Class 18 Coherent States uesday, October 30, 2018 8:02 AM We have seen that the Classical Limit of the S.S. was obtained by taking the Solutions for the 14. Q. limit 11 very large $E_{n+1}, -E_n = \hbar \omega < < < E_n$ $E_n = t \omega (n + 1/2)$ 1/ 1/2 Seles (x) } Not Vary complete description of you classical limit. Rappur it is 2 superposition of the 4's in the limit of 12032 11 which gives Sclass. Recill for 5.5. of the H.D. $\Delta \times \Delta P = f(n + 1/2) > f/2$ This uncertainty product gets large 25 1 gets large, wheneas we would expect it to get small in the Classical Limit. So how do we verthy get for Classical Lowith for 14.0. For the swore for the EM field de will see (Kot-K·X) $\frac{\lambda}{E} = \sum_{\substack{i,k \in A(k) \\ K,k}} \frac{\lambda}{a_{A}(k)} e^{iK\cdot x} - \frac{\lambda}{a_{A}(k)} e^{iK\cdot x} \frac{1}{e^{iK\cdot x}}$ $\frac{\mu}{a_{A}(k)} = \sum_{\substack{k,k \in A(k) \\ K,k \in A(k) \\ K,k$. The Physical States describing the Chassical States of pu H.O. (or similarly the EM field states) must be a linear superposifim of the Muler > AXAP = th/2 In Classial Nachanics of the H.O. $\begin{array}{c} \chi(t) = \chi(o) \quad \sin \omega t \\ \varphi(t) = m \chi(o) \quad \omega \quad \cos \omega t \end{array} \end{array}$ In Q.M. (X> 4 < 7>

 $\hat{X} = \sqrt{\frac{h}{m\omega}} \left(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{+} \right)$ Operators (time independent) $\hat{P} = -\lambda \sqrt{\hbar m \omega} \left(\frac{\lambda}{a} - \frac{\lambda}{a} \right)$ (x) we need Lang Lat $\frac{d\langle a \rangle_{+}}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} \langle [H, a] \rangle_{+} \qquad [a ta, a] = a t [a, a]$ $+ [h^{t}, a] = -a$ = - 1 (tw) < a> = - 1 w/h> $\left\{ \langle a \rangle_{t} = \langle a \rangle_{t=0} e^{-i\omega t} \right\}$ $d \langle a^{+} \rangle_{t} = \frac{i}{t} \langle [H, a^{+}] \rangle = \frac{i}{t} t \omega \langle a^{+} \rangle$ (at = (at eint) $\langle \chi \rangle_h = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left(d + q^{*} \right)$ $\langle X \rangle_{L} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2mu}} \left(\langle \hat{a} \rangle_{0} e^{-i\omega t} + \langle a t \rangle_{0} e^{i\omega t} \right) \langle \hat{v} \rangle_{0} = -i\sqrt{mu} \left(\langle -\lambda^{*} \rangle_{0} \right)$ <P>= -i this (< a > e int - (at > e int) $\langle X \rangle_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\langle a \rangle_{2} + \langle a \rangle_{2} \right) \langle az \omega t \rangle \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{$ - 1 the (< A> - < A+>) Sut < x> = < x> cout + sut $\langle \hat{P} \rangle_{t} = -i \sqrt{\tan \omega} \left(\langle \hat{a} \rangle_{o} - \langle \hat{a}^{+} \rangle_{o} \right) c_{2} \omega t - i \sqrt{\tan \omega} \left(\langle \hat{a} \rangle_{o} + \langle \hat{a} \rangle_{o} \right)$ Siat $\langle t \rangle_{1} = \langle t \rangle_{0} \quad \text{(subt} - \sqrt{kmw} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mw}{k}} \right) \langle X \rangle_{0} \leq \omega t$ $\int \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{+} = \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{0} \cos \omega t + \langle \hat{r} \rangle_{0} \leq \omega t$

 $\int \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{t} = \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{o} \cos \omega t + \langle \hat{t} \rangle_{o} \leq \omega t$ $\left\{ \langle \hat{\varphi} \rangle_{\pm} = - m \omega \langle \hat{x} \rangle \cdot s \omega t + \langle \hat{\varphi} \rangle \cdot s \omega t \right\}$ But for Stationary states: (x) = 0 1 0 $\langle x \rangle$ ~ $\langle n / a + a^+ / n \rangle$ ~ $\sqrt{n} \langle n / n - i \rangle$ $\langle \hat{\gamma} \rangle_{o} \propto \langle n/a^{-} a^{+}/n \rangle = 0 \qquad + \sqrt{n+1} \langle n/n+1 \rangle$ " To get the Classical finit we have to work with Superposed states that saturate the Minimum Unartainty Product. Such states are called whereast states Recall that for the Jud state, n=0, AXAP= th/2 $\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$ (17im: The eigenstates of a gre the Cohenent States that result in a minimum unautainty product and which will give the proper classical behavoir in the limit of large M. We want to find the eigenstas of a > a 4/2 (x) = a 4/2 (x) Note flut since à is not la mitian then & need not be vert a tre eigenstates need not be or thoyourd. Define the shift operator " $D_x = e^{(xa^+ - x^*a)}$, $D_x = e^{-(xa^+ - x^*a)}$ Claim:

 $\left[D_{x} \land J_{x} = \alpha + \neg + \right] = \langle \rangle \left[\alpha, \gamma_{x} \right] = \langle \rangle$ "Unitary operator $\hat{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \Psi_{o}(x)\right) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\begin{array}{c} h \\ h \end{array} + \mathcal{A}\right) \Psi_{o}(x)$ $= \prec \left(\mathcal{D}_{\varkappa} \, \Psi_{\sigma}(x) \right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{c} \hat{a} \\ \mathcal{D}_{x} = \mathcal{D}_{x} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \hat{a} \\ + z \end{array} \right) \right)$ $D_{x} \Psi_{v}(x) = N\Psi_{x}(x) \xrightarrow{Tf} \int |\Psi_{v}(x)|^{2} dx = 1$ $D_{x}^{*} \psi_{o}^{*}(x) = N^{*} \psi_{2}^{*}(x)$ $\int \left(\nabla_{\mathcal{X}} \Psi_{\circ}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{*} \left(\nabla_{\mathcal{X}} \Psi_{\circ}(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x} = |\mathbf{v}| \int \left| \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}) \right|^{2} d\mathbf{x}$ $\int \Psi_{o}^{*}(x) D_{x}^{\dagger} D_{x} \Psi_{o}(x) dx = \int \Psi_{o}^{\dagger}(x) \Psi_{o}(x) dx = 1$ $\frac{1}{10} \quad \frac{\psi_{\lambda}(x)}{\psi_{\lambda}(x)} = D_{\lambda} \frac{\psi_{0}(x)}{\psi_{0}(x)} \quad \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi_{\lambda} \rangle = 1$ Note: $\langle \langle | \beta \rangle \neq 0$ $\langle \langle | \beta \rangle = \int \Psi_{2}^{*}(x) \Psi_{\beta}(x) dx$ N.te: $D_{x} \Psi_{p}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \hat{D}_{x} \Psi_{p}(x) = D_{x}(\hat{\alpha} + x) \Psi_{p}(x)$ $\hat{a} \psi_{\rho}(x) = \rho \psi_{\rho}(x)$ $\hat{\alpha} \quad D_{x} \quad \Psi_{\beta}(x) = D_{x} \left(x + \beta \right) \Psi_{\beta}(x)$ $= (\alpha + \beta) D (\gamma + \beta)$ $\implies D_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta}(x) = \psi_{\alpha+\beta}(x) = \sum \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\beta} = \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha+\beta)}$ Homework: (PÅ B eÅ = Z I [Å, B](n) NI South Sequendial Commutator

 $[A, B]_{(1)} = [A, B]_{-} [A, B]_{(G)} = [A, [A, B]_{(G-1)}]_{-}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}, \hat{a} \end{bmatrix}_{(0)} = \hat{a} \quad , \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}, \hat{a} \end{bmatrix}_{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} - \measuredangle a^{\dagger} + \measuredangle^{\dagger} \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad a \end{bmatrix}$ $[A_{1}, \ell]_{l2} = [A_{1}, \lambda] = 0 = \prec$ $\therefore D_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{D}_{2} = \hat{a} + \hat{a} + \hat{o} + \hat{p} + \cdots$ Q.E.D.