

Higgs II : Higgs at the LHC CTEQ Summer School 2017 Ciaran Williams



Second States (Second States) University at Buffalo

- Life of the Higgs : Production mechanisms at the LHC, Heavy top EFT.
- **Death of the Higgs:** Decays of the Higgs boson.
- **Future of the Higgs:** Outstanding issues, future measurements and prospects.





Life of the Higgs boson.



University at Buffalo The State University of New York

Higgs production XS



At pp colliders gluon fusion is the dominant Higgs production mechanism

Since the gluon is a massless particle, the Higgs couples to it via a virtual top quark loop.



The task is considerably more complicated due to the presence of the top quark loop.

You've probably seen that loop diagrams often generate infinities. Do we expect this process to have these issues? Why?

Lets see how we go about calculating this amplitude.





$$\epsilon^{\mu}(p) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{q}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{l}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{l}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{p}{ \rightarrow} \stackrel{p}{ \rightarrow} \stackrel$$

We can write the amplitude as the following tensor combination.

$$\mathcal{A} \sim A_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q)$$

If we were being smart then we would realize that the form of A is constrained since

$$q_{\nu}\epsilon^{\nu}(q) = 0$$

So we should find,

$$A^{\mu\nu} = Bg^{\mu\nu} + Cp^{\nu}q^{\mu}$$



In fact, the Ward identity completely fixes the tensor structure.

$$A^{\mu\nu} = B\left(g^{\mu\nu}\frac{m_H^2}{2} - p^{\nu}q^{\mu}\right)$$

Note that as required,

$$A^{\mu\nu}p_{\mu} = A^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu} = 0$$

We can use this to drop the more complicated structure from our calculation (i.e. we calculate B as simply as possible!)





Using the Feynman rules we find that this diagram gives us the following contribution

$$i\mathcal{A} = -(-ig_s)^2 \operatorname{Tr}(t^a t^b) \begin{pmatrix} -im_t \\ v \end{pmatrix} \int \frac{d^d \ell}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu}}{\mathcal{D}} i^3 \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q)$$

QCD Vertices Higgs Vertex Propagators



$$\epsilon^{\mu}(p) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \underbrace{\mathcal{O}}_{\ell} \stackrel{\ell+p}{\longrightarrow} - - - id_{s}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(t^{a}t^{b}) \left(\frac{-im_{t}}{v}\right) \int \frac{d^{d}\ell}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu}}{\mathcal{D}} i^{3} \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q)$$

$$\epsilon^{\nu}(q) \stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow} \underbrace{\mathcal{O}}_{\ell} \stackrel{\ell+p}{\longleftarrow} - - - - id_{s}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(t^{a}t^{b}) \left(\frac{-im_{t}}{v}\right) \int \frac{d^{d}\ell}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu}}{\mathcal{D}} i^{3} \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q)$$

We define the numerator as follows

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{Tr}\left((\ell+p) - m_t\right)\gamma_{\mu}(\ell-m_t)\gamma_{\nu}((\ell-q) - m_t)\right)$$

(implicitly defining the momenta as slashed momenta, but dropping the slashes for readability) And the denominator as follows,

$$\mathcal{D} = ((\ell + p)^2 - m_t^2)(\ell^2 - m_t^2)((\ell - q)^2 - m_t^2)$$

Lets first look at the denominator, we can use the usual Feynman parameter decomposition

$$\frac{1}{D_1 D_2 D_3} = 2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{1}{(xD_1 + yD_2 + (1 - x - y)D_3)^3}$$



$$\epsilon^{\mu}(p) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{p}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{i\mathcal{A} = -(-ig_s)^2 \operatorname{Tr}(t^a t^b) \left(\frac{-im_t}{v}\right) \int \frac{d^d \ell}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu}}{\mathcal{D}} i^3 \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q) }{\frac{1}{D_1 D_2 D_3}} = 2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{1}{(xD_1 + yD_2 + (1 - x - y)D_3)^3}$$

So we can use this trick to group all of the loop momenta dependence into one term (at the cost of additional integrals).

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} = 2 \int dx dy \frac{1}{\left[\ell^2 - m_t^2 + 2\ell \cdot (px - qy)\right]^3}$$

This doesnt look like much of an improvement, however if we make the following shift

$$\ell \to \ell - px + qy = \ell'$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} = 2 \int dx dy \frac{1}{[(\ell')^2 - m_t^2 + 2p \cdot qxy]^3}$$



We can simplify this even more since $2p \cdot q = m_H^2$

So
$$\frac{1}{D} = 2 \int dx dy \frac{1}{[(\ell')^2 - m_t^2 + m_H^2 xy]^3}$$

Next we have to express the numerator in terms of the shifted momentum

I'll leave the entire calculation as an exercise and instead use our result that we can get everything from the $\,p^\mu q^\nu\,$ term

$$N_{\mu\nu}(\ell', p_{\nu}q_{\mu}) = 4(1 - 4xy)m_t p_{\nu}q_{\mu}$$



$$\epsilon^{\mu}(p) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{---}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} = 2 \int dx dy \frac{1}{[(\ell')^2 - m_t^2 + 2p \cdot qxy]^3} \\ \kappa^{\nu}(q) q \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{---}{\longleftarrow} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} = 2 \int dx dy \frac{1}{[(\ell')^2 - m_t^2 + 2p \cdot qxy]^3} \\ N_{\mu\nu}(\ell', p_{\nu}q_{\mu}) = 4(1 - 4xy)m_t p_{\nu}q_{\mu}$$

Putting this all together we see that our (partial) diagram can be written as follows

$$i\mathcal{A}_{pq} = -\delta^{ab} \frac{2g_s^2 m_t^2}{v} \int \frac{d^d \ell'}{(2\pi)^d} \int dx dy \frac{2p^{\nu} q^{\mu} (1 - 4xy)}{[(\ell')^2 - m_t^2 + m_H^2 xy]^3} \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q)$$

Great! Now we want to do the loop momenta integral

You can look this up in your favorite QFT textbook,

$$\int \frac{d^d \ell}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - \Delta)^3} = -\frac{i(4\pi)^\epsilon}{32\pi^2} \Gamma(1+\epsilon) \Delta^{-1-\epsilon}$$

Note that this is finite. (The pole cancellation for the other tensor structure is more intricate).



Finally we can write the whole tensor structure as a finite integral

$$\mathcal{A}_{pq} = \frac{\alpha_s m_t^2}{\pi v} \delta^{ab} p_{\nu} q_{\mu} \int dx dy \left(\frac{1 - 4xy}{m_t^2 - m_H^2 xy} \right) \epsilon^{\mu}(p) \epsilon^{\nu}(q)$$

Note that we are still some way away from a physical cross section (we need to restore the full tensor structure, include the second diagram (factor of 2), square the amplitude, convolve with PDFs...)

However, we can actually learn a lot from the above expression

If we define

$$I(s) = \int dxdy \left(\frac{1 - 4xy}{1 - sxy}\right)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{pq} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi v} \delta^{ab} (\epsilon(p) \cdot q) (\epsilon(q) \cdot p) I(m_H^2/m_t^2)$$



Lets look at the ratio of (I(s)/I(0))^2 as a function of s





Lets look at the ratio of (I(s)/I(0))^2 as a function of s



Slowly growing function as a function of s



Lets look at the ratio of $(I(s)/I(0))^2$ as a function of s



Slowly growing function as a function of s

We see that for the 125 GeV Higgs, the ratio is around 1.05



We see that the effect of the top quark is a small correction to the full result, motivating us to write the amplitude in terms of the s->0 limit.

$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi v} \delta^{ab} \left(g^{\mu\nu} \frac{m_H^2}{2} - p^{\nu} q^{\mu} \right) \epsilon_{\mu}(p) \epsilon_{\nu}(q)$$

- The amplitude is independent of the top quark mass
- If heavier fermions were present, they would scale linearly with the amplitude







When we take the heavy top limit, we decouple the top quark from the calculation.

This is equivalent to working in an **Effective Field Theory** in which the top quark is integrated out.

I.e. we could have calculated our amplitude by adding the follow term to our QCD Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = -\frac{A}{4} H G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a$$

Lets look at this a little more.





This term has mass dimension 5

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = -\frac{A}{4} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu}_{a} \mathcal{G}^{a}_{\mu\nu}$$

So A has to have an inverse mass dimension, we can get A from our calculation.

$$A = \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi v} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s) \right)$$

We have matched our EFT operator to the full theory calculation. We can now use this Lagrangian to calculate other quantities.



We can expand the field strength contributions to get the Feynman rules for the coupling of the Higgs to two, three and four gluons.



For Higgs production this is essential, e.g. expanding the cross section to NNLO we see that

$$\sigma^{NNLO}(gg \to H) = 12.937 \times (1 + 1.28 + 0.77) \text{ pb}$$



For Higgs production this is essential, e.g. expanding the cross section to NNLO we see that

$$\sigma^{NNLO}(gg \to H) = (12.937 \times (1 + 1.28 + 0.77) \text{ pb})$$

LO cross section (we just looked at this (almost))



For Higgs production this is essential, e.g. expanding the cross section to NNLO we see that

$$\sigma^{NNLO}(gg \to H) = (12.937 \times (1 + 1.28 + 0.77) \text{ pb})$$

LO cross section (we just looked at this (almost))

NLO corrections are more than 100%!



For Higgs production this is essential, e.g. expanding the cross section to NNLO we see that

$$\sigma^{NNLO}(gg \rightarrow H) = (2.937 \times (1 + 1.28 + 0.77)) \text{pb}$$

LO cross section (we just looked at this (almost))

NLO corrections are more than 100%!

NNLO Corrections are also huge!



For Higgs production this is essential, e.g. expanding the cross section to NNLO we see that

$$\sigma^{NNLO}(gg \to H) = (2.937 \times (1 + 1.28 + 0.77)) \text{pb}$$

LO cross section (we just looked at this (almost))

NLO corrections are more than 100%!

NNLO Corrections are also huge!

Can you imagine what would have happened without higher order QCD corrections?.....



Impressively we now have predictions for Higgs production accurate to N3LO.

Given how large the NNLO coefficient is, this correction was critical to understand for the LHC program.



Anastasiou et al. 1602.00695



Anastasiou et al. 1602.00695

We see that finally the perturbative expansion is under control, and that the previous order lies within the uncertainty band of the NNLO one.

We saw that we could derive an EFT in which we made the top mass infinitely heavy. Is this always a good approximation?

No! If we probe scales near the top mass we see deviations from the EFT result.

We can achieve this by looking at the Higgs at high transverse momentum



So we have to be a little more careful when we study the Higgs at finite momentum (e.g. in differential distributions)





The state of the art for a differential Higgs is to have H+j at NNLO in the EFT, reweighed by the LO Full theory ratio.

Some progress towards NLO in the full theory (Neumann, CW 1609.00367)





Subleading production





Death of the Higgs boson.







The rate for each decay is called a partial width.





Summing over all the partial widths yields the total width.





Finally, the branching ratio defines the relative fraction for a particular decay.

$$BR(H \to X) = \frac{\Gamma_X}{\Gamma_{tot}}$$









The 125 GeV Higgs is one of the most interesting to study.

28



Phenomenologically the diboson and bb decays are most relevant

28

We can jump straight to the matrix element squared here,

$$\dots \qquad |\mathcal{M}_{H \to b(p_1)\overline{b}(p_2)}|^2 = \frac{N_c g_W^2 m_b^2}{4m_W^2} \left(4p_1 p_2 - 4m_b^2\right)$$

The partial width is obtained from Fermi's Golden Rule

$$\Gamma = \frac{|\mathbf{p_2}|}{8\pi m_H^2} |\mathcal{M}|^2$$

 $H \to b\bar{b}$

So that

$$\Gamma_{H \to b\bar{b}} = g_W^2 N_c \frac{m_b^2 m_H}{32\pi m_W^2} \left(1 - \frac{4m_b^2}{m_H^2}\right)^{3/2}$$



Here the matrix element is given by (on-shell W's)

$$|\mathcal{M}_{H\to W^+(p_1)W^-(p_2)}|^2 = g_W^2 m_W^2 \left(2 + \frac{(p_1 p_2)^2}{m_W^4}\right)$$

With a partial width given by

$$\Gamma_{H \to WW} = g_W^2 \frac{m_H^3}{64\pi m_W^2} \left(1 - \frac{4m_W^2}{m_H^2}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - 4\frac{m_W^2}{m_H^2} + 12\frac{m_W^4}{m_H^4}\right)$$



 $H \to WW$

We see that approximately the widths scale like

$$\Gamma_{H \to b\bar{b}} \sim \left(\frac{m_b^2}{m_W^2}\right) \Gamma_{H \to WW}$$

So in the regime where bb dominates (before WW becomes on-shell) the Higgs width is suppressed by the lightness of the b quark.



In the region in which WW dominates the Higgs width is much larger (and more like the W/Z bosons)









Future of the Higgs boson



Where are we now?







In order to test the Higgs mechanism we want to see the coupling promotional to the mass of the particles





Each decay mode is measured and cross sections are determined using the Narrow width approximation,

$$\sigma_{i \to H \to f} = \sigma_{i \to H} \times BR_{H \to f} \propto \frac{\sigma_{i \to H} \sigma_{H \to f}}{\Gamma_H}$$







such that global fits are required to determine the couplings.

37

 $g_i \qquad g_f \\ 1 \\ (s - M_X^2) + i\Gamma_X M_X$

In the resonance region the "onshell" cross section is dominated by the width.

$$\sigma_{i \to X \to f}^{on} \sim \frac{g_i^2 g_f^2}{\Gamma_X}$$







Away from the resonance region, the "off-shell" cross section does not depend on the width.

$$\sigma^{off}_{i \to X \to f} \sim g_i^2 g_f^2$$







So if we are able to measure the off shell cross section, we can isolate process specific couplings.





Off Shell Higgs cross sections.

(Kauer, Passarino 12) (Caola, Melinikov 13) (Campbell, Ellis, CW 11,13)

- Since Γ_H / M_H=1/30,000 one might expect off-shell corrections to be very small.
- However this is not the case in decays to VV, there is a sizable contribution to the total cross section away from the peak.
- This arises from the proximity of the two VV threshold, and is further enhanced by the threshold at twice the top mass.





do/dma[fb/GeV]

Energy	$\sigma_{peak}^{}$	σ_{off}
7 TeV	0.203	0.044
8 TeV	0.255	0.061





Anomalous Higgs









The SM has some problems, its not natural!



A natural theory would thus predict a (very) heavy Higgs.



Naturalness can be restored if we add in some new contributions!



BSM contribution.

The search for the question of whether we live in a natural world is one of the driving questions of particle physics.

Theoretical issues









We recall the form of the Higgs potential

$$V = \frac{m_h^2}{2}h^2 + \lambda_3 v h^3 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4}h^4 \,,$$

And in the SM we completely fix the couplings once we know the mass

$$\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = m_h^2 / (2v^2)$$

Deviations from this would thus imply new physics.



Higgs self coupling











Precision Higgs Couplings

Measurements will built on, complement, and supersede LHC results





Markus Klute

OKLUTE



Higgs Precision Measurements

- Recoil method unique to lepton collider
- ➡ Tag Higgs event independent of decay mode
- Provides precision and model independent measurements of
 - $\sigma(ee \rightarrow ZH) \propto g_{HZZ^2}$
 - m_H
- ➡ Key input to Γ_H







Why Higgs at 100 TeV?

Michelangelo Mangano

- W/Z discovered in '83. Still discussing today how to improve the measurement of their properties! Hadron colliders played, are playing and will continue playing a key role in this game
 - reasonable to expect the same will be true for the Higgs 30-40 yrs after 2012, with the measurement of Higgs properties intertwined with the testing for SM anomalies
- Great improvement in precision will arise from e⁺e⁻ colliders [see later talks by D'Enterria (FCC-ee), Ruan (CEPC), Lukic (CLIC), Strube (ILC)].
- Depending on the configuration (linear vs circular) and energy (ILC vs CLIC), there
 will nevertheless still remain a need for complementary input, which could be
 provided by a 100 TeV pp collider:
 - direct probe of EW interactions and EWSB at scales > I TeV
 - exploration of extended Higgs sectors
 - precise measurement of rare Higgs decays and tests of rare production mechanisms
 - precise determination of top-Higgs coupling and Higgs self-couplings (if ECM of e ⁺e⁻ colliders will stay below the TeV)
- At the LHC, the Higgs is already an analysis tool, if not a background, in searches of new particles (like W/Z and like the top quark). This will be even more true at 100 TeV!!

