	MC@NLO		

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

Frank Krauss

Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology Durham University

CTEQ school, Pittsburgh, 12./13.7.2013



ACnot



F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO		

Outline

- 1 Introduction: Why precision in event generators?
- 2 Parton-level calculations
- 3 Parton showers
- 4 NLO improvements: Matching
- 5 LO improvements: Multijet merging
- 6 NLO improvements: Multijet merging
- Concluding remarks

Introduction		MC@NLO		

introduction: why event generators? and why is precision an issue?

F. Krauss



Physics at the LHC & the need for event generators

• proton-proton collisions at the LHC:

- processes with the highest energies ever at accelerator experiments
- characteristically, signals and their backgrounds from hard interactions, with many particles in the final state

(due to strong interaction and huge phase space)

 complex final states in many channels, hard to gain detailed quantitative understanding from first principles/analytical work

need simulation \implies event generators

IPPP

Introduction		MC@NLO		

The dual role of event generators

- dichotomy in the application/use of event generators by experiments
- "experimental tool":
 - unfolding of the detector,
 - determination of acceptance and corrections, ...
 - grasping corrections due to hadronisation, multiple interactions etc.
 - typically many parameters, allowing for more freedom
 - can be improved by improved parametrisations and tuning

(clearly, an understanding of physics helps in devising successful parametrisations!)

• "theory tool":

(this is the kind of tool SHERPA strives to be)

- accurate description of signal and background,
- extrapolation from control to signal region,
- extraction of physics through comparison with data, ...
- typically few parameters, allowing for less freedom
- can be improved by improved accuracy of underlying calculations

(this yields improved/reduced errors)

Introduction		MC@NLO		

The inner working of event generators ...

simulation: divide et impera

• hard process: fixed order perturbation theory

traditionally: Born-approximation

- bremsstrahlung: resummed perturbation theory
- hadronisation: phenomenological models
- hadron decays: effective theories, data
- "underlying event": phenomenological models



IPPF

Introduction		MC@NLO		

... and possible improvements

possible strategies:

- improving the phenomenological models:
 - "tuning" (fitting parameters to data)
 - replacing by better models, based on more physics





- improving the perturbative description:
 - inclusion of higher order exact matrix elements and correct connection to resummation in the parton shower:

"NLO-Matching" & "Multijet-Merging"

• systematic improvement of the parton shower: next-to leading (or higher) logs & colours

Parton level	MC@NLO		

Reminder: parton-level in perturbation theory



Cross sections at the LHC: Born approximation

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{ab\to N} = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x_{a} \mathrm{d}x_{b} f_{a}(x_{a}, \mu_{F}) f_{b}(x_{a}, \mu_{F}) \int_{\mathrm{cuts}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_{N} \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} |\mathcal{M}_{p_{a}p_{b}\to N}(\Phi_{N}; \mu_{F}, \mu_{R})|^{2}$$

- parton densities $f_a(x, \mu_F)$ (PDFs)
- phase space Φ_N for *N*-particle final states
- incoming current $1/(2\hat{s})$
- squared matrix element $\mathcal{M}_{p_a p_b \rightarrow N}$

(summed/averaged over polarisations)

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

- $\bullet\,$ renormalisation and factorisation scales μ_R and μ_F
- complexity demands numerical methods for large N

F. Krauss

Parton level	MC@NLO		

Complexity: factorial growth in $e^+e^-
ightarrow qar q + ng$



Number of gluons



Higher orders: some general thoughts

 obtained from adding diagrams with additional: loops (virtual corrections) or legs (real corrections)



• effect: reducing the dependence on μ_{R} & μ_{F}

(NLO first order allowing for meaningful estimate of uncertainties)

• additional difficulties when going NLO:

ultraviolet divergences in virtual correction infrared divergences in real and virtual correction

enforce

UV regularisation & renormalisation IR regularisation & cancellation

(Kinoshita-Lee-Nauenberg-Theorem)



Structure of an NLO calculation

sketch of cross section calculation

$$d\sigma_{N}^{(\text{NLO})} = \underbrace{d\Phi_{N}\mathcal{B}_{N}}_{\text{Born}} + \underbrace{d\Phi_{N}\mathcal{V}_{N}}_{\text{renormalised}} + \underbrace{d\Phi_{N+1}\mathcal{R}_{N}}_{\text{real correction}}$$

$$= d\Phi_{N} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_{N} + \mathcal{V}_{N} + \mathcal{B}_{N} \otimes S \end{bmatrix} + d\Phi_{N+1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_{N} - \mathcal{B}_{N} \otimes dS \end{bmatrix}$$

- subtraction terms S (integrated) and dS: exactly cancel IR divergence in R − process-independent structures
- result: terms in both brackets separately infrared finite

F. Krauss

- 4 同 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト



Availability of exact calculations for hadron colliders



Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	Parton showers	MC@NLO		

Parton showers

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ■ ● ● ● ●

F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	Parton showers	MC@NLO		

Probabilistic treatment of emissions

• Sudakov form factor (no-decay probability)

$$\Delta_{ij,k}^{(\mathcal{K})}(t,t_0) = \exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^t \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}z \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{2\pi} - \underbrace{\mathcal{K}_{ij,k}(t,z,\phi)}_{\text{splitting kernel for}}\right]$$

• evolution parameter t defined by kinematics

generalised angle (HERWIG++) or transverse momentum (PYTHIA, SHERPA)

• will replace
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}\mathrm{d}z\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{2\pi}\longrightarrow\mathrm{d}\Phi_1$$

• scale choice for strong coupling: $\alpha_{S}(k_{\perp}^{2})$

resums classes of higher logarithms

• regularisation through cut-off t_0

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	Parton showers	MC@NLO		

Emissions off a Born matrix element

"compound" splitting kernels K_n and Sudakov form factors Δ^(K)_n for emission off *n*-particle final state:

$$\mathcal{K}_n(\Phi_1) = \frac{\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}}{2\pi} \sum_{\text{all } \{ij,k\}} \mathcal{K}_{ij,k}(\Phi_{ij,k}), \quad \Delta_n^{(\mathcal{K})}(t,t_0) = \exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^t \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \, \mathcal{K}_n(\Phi_1)\right]$$

• consider first emission only off Born configuration

$$d\sigma_{B} = d\Phi_{N} \mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N})$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{0}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu_{N}^{2}} d\Phi_{1} \Big[\mathcal{K}_{N}(\Phi_{1}) \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t(\Phi_{1})) \Big] \right\}$$

integrates to unity \longrightarrow "unitarity" of parton shower

• further emissions by recursion with $Q^2 = t$ of previous emission

	Parton showers	MC@NLO		

Aside: connection to resummation formalism

• consider standard Collins-Soper-Sterman formalism (CSS):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{AB\to X}}{\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}Q_{\perp}^{2}} = \mathrm{d}\Phi_{X} \mathcal{B}_{ij}(\Phi_{X}) \cdot \underbrace{\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}b_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \exp(i\vec{b}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{Q}_{\perp})\tilde{W}_{ij}(b;\Phi_{X})}_{\text{guarantee 4-mom conservation higher orders}}$$

with

$$\tilde{W}_{ij}(b; \Phi_X) = \underbrace{C_i(b; \Phi_X, \alpha_S)C_j(b; \Phi_X, \alpha_S)H_{ij}(\alpha_S)}_{\exp\left[-\int\limits_{1/b_{\perp}^2}^{Q_X^2} \frac{dk_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2} \left(A(\alpha_S(k_{\perp}^2))\log\frac{Q_X^2}{k_{\perp}^2} + B(\alpha_S(k_{\perp}^2))\right)\right]}$$

Sudakov form factor, A, B expanded in powers of α_S

《曰》 《圖》 《臣》 《臣》

Connection to resummation formalism: log accuracy

- analyse structure of emissions above
- logarithmic accuracy in log $\frac{\mu_N}{k_\perp}$ (a la CSS) possibly up to next-to leading log,
 - if evolution parameter \sim transverse momentum,
 - if argument in $lpha_{\mathcal{S}}$ is $\propto \, k_{\perp}$ of splitting,
 - if $K_{ij,k} \rightarrow$ terms $A_{1,2}$ and B_1 upon integration

(okay, if soft gluon correction is included, and if $K_{ii,k} \rightarrow AP$ splitting kernels)



- in CSS k_⊥ typically is the transverse momentum of produced system, in parton shower of course related to the cumulative effect of explicit multiple emissions
- resummation scale μ_N ≈ μ_F given by (Born) kinematics simple for cases like qq̄ → V, gg → H, ... tricky for more complicated cases

	Parton showers	MC@NLO		

A simple improvement: matrix element corrections

(M. Seymour, Comp. Phys. Comm. 90 (1995) 95 & E. Norrbin & T. Sjostrand, Nucl. Phys. B603 (2001) 297)

- parton shower ignores interferences typically present in matrix elements
- pictorially





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- form many processes $\mathcal{R}_N < \mathcal{B}_N \times \mathcal{K}_N$
- typical processes: qar q' o V, $e^-e^+ o qar q$, t o bW
- practical implementation: shower with usual algorithm, but reject first/hardest emissions with probability $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R}_N / (\mathcal{B}_N \times \mathcal{K}_N)$

	Parton showers	MC@NLO		

• analyse first emission, given by

$$d\sigma_{B} = d\Phi_{N} \mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N})$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{0}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu_{N}^{2}} d\Phi_{1} \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}_{N}(\Phi_{N} \times \Phi_{1})}{\mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N})} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t(\Phi_{1})) \right] \right\}$$

once more: integrates to unity \longrightarrow "unitarity" of parton shower

• radiation given by \mathcal{R}_N (correct at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S)$)

(but modified by logs of higher order in α_S from $\Delta_N^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}$)

- emission phase space constrained by μ_N
- also known as "soft ME correction" hard ME correction fills missing phase space
- used for "power shower": $\mu_N \rightarrow E_{pp}$ and apply ME correction



	MC@NLO		

NLO improvements: Matching

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO		

NLO matching: Basic idea

- parton shower resums logarithms fair description of collinear/soft emissions jet evolution (where the logs are large)
- matrix elements exact at given order fair description of hard/large-angle emissions jet production (where the logs are small)
- adjust ("match") terms:
 - cross section at NLO accuracy & correct hardest emission in PS to exactly reproduce ME at order α_S (*R*-part of the NLO calculation)

(this is relatively trivial)

• maintain (N)LL-accuracy of parton shower

(this is not so simple to see)



	MC@NLO		

The POWHEG-trick: modifying the Sudakov form factor

(P. Nason, JHEP 0411 (2004) 040 & S. Frixione, P. Nason & C. Oleari, JHEP 0711 (2007) 070)

• reminder: $\mathcal{K}_{ij,k}$ reproduces process-independent behaviour of $\mathcal{R}_N/\mathcal{B}_N$ in soft/collinear regions of phase space

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \frac{\mathcal{R}_N(\Phi_{N+1})}{\mathcal{B}_N(\Phi_N)} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{IR}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \mathcal{K}_{ij,k}(\Phi_1)$$

• define modified Sudakov form factor (as in ME correction)

$$\Delta_N^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}(\mu_N^2, t_0) = \exp\left[-\int_{t_0}^{\mu_N^2} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1 \, \frac{\mathcal{R}_N(\Phi_{N+1})}{\mathcal{B}_N(\Phi_N)}\right] \,,$$

- \bullet assumes factorisation of phase space: $\Phi_{\textit{N}+1} = \Phi_{\textit{N}} \otimes \Phi_1$
- typically will adjust scale of α_S to parton shower scale

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO		

Local K-factors

(P. Nason, JHEP 0411 (2004) 040 & S. Frixione, P. Nason & C. Oleari, JHEP 0711 (2007) 070)

• start from Born configuration Φ_N with NLO weight:

("local K-factor")

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\sigma_{N}^{(\mathrm{NLO})} &= \mathrm{d}\Phi_{N}\,\bar{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi_{N}) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\Phi_{N}\left\{\mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N}) + \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{N}(\Phi_{N}) + \mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N})\otimes\mathcal{S}}_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{N}(\Phi_{N})} \right. \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}\Phi_{1}\left[\mathcal{R}_{N}(\Phi_{N}\otimes\Phi_{1}) - \mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N})\otimes\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S}(\Phi_{1})\right]\right\} \end{split}$$

• by construction: exactly reproduce cross section at NLO accuracy

• note: second term vanishes if $\mathcal{R}_N \equiv \mathcal{B}_N \otimes \mathrm{d}S$

(relevant for MC@NLO)

NLO accuracy in radiation pattern

(P. Nason, JHEP 0411 (2004) 040 & S. Frixione, P. Nason & C. Oleari, JHEP 0711 (2007) 070)

• generate emissions with $\Delta_N^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}(\mu_N^2, t_0)$:

$$d\sigma_{N}^{(\text{NLO})} = d\Phi_{N} \,\bar{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi_{N}) \\ \times \underbrace{\left\{ \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{0}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu_{N}^{2}} d\Phi_{1} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{N}(\Phi_{N} \otimes \Phi_{1})}{\mathcal{B}_{N}(\Phi_{N})} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, k_{\perp}^{2}(\Phi_{1})) \right\}}$$

integrating to yield 1 - "unitarity of parton shower"

- radiation pattern like in ME correction
- pitfall, again: choice of upper scale μ_N^2 (this is vanilla POWHEG!)
- apart from logs: which configurations enhanced by local K-factor

(K-factor for inclusive production of X adequate for X+ jet at large p_{\perp} ?)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

F. Krauss

(

	MC@NLO		

POWHEG features



• large enhancement at high $p_{T,h}$

- can be traced back to large NLO correction
- ullet fortunately, NNLO correction is also large $ightarrow \sim$ agreement

・ロト ・日下 ・日下

Improved POWHEG

S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, & E. Re, JHEP 0904 (2009) 002

• split real-emission ME as

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}\left(\underbrace{\frac{h^2}{p_{\perp}^2 + h^2}}_{\mathcal{R}^{(S)}} + \underbrace{\frac{p_{\perp}^2}{p_{\perp}^2 + h^2}}_{\mathcal{R}^{(F)}}\right)$$

- can "tune" *h* to mimick NNLO or maybe resummation result
- differential event rate up to first emission

$$d\sigma = d\Phi_B \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(\mathbb{R}^{(S)})} \left[\Delta^{(\mathcal{R}^{(S)}/\mathcal{B})}(s, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{s} d\Phi_1 \frac{\mathcal{R}^{(S)}}{\mathcal{B}} \Delta^{(\mathcal{R}^{(S)}/\mathcal{B})}(s, k_{\perp}^2) \right] + d\Phi_R \mathcal{R}^{(F)}(\Phi_R)$$



< E.

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO		

Resummation in MC@NLO

• divide \mathcal{R}_N in soft ("S") and hard ("H") part:

$$\mathcal{R}_N = \mathcal{R}_N^{(S)} + \mathcal{R}_N^{(H)} = \mathcal{B}_N \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{H}_N$$

• identify subtraction terms and shower kernels $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{S}_1\equiv\sum_{\{ij,k\}}\mathcal{K}_{ij,k}$

(modify ${\cal K}$ in $1^{{\mbox{st}}}$ emission to account for colour)

(日) (同) (三) (三)

$$d\sigma_{N} = d\Phi_{N} \underbrace{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N}(\Phi_{N})}_{\mathcal{B}+\tilde{\mathcal{V}}} \left[\Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{0}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu_{N}^{2}} d\Phi_{1} \mathcal{K}_{ij,k}(\Phi_{1}) \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, k_{\perp}^{2}) \right] \\ + d\Phi_{N+1} \mathcal{H}_{N}$$

• effect: only resummed parts modified with local K-factor

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO		

Aside: phase space/K-factor effects

(S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, & E. Re, JHEP 0904 (2009) 002 &

▲□ ► < □ ► </p>

S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schoenherr, & F. Siegert, JHEP 1209 (2012) 049)



- problem: impact of subtraction terms on local K-factor (filling of phase space by parton shower)
- studied in case of $gg \rightarrow H$ above
- proper filling of available phase space by parton shower paramount

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO		

Aside': impact of full colour

(S. Hoeche, J. Huang, G. Luisoni, M. Schoenherr, & J. Winter, arXiv:1306.2703 [hep-ph])

- evaluate effect of full colour treatment, MC@NLO without H-part vs. parton shower with $\mathcal{B}\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$
- take $t\bar{t}$ production



	MC@NLO		

MC@NLO for light jets: jet- p_{\perp}



(S. Hoeche & M. Schoenherr, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 094042)



Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

Introduction Parton level Parton showers MC@NLO MEPS@LO MEPS@NLO Conclusion

MC@NLO for light jets: dijet mass

(S. Hoeche & M. Schoenherr, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 094042)



	MC@NLO		

MC@NLO for light jets: azimuthal decorrelations

(S. Hoeche & M. Schoenherr, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 094042)



Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO		

MC@NLO for light jets: R_{32} & forward energy flow



(S. Hoeche & M. Schoenherr, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 094042)



- ▲ロト ▲暦 ▶ ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 - の Q @

F. Krauss

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

3 jets over 2 jets ratio (anti-kt R=0.5)

	MC@NLO		

MC@NLO for light jets: jet vetoes



(S. Hoeche & M. Schoenherr, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 094042)

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO		

Summary of 1st lecture

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO		

Summary of 1^{st} lecture

- reviewed higher order calculations and parton shower, with emphasis on accuracy
- these things are not blackboxes and can be understood
- systematic improvement of event generators by including higher orders has been at the core of QCD theory and developments in the past decade:
 - ME corrections
 - NLO matching ("MC@NLO", "POWHEG")



"So what's this? I asked for a hammeri A hammeri This is a crescent wrenchi ... Well, maybe it's a hammer. ... Damn these stone tools."

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Multijet merging @ leading order

F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Multijet merging: basic idea

(S. Catani, F. Krauss, R. Kuhn, B. Webber, JHEP 0111 (2001) 063,

L. Lonnblad, JHEP 0205 (2002) 046, & F. Krauss, JHEP 0208 (2002) 015)

- parton shower resums logarithms fair description of collinear/soft emissions jet evolution (where the logs are large)
- matrix elements exact at given order fair description of hard/large-angle emissions jet production (where the logs are small)
- combine ("merge") both: result: "towers" of MEs with increasing number of jets evolved with PS
 - multijet cross sections at Born accuracy
 - maintain (N)LL accuracy of parton shower





Separating jet evolution and jet production

 separate regions of jet production and jet evolution with jet measure Q_J

("truncated showering" if not identical with evolution parameter)

- matrix elements populate hard regime
- parton showers populate soft domain



	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Why it works: jet rates with the parton shower

- consider jet production in $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$ Durham jet definition: relative transverse momentum $k_\perp > Q_J$
- fixed order: one factor α_S and up to $\log^2 \frac{E_{c.m.}}{Q_l}$ per jet
- use Sudakov form factor for resummation & replace approximate fixed order by exact expression:

$$\mathcal{R}_{2}(Q_{J}) = \left[\Delta_{q}(E_{c.m.}^{2}, Q_{J}^{2})\right]^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{3}(Q_{J}) = 2\Delta_{q}(E_{c.m.}^{2}, Q_{J}^{2}) \int_{Q_{J}^{2}}^{E_{c.m.}^{2}} \frac{dk_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} \left[\frac{\alpha_{S}(k_{\perp}^{2})}{2\pi} dz \mathcal{K}_{q}(k_{\perp}^{2}, z) \times \Delta_{q}(E_{c.m.}^{2}, k_{\perp}^{2}) \Delta_{q}(k_{\perp}^{2}, Q_{J}^{2}) \Delta_{g}(k_{\perp}^{2}, Q_{J}^{2})\right]$$

/

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

First emission(s), again

(S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, JHEP 0905 (2009) 053)

$$d\sigma = d\Phi_{N} \mathcal{B}_{N} \left[\Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{0}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu_{N}^{2}} d\Phi_{1} \mathcal{K}_{N} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_{J} - Q_{N+1}) \right] + d\Phi_{N+1} \mathcal{B}_{N+1} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N+1}^{2}, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_{N+1} - Q_{J})$$

• note: N + 1-contribution includes also N + 2, N + 3, ...

(no Sudakov suppression below t_{n+1} , see further slides for iterated expression)

- potential occurrence of different shower start scales: $\mu_{N,N+1,...}$
- "unitarity violation" in square bracket: $\mathcal{B}_N \mathcal{K}_N \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{N+1}$

(cured with UMEPS formalism, L. Lonnblad & S. Prestel, JHEP 1302 (2013) 094 &

S. Platzer, arXiv:1211.5467 [hep-ph] & arXiv:1307.0774 [hep-ph])

|▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ | 圖|| 釣�?

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Iterating the emissions

(S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, JHEP 0905 (2009) 053)

(日) (同) (三) (三)

$$d\sigma = \sum_{n=N}^{n_{\max}-1} \left\{ d\Phi_n \mathcal{B}_n \left[\prod_{j=N}^{n-1} \Theta(Q_{j+1} - Q_j) \right] \left[\prod_{j=N}^{n-1} \Delta_j^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_j, t_{j+1}) \right] \right\} \\ \times \left[\Delta_n^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_n, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_n} d\Phi_1 \mathcal{K}_n \Delta_n^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_n, t_{n+1}) \Theta(Q_j - Q_{n+1}) \right] \\ \cdots \\ + d\Phi_{n_{\max}} \mathcal{B}_{n_{\max}} \left[\prod_{j=N}^{n_{\max}-1} \Theta(Q_{j+1} - Q_j) \right] \left[\prod_{j=N}^{n_{\max}-1} \Delta_j^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_j, t_{j+1}) \right] \\ \times \left[\Delta_{n_{\max}}^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_{n_{\max}}, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_{n_{\max}}} d\Phi_1 \mathcal{K}_{n_{\max}} \Delta_{n_{\max}}^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_{n_{\max}}, t_{n_{\max}+1}) \right] \right]$$

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements



ME & PS results: inclusive jets in DIS

S. Hoeche, T. Gehrmann, T. Carli, arXiv:0912.3715, data from PL B542 (2002) 193

Variation of maximum matrix-element multiplicity, $N_{
m max}$



Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

F. Krauss



ME & PS results: Inclusive trijets in DIS

S. Hoeche, T. Gehrmann, T. Carli, arXiv:0912.3715, data from PL B515 (2001) 17









F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

ME & PS results: Low-x dijets in DIS

S. Hoeche, T. Gehrmann, T. Carli, arXiv:0912.3715, data from EPJ C33 (2004) 477



Δ in bins of $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle Q^2 \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \mbox{ defined as } E^{*}_{T,\max} > E^{*}_{T\,\,{\rm cut}} + \Delta \\ E^{*}_{T\,\,{\rm cut}} \rightarrow \mbox{ minimum jet transverse energy} \\ E^{*}_{T\,\,{\rm max}} \rightarrow \mbox{ transverse energy of hardest} \\ \mbox{ jet} \end{array}$

<ロト <部ト < 注入 < 注入

F. Krauss

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

3

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Di-photons @ ATLAS: $m_{\gamma\gamma}$, $p_{\perp,\gamma\gamma}$, and $\Delta \phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ in showers

(arXiv:1211.1913 [hep-ex])



▲口▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めんの

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Aside: Comparison with higher order calculations

(arXiv:1211.1913 [hep-ex])



▲ロト▲聞▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO	MEPS@LO	

A step towards multijet-merging at NLO: MENLOPS

(K. Hamilton & P. Nason, JHEP 1006 (2010) 039 &

S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schoenherr, & F. Siegert, JHEP 1108 (2011) 123)

- combine matching for lowest multiplicity with multijet merging
- interpolating local K-factor for reweighting hard emissions

$$k_{N}(\Phi_{N+1}) = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N}}{\mathcal{B}_{N}} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N}}{\mathcal{B}_{N+1}}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N}}{\mathcal{B}_{N+1}} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N}/\mathcal{B}_{N} & \text{for soft emission} \\ 1 & \text{for hard emission} \end{cases}$$

$$d\sigma = d\Phi_{N} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N} \left[\Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{0}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{\mu_{N}^{2}} d\Phi_{1} \mathcal{K}_{N} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_{J} - Q_{N+1}) \right] + d\Phi_{N+1} \mathcal{H}_{N} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_{J} - Q_{N+1}) + d\Phi_{N+1} \frac{k_{N} \mathcal{B}_{N+1}}{k_{N} \mathcal{B}_{N+1}} \Delta_{N}^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_{N}^{2}, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_{N+1} - Q_{J})$$

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Transverse momentum of W & Z boson

ATLAS, arXiv:1108.6308, arXiv:1107.2381



F. Krauss

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Z+jets: inclusive quantities

ATLAS, arXiv:1111.2690





- < ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 臣 - の < ()

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Z+jets: jet transverse momenta

ATLAS, arXiv:1111.2690



	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Z+jets: jet transverse momenta

ATLAS, arXiv:1111.2690





- * ロ * * 母 * * 臣 * * 臣 * 三 * の < @

F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Z+jets: correlation of leading jets

ATLAS, arXiv:1111.2690



- イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト ヨー のくぐ

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Z+jets: $\Delta \phi_{Zj}$ in unboosted sample

CMS, arXiv:1301.1646



F. Krauss

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@Lo	

Z+jets: $\Delta \phi_{Zj}$ in boosted sample

CMS, arXiv:1301.1646





- ▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 - めんの

F. Krauss

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@NLO	

Multijet merging @ next-to leading order



Multijet-merging at NLO: MEPS@NLO

- basic idea like at LO: towers of MEs with increasing jet multi (but this time at NLO)
- combine them into one sample, remove overlap/double-counting

maintain NLO and (N)LL accuracy of ME and PS

 this effectively translates into a merging of MC@NLO simulations and can be further supplemented with LO simulations for even higher final state multiplicities

F. Krauss

	MC@NLO	MEPs@NLO	

First emission(s), once more

$$d\sigma = d\Phi_N \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_N \left[\Delta_N^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_N^2, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\mu_N^2} d\Phi_1 \mathcal{K}_N \Delta_N^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_N^2, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_J - Q_{N+1}) \right] \\ + d\Phi_{N+1} \mathcal{H}_N \Delta_N^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_N^2, t_{N+1}) \Theta(Q_J - Q_{N+1})$$

$$+ \mathrm{d}\Phi_{N+1}\,\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N+1}\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{B}_{N+1}}{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N+1}}\int_{t_{N+1}}^{\mu_N^2} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1\,\mathcal{K}_N\right)\Theta(Q_{N+1} - Q_J) \\ \cdot \left[\Delta_{N+1}^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_{N+1}, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_{N+1}} \mathrm{d}\Phi_1\,\mathcal{K}_{N+1}\Delta_{N+1}^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_{N+1}, t_{N+2})\right] \\ + \mathrm{d}\Phi_{N+2}\,\mathcal{H}_{N+1}\Delta_N^{(\mathcal{K})}(\mu_N^2, t_{N+1})\Delta_{N+1}^{(\mathcal{K})}(t_{N+1}, t_{N+2})\Theta(Q_{N+1} - Q_J) + \dots$$



MEPS@NLO: example results for $e^-e^+ \rightarrow$ hadrons





MEPs@NLO: example results for $e^-e^+ \rightarrow$ hadrons



	MC@NLO	MEPs@NLO	

Example: MEPs@NLO for W+jets

(up to two jets @ NLO, from BlackHat, see arXiv: 1207.5031 [hep-ex])



F. Krauss

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements



F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements



F. Krauss Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

	MC@NLO	MEPs@NLO	

Example: MEPS@NLO for W^+W^- +jets

(in prep., up to one jets @ NLO, virtuals from OPENLOOPS, all interferences, no Higgs)



- イロト (個) (目) (目) (目) ヨー のへで

	MC@NLO		Conclusion

Summary

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ■ ● ● ● ●

Matching & Merging of Parton Showers and Matrix Elements

F. Krauss

Summary

- systematic improvement of event generators by including higher orders has been at the core of QCD theory and developments in the past decade:
 - multijet merging ("CKKW", "MLM")
 - NLO matching ("MC@NLO", "PowHEG")
 - MENLOPS combination of matching and merging
 - multijet merging at NLO (MEPs@NLO, "FxFx")

(first 3 methods well understood and used in experiments)

- multijet merging at NLO under scrutiny
- complete automation of NLO calculations \approx done time to optimise the impact of this gargantuan task



"So what's this? I asked for a hammer! A hammer! This is a crescent wrench! ... Well, maybe it's a hammer. ... Damn these stone tools."