce realistic fluctuations into distributions.


## Divide and conquer

Partonic cross section from Feynman diagrams

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\text {hard }} \mathrm{d} P(\text { partons } \rightarrow \text { hadrons })
$$

Note, that

$$
\int \mathrm{d} P(\text { partons } \rightarrow \text { hadrons })=1
$$

- $\sigma$ remains unchanged
- introduce realistic fluctuations into distributions.

Simulation steps governed by different scales
$\longrightarrow$ separation into ( $Q_{0} \approx 1 \mathrm{GeV}>\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$ )
$\mathrm{d} P$ (partons $\rightarrow$ hadrons $)=\mathrm{d} P$ (resonance decays) $\quad\left[\Gamma>Q_{0}\right]$
$\times \mathrm{d} P$ (parton shower) $\quad\left[\mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow Q_{0}\right]$
$\times \mathrm{d} P$ (hadronisation)
$\left[\sim Q_{0}\right]$
$\times \mathrm{d} P($ hadronic decays $) \quad[O(\mathrm{MeV})]$

## Divide and conquer

| $\mathrm{d} P($ partons $\rightarrow$ hadrons $)=$ | $\mathrm{d} P($ resonance decays $)$ | $\left[\Gamma>Q_{0}\right]$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | $\times \mathrm{d} P($ parton shower $)$ | $\left[\mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow Q_{0}\right]$ |
|  | $\times \mathrm{d} P($ hadronisation $)$ | $\left[\sim Q_{0}\right]$ |
|  | $\times \mathrm{d} P($ hadronic decays $)$ | $[O(\mathrm{MeV})]$ |

Quite complicated integration.

## Divide and conquer

| $\mathrm{d} P($ partons $\rightarrow$ hadrons $)=$ | $\mathrm{d} P($ resonance decays $)$ | $\left[\Gamma>Q_{0}\right]$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | $\times \mathrm{d} P($ parton shower $)$ | $\left[\mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow Q_{0}\right]$ |
|  | $\times \mathrm{d} P($ hadronisation $)$ | $\left[\sim Q_{0}\right]$ |
|  | $\times \mathrm{d} P$ (hadronic decays $)$ | $[O(\mathrm{MeV})]$ |

Quite complicated integration.
Monte Carlo is the only choice.

## Monte Carlo Methods

## Monte Carlo Methods

Introduction to the most important MC sampling (= integration) techniques.

1. Hit and miss.
2. Simple MC integration.
3. (Some) methods of variance reduction.
4. Multichannel.

## Probability

$$
\text { Example: } f(x)=\cos (x)
$$

Probability density:

$$
d P=f(x) d x
$$

is probability to find value $x$.


## Probability ~ Area

## Probability

$$
\text { Example: } f(x)=\cos (x)
$$

Probability density:

$$
d P=f(x) d x
$$

is probability to find value $x$.

$$
F(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x) d x
$$

is called probability distribution.
Probability ~ Area

## Hit and Miss

Hit and miss method:

- throw $N$ random points $(x, y)$ into region.
- Count hits $N_{\text {hit }}$, i.e. whenever $y<f(x)$.

Then

$$
I \approx V \frac{N_{\mathrm{hit}}}{N}
$$

approaches 1 again in our example.

$$
\text { Example: } f(x)=\cos (x)
$$



Every accepted value of $x$ can be considered an event in this picture. As $f(x)$ is the 'histogram' of $x$, it seems obvious that the $x$ values are distributed as $f(x)$ from this picture.

## Hit and Miss

This method is used in many event generators. However, it is not sufficient as such.

- Can handle any density $f(x)$, however wild and unknown it is.
- $f(x)$ should be bounded from above.
- Sampling will be very inefficient whenever $\operatorname{Var}(f)$ is large.

Improvements go under the name variance reduction as they improve the error of the crude MC at the same time.

## Simple MC integration

Mean value theorem of integration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x) d x \\
& =\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\langle f(x)\rangle \\
& \approx\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(Riemann integral).
Sum doesn't depend on ordering
$\longrightarrow$ randomize $x_{i}$.
Yields a flat distribution of events $x_{i}$, but weighted with weight $f\left(x_{i}\right)(\rightarrow$ unweighting $)$.

## Inverting the Integral

- Probability density $f(x)$. Not necessarily normalized.
- Integral $F(x)$ known,
- $P\left(x<x_{s}\right)=F\left(x_{s}\right)$.
- Probability = 'area', distributed evenly,

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x} d P=r \cdot \text { area }
$$



Sample $x$ according to $f(x)$ with

$$
x=F^{-1}\left[F\left(x_{0}\right)+r\left(F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

## Inverting the Integral

Sample $x$ according to $f(x)$ with

$$
x=F^{-1}\left[F\left(x_{0}\right)+r\left(F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

Optimal method, but we need to know

- The integral $F(x)=\int f(x) \mathrm{d} x$,
- It's inverse $F^{-1}(y)$.

That's rarely the case for real problems.
But very powerful in combination with other techniques.

## Importance sampling

Error on Crude MC $\sigma_{M C}=\sigma / \sqrt{N}$.
$\Longrightarrow$ Reduce error by reducing variance of integrand.

## Importance sampling

Error on Crude MC $\sigma_{M C}=\sigma / \sqrt{N}$.
$\Longrightarrow$ Reduce error by reducing variance of integrand.
Idea: Divide out the singular structure.

$$
I=\int f \mathrm{~d} V=\int \frac{f}{p} p \mathrm{~d} V \approx\left\langle\frac{f}{p}\right\rangle \pm \sqrt{\frac{\left\langle f^{2} / p^{2}\right\rangle-\langle f / p\rangle^{2}}{N}}
$$

where we have chosen $\int p \mathrm{~d} V=1$ for convenience.
Note: need to sample flat in $p \mathrm{~d} V$, so we better know $\int p \mathrm{~d} V$ and it's inverse.

## Importance sampling - better example

More interesting for divergent integrands, eg
$\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{x}}$,


## Importance sampling - better example

More interesting for divergent integrands, eg

$$
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{x}}
$$

with some wiggles,
$p(x)=1-8 x+40 x^{2}-64 x^{3}+32 x^{4}$.


## Importance sampling - better example

More interesting for divergent integrands, eg

$$
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{x}}
$$

with some wiggles,
$p(x)=1-8 x+40 x^{2}-64 x^{3}+32 x^{4}$.
i.e. we want to integrate

$$
f(x)=\frac{p(x)}{2 \sqrt{x}} .
$$



## Importance sampling - better example

- Crude MC gives result in reasonable 'time'.
- Error a bit unstable.
- Event generation with maximum weight $w_{\max }=20$. (that's arbitrary.)
- hit/miss/events with $\left(w>w_{\max }\right)=$ 36566/963434/617 with 1M generated events.



## Importance sampling - example

Want events:
use hit+mass variant here:

- Choose new random number $r$
- $w=f(x)$ in this case.
- if $r<w / w_{\max }$ then "hit".
- MC efficiency = hit/N.
- Efficiency for MC events only $3.7 \%$.
- Note the wiggly histogram.



## Importance sampling - example

Now importance sampling, i.e. divide out $1 / 2 \sqrt{x}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{p(x)}{2 \sqrt{x}} d x & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{p(x)}{2 \sqrt{x}} / \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{x}}\right) \frac{d x}{2 \sqrt{x}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} p(x) d \sqrt{x} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} p(x(\rho)) d \rho \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} 1-8 \rho^{2}+40 \rho^{4}-64 \rho^{6}+32 \rho^{8} d \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

so,

$$
\rho=\sqrt{x}, \quad d \rho=\frac{d x}{2 \sqrt{x}}
$$

$x$ sampled with inverting the integral from flat random numbers $\rho, x=\rho^{2}$.

## Importance sampling - example



Events generated with $w_{\max }=1$, as $p(x) \leq 1$, no guesswork needed here! Now, we get $74.6 \%$ MC efficiency.

## Importance sampling - example



Events generated with $w_{\max }=1$, as $p(x) \leq 1$, no guesswork needed here! Now, we get $74.6 \%$ MC efficiency.
$\ldots$ as opposed to $3.7 \%$.

## Importance sampling - example

Crude MC vs Importance sampling.

$100 \times$ more events needed to reach same accuracy.

## Multichannel MC

Typical problem:

- $f(s)$ has multiple peaks ( $\times$ wiggles from ME).



## Multichannel MC

Typical problem:

- $f(s)$ has multiple peaks ( $\times$ wiggles from ME).
- Usually have some idea of the peak structure.


## Multichannel MC

Typical problem:

- $f(s)$ has multiple peaks ( $\times$ wiggles from ME).
- Usually have some idea of the peak structure.
- Encode this in sum of sample functions $g_{i}(s)$ with weights $\alpha_{i}, \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}=1$.

$$
g(s)=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(s)
$$



## Multichannel MC

Now rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} f(s) d s & =\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} g(s) d s \\
& =\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} g_{i}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $g_{i}(s) d s=d \rho_{i}$ (inverting the integral).

## Multichannel MC

Now rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} f(s) d s & =\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} g(s) d s \\
& =\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(s) d s \\
& =\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \frac{f(s)}{g(s)} g_{i}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $g_{i}(s) d s=d \rho_{i}$ (inverting the integral).
Select the distribution $g_{i}(s)$ you'd like to sample next event from acc to weights $\alpha_{i}$.
$\alpha_{i}$ can be optimized after a number of trials.

## Multichannel MC

Works quite well:


## Some Remarks/Real Life MC

- Didn't discuss random number generators. Please make sure to use 'good' random numbers.
- Didn't discuss stratified sampling (VEGAS). Sample where variance is biggest. (not necessarily where PS is most populated).
- Only discussed one-dimensional case here. $N$-particle PS has $3 N-4$ dimensions...
- Didn't discuss tools geared towards this, like RAMBO (generates flat $N$ particles PS).
- generalisation straightforward, particularly MCError $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, compare eg Trapezium rule Error $\sim \frac{1}{N^{2 / D}}$.
- Many important techniques covered here in detail! Should be good starting point.


## Hard Scattering

## Hard scattering



## Hard scattering



## Matrix elements

- Perturbation theory/Feynman diagrams give us (fairly accurate) final states for a few number of legs $(O(1))$.

- OK for very inclusive observables.
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- Starting point for further simulation.
- Want exclusive final state at the LHC (O(100)).


## Matrix elements

- Perturbation theory/Feynman diagrams give us (fairly accurate) final states for a few number of legs $(O(1))$.

- OK for very inclusive observables.
- Starting point for further simulation.
- Want exclusive final state at the LHC (O(100)).
- Want arbitrary cuts.
- $\rightarrow$ use Monte Carlo methods.


## Matrix elements

Where do we get (LO) $|M|^{2}$ from?

- Most/important simple processes (SM) are 'built in'.
- Calculate yourself ( $\leq 3$ particles in final state).
- Matrix element generators:
- MadGraph/MadEvent.
- Comix/AMEGIC (part of Sherpa).
- HELAC/PHEGAS.
- Whizard.
- CalcHEP/CompHEP.
generate code or event files that can be further processed.
- $\rightarrow$ FeynRules interface to ME generators.


## Cross section formula

From Matrix element, we calculate

$$
\sigma=\int f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \mu^{2}\right) f_{j}\left(x_{2}, \mu^{2}\right) \frac{1}{F} \bar{\sum}|M|^{2} \quad \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}
$$

## Cross section formula

From Matrix element, we calculate

$$
\sigma=\int f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \mu^{2}\right) f_{j}\left(x_{2}, \mu^{2}\right) \frac{1}{F} \bar{\sum}|M|^{2} \Theta(\text { cuts }) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}
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## Cross section formula

From Matrix element, we calculate

$$
\sigma=\int f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \mu^{2}\right) f_{j}\left(x_{2}, \mu^{2}\right) \frac{1}{F} \bar{\sum}|M|^{2} \Theta(\text { cuts }) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}
$$

now,

$$
\frac{1}{F} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}=J(\vec{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{3 n-2} \mathrm{~d} x_{i} \quad\left(\mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}=(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(\ldots) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{p}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{i}}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\int g(\vec{x}) \mathrm{d}^{3 n-2} \vec{x}, \quad\left(g(\vec{x})=J(\vec{x}) f_{i} f_{j} \bar{\sum}|M|^{2} \Theta(\text { cuts })\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{g\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)}{p\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cross section formula

From Matrix element, we calculate

$$
\sigma=\int f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \mu^{2}\right) f_{j}\left(x_{2}, \mu^{2}\right) \frac{1}{F} \bar{\sum}|M|^{2} \Theta(\text { cuts }) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}
$$

now,

$$
\frac{1}{F} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}=J(\vec{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{3 n-2} \mathrm{~d} x_{i} \quad\left(\mathrm{~d} \Phi_{n}=(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(\ldots) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{p}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 E_{i}}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\int g(\vec{x}) \mathrm{d}^{3 n-2} \vec{x}, \quad\left(g(\vec{x})=J(\vec{x}) f_{i} f_{j} \bar{\sum}|M|^{2} \Theta(\text { cuts })\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{g\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)}{p\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

We generate events $\vec{x}_{i}$ with weights $w_{i}$.

## Mini event generator

- We generate pairs $\left(\vec{x}_{i}, w_{i}\right)$.
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- Keep event $\vec{x}_{i}$ with probability

$$
P_{i}=\frac{w_{i}}{w_{\max }}
$$

Generate events with same frequency as in nature!

## Mini event generator

- We generate pairs $\left(\vec{x}_{i}, w_{i}\right)$.
- Use immediately to book weighted histogram of arbitrary observable (possibly with additional cuts!)
- Keep event $\vec{x}_{i}$ with probability

$$
P_{i}=\frac{w_{i}}{w_{\max }}
$$

where $w_{\max }$ has to be chosen sensibly.
$\rightarrow$ reweighting, when $\max \left(w_{i}\right)=\bar{w}_{\max }>w_{\text {max }}$, as

$$
P_{i}=\frac{w_{i}}{\bar{w}_{\max }}=\frac{w_{i}}{w_{\max }} \cdot \frac{w_{\max }}{\bar{w}_{\max }}
$$

i.e. reject events with probability $\left(w_{\max } / \bar{w}_{\max }\right)$ afterwards. (can be ignored when \#(events with $w_{i}>\bar{w}_{\max }$ ) small.)

## Mini event generator

- We generate pairs $\left(\vec{x}_{i}, w_{i}\right)$.
- Use immediately to book weighted histogram of arbitrary observable (possibly with additional cuts!)
- Keep event $\vec{x}_{i}$ with probability

$$
P_{i}=\frac{w_{i}}{w_{\max }}
$$

Generate events with same frequency as in nature!

## Matrix elements

Some comments:

- Use techniques from above to generate events efficiently. Goal: small variance in $w_{i}$ distribution!


## Matrix elements

Some comments:

- Use techniques from above to generate events efficiently. Goal: small variance in $w_{i}$ distribution!
- Clear from above: efficient generation closely tied to knowledge of $f\left(\vec{x}_{i}\right)$, i.e. the matrix element's propagator structure.
$\rightarrow$ build phase space generator already while generating ME's automatically.


## Parton Showers

## Hard matrix element



## Hard matrix element $\rightarrow$ parton showers



## Parton showers

Quarks and gluons in final state, pointlike.
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- Parton shower evolution, multiple gluon emissions become resolvable at smaller scales. $\mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{GeV}$.
- Measure hadronic final states, long distance effects, $Q_{0} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$.
Dominated by large logs, terms
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\alpha_{S}^{n} \log ^{2 n} \frac{Q}{Q_{0}} \sim 1
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Generated from emissions ordered in $Q$.

## Parton showers

Quarks and gluons in final state, pointlike.

- Know short distance (short time) fluctuations from matrix element/Feynman diagrams: $Q \sim$ few GeV to $O(\mathrm{TeV})$.
- Parton shower evolution, multiple gluon emissions become resolvable at smaller scales. $\mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{GeV}$.
- Measure hadronic final states, long distance effects, $Q_{0} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$.
Dominated by large logs, terms

$$
\alpha_{S}^{n} \log ^{2 n} \frac{Q}{Q_{0}} \sim 1
$$

Generated from emissions ordered in $Q$.
Soft and / or collinear emissions.

## $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation

Good starting point: $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q} g:$
Final state momenta in one

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{q}, x_{\bar{q}}\right) \text {-plane: }
$$

plane (orientation usually averaged).
Write momenta in terms of

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{i}=\frac{2 p_{i} \cdot q}{Q^{2}} \quad(i=1,2,3) \\
0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1, x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=2 \\
q=(Q, 0,0,0) \\
Q \equiv E_{c m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Fig: momentum configuration of $q, \bar{q}$ and $g$ for given point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \bar{q}$ direction fixed.

## $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation

Differential cross section:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}}=\sigma_{0} \frac{C_{F} \alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right)}
$$

Collinear singularities: $x_{1} \rightarrow 1$ or $x_{2} \rightarrow 1$. Soft singularity: $x_{1}, x_{2} \rightarrow 1$.


## $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation

Differential cross section:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}}=\sigma_{0} \frac{C_{F} \alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)\left(1-x_{2}\right)}
$$

Collinear singularities: $x_{1} \rightarrow 1$ or $x_{2} \rightarrow 1$. Soft singularity: $x_{1}, x_{2} \rightarrow 1$.

Rewrite in terms of $x_{3}$ and $\theta=\angle(q, g)$ :
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta \mathrm{d} x_{3}}=\sigma_{0} \frac{C_{F} \alpha_{S}}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{2}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{1+\left(1-x_{3}\right)^{2}}{x_{3}}-x_{3}\right]$
Singular as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $x_{3} \rightarrow 0$.


## $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation

Can separate into two jets as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \bar{\theta}}{1-\cos \bar{\theta}} \\
& \approx \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}^{2}}{\bar{\theta}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation

Can separate into two jets as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 d \cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \bar{\theta}}{1-\cos \bar{\theta}} \\
& \approx \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}^{2}}{\bar{\theta}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we rewrite $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ in collinear limit as

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\sigma_{0} \sum_{\text {jets }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} C_{F} \frac{1+(1-z)^{2}}{z^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

## $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation

Can separate into two jets as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \bar{\theta}}{1-\cos \bar{\theta}} \\
& \approx \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{\theta}^{2}}{\bar{\theta}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we rewrite $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ in collinear limit as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \sigma & =\sigma_{0} \sum_{\text {jets }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} C_{F} \frac{1+(1-z)^{2}}{z^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& =\sigma_{0} \sum_{\text {jets }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} P(z) \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

with DGLAP splitting function $P(z)$.

## Collinear limit

Universal DGLAP splitting kernels for collinear limit:

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\sigma_{0} \sum_{\text {jets }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} P(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$



$$
P_{q \rightarrow q g}(z)=C_{F} \frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}
$$



$$
P_{q \rightarrow g q}(z)=C_{F} \frac{1+(1-z)^{2}}{z}
$$

$$
P_{g \rightarrow q q}(z)=T_{R}(1-2 z(1-z))
$$

## Collinear limit

Universal DGLAP splitting kernels for collinear limit:

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\sigma_{0} \sum_{\text {jets }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} P(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

Note: Other variables may equally well characterize the collinear limit:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} Q^{2}}{Q^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} p_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{\perp}^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{q}^{2}}{\tilde{q}^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}
$$

whenever $Q^{2}, p_{\perp}^{2}, t \rightarrow 0$ means "collinear".

## Collinear limit

Universal DGLAP splitting kernels for collinear limit:

$$
\mathrm{d} \sigma=\sigma_{0} \sum_{\text {jets }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} P(z) \mathrm{d} z
$$

Note: Other variables may equally well characterize the collinear limit:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}}{\theta^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} Q^{2}}{Q^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} p_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{\perp}^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{q}^{2}}{\tilde{q}^{2}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t}
$$

whenever $Q^{2}, p_{\perp}^{2}, t \rightarrow 0$ means "collinear".

- $\theta$ : HERWIG
- $Q^{2}:$ PYTHIA $\leq 6.3$, old SHERPA.
- $p_{\perp}:$ PYTHIA $\geq 6.4$, ARIADNE,

Catani-Seymour showers in HERWIG++ and SHERPA.

- $\tilde{q}$ : Herwig++.


## Resolution

Need to introduce resolution $t_{0}$, e.g. a cutoff in $p_{\perp}$. Prevent us from the singularity at $\theta \rightarrow 0$.
Emissions below $t_{0}$ are unresolvable.
Finite result due to virtual corrections:

unresolvable + virtual emissions are included in Sudakov form factor via unitarity (see below!).

## Towards multiple emissions

Starting point: factorisation in collinear limit, single emission.

$$
\sigma_{2+1}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}} \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} \hat{P}(z)=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)
$$

## Towards multiple emissions

Starting point: factorisation in collinear limit, single emission.

$$
\sigma_{2+1}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}} \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \mathrm{d} z \frac{\alpha_{S}}{2 \pi} \hat{P}(z)=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)
$$

Simple example:
Multiple photon emissions, strongly ordered in $t$.
We want

$$
W_{\text {sum }}=\sum_{n=1} W_{2+n}=\frac{\int|\sim|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{1}+\int|\approx|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{2}+\int|\approx|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{3}+\cdots}{|\sim|^{2}}
$$

for any number of emissions.

## Towards multiple emissions

$$
W_{2+1}=\left(\int|\sim|^{2}+\left|\left\langle\left.\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{1}\right) /|\quad /|^{2}=\frac{2}{1!} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right.\right.
$$

## Towards multiple emissions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n=1) \\
& W_{2+1}=\left(\int\left\langle\left.\nmid\right|^{2}+\right|\left\langle\left.\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{1}\right) /|\sigma|^{2}=\frac{2}{1!} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t) .\right. \\
& (n=2) \approx \\
& W_{2+2}=\left(\int|\kappa|^{2}+|\approx|^{2}+|\approx|^{2}+\left|\left\langle\left.\approx\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{2}\right) /|\sigma|^{2}\right.\right. \\
& =2^{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime} \int_{t_{0}}^{t^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime \prime} W\left(t^{\prime}\right) W\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{2^{2}}{2!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We used

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \ldots \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n-1}} \mathrm{~d} t_{n} W\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots W\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{n} .
$$

## Towards multiple emissions

Easily generalized to $n$ emissions

$$
W_{2+n}=\frac{2^{n}}{n!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{n}
$$

## Towards multiple emissions

Easily generalized to $n$ emissions
等 by induction. i.e.

$$
W_{2+n}=\frac{2^{n}}{n!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{n}
$$

So, in total we get

$$
\sigma_{>2}\left(t_{0}\right)=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k}}{k!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{k}=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)}-1\right)
$$

## Towards multiple emissions

Easily generalized to $n$ emissions by induction. i.e.

$$
W_{2+n}=\frac{2^{n}}{n!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{n}
$$

So, in total we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{>2}\left(t_{0}\right) & =\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k}}{k!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{k}=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)}-1\right) \\
& =\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Sudakov Form Factor
$\Delta\left(t_{0}, t\right)=\exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right]$

## Towards multiple emissions

Easily generalized to $n$ emissions by induction. i.e.

$$
W_{2+n}=\frac{2^{n}}{n!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{n}
$$

So, in total we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{>2}\left(t_{0}\right) & =\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k}}{k!}\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right)^{k}=\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)}-1\right) \\
& =\sigma_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Sudakov Form Factor in QCD

$$
\Delta\left(t_{0}, t\right)=\exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \mathrm{~d} t W(t)\right]=\exp \left[-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t} \int_{z_{-}}^{z_{+}} \frac{\alpha_{S}(z, t)}{2 \pi} \hat{P}(z, t) \mathrm{d} z\right]
$$

## Sudakov form factor

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mathrm{all}} & =\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{>2}=\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}\left(t_{0}, t\right)}-1\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \Delta^{2}\left(t_{0}, t\right)=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{all}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Two jet rate $=\Delta^{2}=P^{2}\left(\right.$ No emission in the range $\left.t \rightarrow t_{0}\right)$.

## Sudakov form factor $=$ No emission probability.

Often $\Delta\left(t_{0}, t\right) \equiv \Delta(t)$.

- Hard scale $t$, typically CM energy or $p_{\perp}$ of hard process.
- Resolution $t_{0}$, two partons are resolved as two entities if inv mass or relative $p_{\perp}$ above $t_{0}$.
- $P^{2}(\operatorname{not} P)$, as we have two legs that evolve independently.

