

④ When a measurement of an observable \hat{A} is made on a generic state $|\psi\rangle$, the probability of obtaining eigenvalue a_n is $|\langle \chi_n | \psi \rangle|^2$ where $\hat{A}|\chi_n\rangle = a_n|\chi_n\rangle$

• Normalized $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$, $\langle \chi_j | \chi_n \rangle = \delta_{jn}$

• $\langle \chi_n | \psi \rangle$ is a complex number called the "probability amplitude". (square root of probability.)

• $|\psi\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\chi_n\rangle$ (left multiply by $\langle \chi_j |$)

$$\langle \chi_j | \psi \rangle = \sum_n c_n \langle \chi_j | \chi_n \rangle = \sum_n c_n \delta_{jn} = c_j$$

change $c_n = \langle \chi_n | \psi \rangle$

substitute

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_n (\langle \chi_n | \psi \rangle) |\chi_n\rangle = \left[\sum_n |\chi_n\rangle \langle \chi_n| \right] |\psi\rangle$$

$\hat{\mathbb{1}}$ identity operator

(completeness: $\sum_n |\chi_n\rangle \langle \chi_n| = \hat{\mathbb{1}}$)

- The probability of getting some result is unity

$$1 = |\langle \psi | \psi \rangle|^2 = \sum_m \sum_n c_m^* c_n \underbrace{\langle \chi_m | \chi_n \rangle}_{\delta_{mn}}$$

$$= \boxed{\sum_n |c_n|^2 = 1}$$

$$|c_n|^2 = c_n^* c_n \text{ Real}$$

- Expectation value of \hat{A} in the state $|\psi\rangle$

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\psi} \equiv \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \psi \rangle = \left(\sum_m c_m^* \langle \chi_m | \right) \hat{A} \left(\sum_n c_n | \chi_n \rangle \right)$$

$$= \sum_m \sum_n c_m^* c_n a_n \underbrace{\langle \chi_m | \chi_n \rangle}_{\delta_{mn}} = \sum_n |c_n|^2 a_n$$

⑤ Immediately after measurement of \hat{A} has yielded an eigenvalue a_n , the state of the system is the normalized eigenstate $|\chi_n\rangle$. not $|c_n|^2 |\chi_n\rangle$

“collapse of the wavefunction” violates unitarity.

- not deterministic, but probabilistic.

solution is called decoherence.

⑥ The time evolution of a quantum system preserves the normalization of the ket $|\psi\rangle$.

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$$

deterministic

$$\hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{U}^{-1}$$

where \hat{U} is a unitary operator: $\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{1}$

\hat{U}^\dagger hermitian conjugate, dagger, adjoint

This postulate implies the Schrödinger Equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi(t)\rangle$$

where \hat{H} is a hermitian op. ($\hat{H}^\dagger = \hat{H}$)

- $|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$ evolve deterministically forward or backward in time.

- unitarity $\hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) \hat{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{1}$

- norm of state is preserved

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle &= \langle \psi(t_0) | \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) \hat{U}(t, t_0) | \psi(t_0) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(t_0) | \psi(t_0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- identity $t = t_0$ no time evolution

$$\hat{U}(t_0, t_0) = \hat{\mathbb{I}} \quad \forall t_0$$

- composition

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_2)\rangle &= \hat{U}(t_2, t_1) |\psi(t_1)\rangle = \hat{U}(t_2, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle \\ &= \hat{U}(t_2, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{U}(t_2, t_0) = \hat{U}(t_2, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t_0)$$

- inverse $\hat{U}(t_0, t) \hat{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{\mathbb{I}}$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{U}(t_0, t) = [\hat{U}(t, t_0)]^{-1} = \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} \right] |\psi(t_0)\rangle \quad \uparrow \text{ independent of } t.$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} \right] \hat{U}(t_0, t) |\psi(t)\rangle$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} \right] \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) |\psi(t)\rangle$$

$$\equiv \hat{A}(t, t_0)$$

→ $\hat{A}(t, t_0)$ is anti hermitian

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) = \hat{\mathbb{I}} \quad \text{time derivative both sides}$$

$$\underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} \right] \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0)}_{\hat{A}(t, t_0)} + \hat{U}(t, t_0) \underbrace{\frac{\partial \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0)}{\partial t}}_{\hat{A}^\dagger(t, t_0)} = 0$$

$$\hat{A}(t, t_0) + \hat{A}^\dagger(t, t_0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{A}(t, t_0) = -\hat{A}^\dagger(t, t_0) \quad \checkmark$$

→ $\hat{A}(t, t_0)$ is independent of t_0 .

$$\hat{A}(t, t_0) = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} \right] \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) \quad \text{insert } \hat{\mathbb{I}} = \hat{U}(t_0, t_0) \hat{U}^\dagger(t_0, t_0)$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} \hat{U}(t_0, t_0) \right] \underbrace{\hat{U}^\dagger(t_0, t_0)}_{\hat{U}(t_1, t_0)} \underbrace{\hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0)}_{\hat{U}(t_0, t)}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_1)}{\partial t} \right] \hat{U}^\dagger(t_0, t_1)$$

$$= \hat{A}(t, t_1) \equiv \hat{A}(t) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{A}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle \quad \hat{A} \text{ is anti-hermitian}$$

~~units~~ of $\frac{1}{\text{time}}$
dimension

$$\text{Define } \hat{H}(t) \equiv i\hbar \hat{A}(t) = i\hbar \left[\frac{dU(t, t_0)}{dt} \right] U^\dagger(t, t_0)$$

\uparrow
 hermitian, ~~units~~ dimension of energy.

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi(t)\rangle \quad \text{Schrödinger equation}$$

Why is \hat{H} the Hamiltonian (energy)