July 8, 2015, Pittsburgh

Jets

Zoltan Nagy DESY

#### What are Jets?

#### A di-jet ATLAS event



### What are Jets?

#### A multi-jet (6-jet) event



### What are Jets?

- The pT is concentrated in a few narrow sprays of particles
- These sprays are called jets.
- Events with big total pT are rather rare...
- ... but when they happen, the pT is always in jets



## Why are the Jets there?

Here is a Feynman graph for quad-quark scattering with additional radiation that can contribute to the jet events.



- Initial state
  - $\text{ If } p_1 \to 0 \text{, then } 1/(p_a p_1)^2 \to \infty$  $\text{ If } p_1 \to \lambda p_a \text{, then } 1/(p_a p_1)^2 \to \infty$

• Final state

- If  $p_2 \rightarrow 0$ , then  $1/(p_2 + p_3)^2 \rightarrow \infty$
- If  $p_3 \rightarrow 0$ , then  $1/(p_2 + p_3)^2 \rightarrow \infty$
- If  $p_3 \rightarrow \lambda p_2$ , then  $1/(p_2 + p_3)^2 \rightarrow \infty$

The probability is big to get a spray of collimated particles plus some low momentum particles with wide angle.





The jet algorithm find Jet structure at large resolution scale: one fat jet 1.1 These hadrons are part of the "beam jet" when Electron the jet resolution is crude. R H1 jet event ż



- Let us consider a 3-jet event in e+e- annihilation with the typical resolution scale Q.
- At each vertex in a diagram, there is a factor of the strong coupling,  $g_s^2/(4\pi) = \alpha_s$
- The simplest graph that contributes to this process is the tree level graph

#### Tree level graph



All the three patrons are well separated from each others and the "distance" is measured by some hardness variable like transverse momentum or virtuality.

- In the perturbation theory should consider radiative correction.
- We can consider one more gluon in the final state...



- In the perturbation theory should consider radiative correction.
- We can consider one more gluon in the final state...



- In the perturbation theory should consider radiative correction.
- We can consider one more gluon in the final state...



- Everything inside the green cone is unresolvable and integrated out.
- It is a singular integral.
- This singularity has to be cancelled. Otherwise we cannot make pQCD predictions for jet production.

4-jet configuration all the four patrons are well separated

We have to also consider the virtual corrections, thus we have graphs like...



**INFRARED SAFETY** 

- Singularities has to be cancelled between the two graphs!!!
- This cancelation has to be ensured by the jet definition!!!

## **Infrared Safety**

The jet algorithm has to be infrared safe. This means it has to be *insensitive for any small scale physics* (soft or collinear radiation).

- We construct jets from particle momenta  $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m\}$ .
- We get N jets with momenta  $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N\}$ .



- If any  $p_i$  becomes very small, we should get the same jets by leaving particle i out.
- If any two momenta  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  become collinear, we should get the same jets by replacing the particles by one with momentum  $p_i + p_j$ .

#### Jet Cross Sections

In the general case the cross section is given by

$$\sigma[F] = \sum_{m} \frac{1}{m!} \int d\{p, f\}_{m} |M(\{p, f\}_{m})|^{2} \underbrace{F(\{p\}_{m})}_{\text{Jet measurement function}} f(\{p\}_{m}) \equiv F(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{m})$$

**INFRARED SAFETY** (formal definition):

 $\sigma[F] \Longrightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{dp_T \, du}$ 

$$F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, p_{m+1}) \xrightarrow{p_{m+1} \to 0} F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$$

$$F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m, p_{m+1}) \xrightarrow{p_m \parallel p_{m+1}} F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m + p_{m+1})$$

One can consider for example the inclusive one jet cross section

Rapidity of the observed jet

$$F(\{p\}_m) \Longrightarrow \delta(p_T - \underbrace{P_T(\{p\}_m)})\delta(y - \overbrace{Y(\{p\}_m)})$$

Transverse momentum of the observed jet

### **One Jet Inclusive Cross Section**



# Jet Algorithms

- There are two kind of algorithms for defining jets:
  - cone algorithms
  - successive combination algorithms
- Both can be infrared safe.
- I will discuss just the successive combination algorithms.
- This traces back to the JADE collaboration at DESY.

#### THE KT JET ALGORITHM

- Choose an angular resolution parameter R
- Start with the list of protojets, specified by their momenta  $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m\}$ .
- Start with an empty list of finished jets, {}.
- The result is a list of finished jets with their momenta,  $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N\}$ .
- Many are low pT debris, just ignore them.

# kT Jet Algorithm

1. For each pair of protojets define

$$d_{ij} = \min\left\{p_{T,i}^2, p_{T,j}^2\right\} \left[(\eta_i - \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2\right] / R^2$$

and for each protojet define

$$d_i = p_{T,i}^2$$

2. Find the smallest of the  $d_{ij}$  and the  $d_i$ 

$$d_{\min} = \min_{i,j} \{d_i, d_{ij}\}$$

3. If  $d_{\min}$  is a  $d_{ij}$ , merge protojets *i* and *j* into a new protojets *k* with momentum

$$p_k = p_i + p_j$$

- 4. If  $d_{\min}$  is a  $d_i$ , then protojet *i* is ``not mergable". Remove it from the list of protojets and add it to the list of finished jets.
- 5. If protojets remain, go to step 1.

# kT Jet Algorithm

Why the name?

 $d_{ij} = \min\left\{p_{T,i}^2, p_{T,j}^2\right\} \left[ (\eta_i - \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2 \right] / R^2 \approx \frac{k_\perp^2}{R^2}$ 



Infrared safety of this:

- Suppose  $p_j \rightarrow 0$ 
  - Then when it merges with other protojet,

$$p_k = p_i + p_j \to p_i$$

- If it never mergers with other protojets , then it just remains as a low  $p_T$  jets a the end.

• Suppose  $p_i = \lambda p_j$ 

- Then protojets i and j are always merged at the beginning to

$$p_k = p_i + p_j$$

## Example with kT Algorithm

Here is an event from Cacciari, Salam and Soyes (2008). An event was generated by HERWIG++ along with (lots of) random soft particles.



- The detector area that goes into each jet is irregular.
- The kT algorithm has the tendency to "suck" in low pT radiation and contaminate the jets with underlaying event.

## Cambridge-Aachen Algorithm

This is a variation on the general successive combination algorithm. The only difference is in the "distance" measure.

$$d_{ij} = \left[ (y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2 \right] / R^2$$
  
$$d_i = 1$$

Only the angles count!



With this algorithm the jets still have irregular shape.

# Anti-kT Algorithm

This is another variation on the general successive combination algorithm. The only difference is in the "distance" measure.

$$d_{ij} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{p_{T,i}^2}, \frac{1}{p_{T,j}^2}\right\} \left[ (y_i - y_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2 \right] / R^2$$
$$d_i = \frac{1}{p_{T,i}^2}$$



The highest pT protojet has the priority to absorb nearby softer protojets.

The high pT jets are round.

### When Fixed Order Brakes Down

Let us consider 2photon + 1jet inclusive production and plot the di-photon pT distribution



• For this distribution the characteristic scale is

$$Q^2 = (p_{\gamma\gamma,\perp} - 40 \,\mathrm{GeV})^2$$

- The NLO distribution has discontinuity at 40GeV. It is -∞ from the right and +∞ from the left.
- The singularities are logarithms (it appears finite because of the bin smearing effect).
- The effective expansion variable is

$$\alpha_{\rm s}(Q^2) \log^2 \frac{Q^2}{(40\,{\rm GeV})^2}$$

This effect has to be summed up all order.
 NLO calculation is not enough.

We have to also consider the virtual corrections, thus we have graphs like...



When Q gets small the coupling and the logarithm blow up.



### Conclusions

- QCD gives us jets.
- Jets are real and seen in experiments.
- To measure jet cross sections, you need a careful definition of jets.
- At LHC we use successive combination algorithms, such as kT, Cambridge-Aache or anti-kT algorithm.
- The definition needs to be infrared safe.
- Infrared safety allow us to make pQCD prediction.
  - Fixed order calculations, LO, NLO or NNLO
- Jet cross sections (in general pQCD cross sections) usually suffers on large logarithms and these logarithms need to be summed up all order.
  - Summing up logarithms analytically
  - Summing up logarithm numerically by parton shower algorithms.