

TRANSLATIONS

$$\hat{U}(\vec{z}) = \exp\{-i\hat{\vec{p}} \cdot \vec{z}\}$$

WHERE \hat{U} IS A GROUP ELEMENT

$$\hat{U}(\vec{t}) \hat{U}(\vec{z}) = \hat{U}(\vec{z}) \hat{U}(\vec{t}) = \hat{U}(\vec{z} + \vec{t}) \Rightarrow \text{GROUP ELEMENTS COMMUTE}$$

$$[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ABELIAN LIE ALGEBRA 3d.}$$

DEFINITION: ABELIAN GROUPS, OR COMMUTATIVE GROUPS ARE GROUPS WHERE THE RESULT OF APPLYING A GROUP OPERATION TO TWO ELEMENTS DO NOT DEPEND ON THE ORDER IN WHICH THEY ARE WRITTEN. THIS WILL BE HELPFUL LATER FOR UNDERSTANDING GAUGE THEORIES.

ROTATIONS $\hat{U}(\vec{\theta}) = \exp\{-i\hat{\vec{j}} \cdot \vec{\theta}\}$

$$\hat{U}(\vec{\theta}_2) \hat{U}(\vec{\theta}_1) \neq \hat{U}(\vec{\theta}_1) \hat{U}(\vec{\theta}_2) \Rightarrow [\hat{j}_i, \hat{j}_j] = i \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{j}_k$$

LIE ALGEBRAS HAVE THE FOLLOWING GENERATOR:

$$[\hat{T}_a, \hat{T}_b] = \sum_c f_{abc} \hat{T}_c = \hat{T}_a \hat{T}_b - \hat{T}_b \hat{T}_a$$

* f_{abc} ARE STRUCTURE CONSTANTS

STRUCTURE CONSTANTS f_{abc}

$SU(2)$ WILL PROVIDE A SIMPLE EXAMPLE OF WHAT STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS ARE, WE KNOW THE FOLLOWING RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PAULI MATRICES (σ_i):

$$[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 2i \epsilon^{abc} \sigma_c \Rightarrow f^{abc} = 2i \epsilon^{abc}$$

A LIE GROUP IS COMPACT IF THE PARAMETERS ARE BOUNDED. THEREFORE f_{abc} IS TOTALLY ANTI-SYMMETRIC. $SO(2)$ IS A COMPACT GROUP, WHEREAS THE LORENTZ GROUP $SO(3,1)$ IS NOT COMPACT.

DEFINITION: COMPACT GROUPS ARE A TOPOLOGICAL GROUP WHERE THE UNDERLYING TOPOLOGICAL SPACE IS ITSELF COMPACT SPACE. THE GROUP'S TOPOLOGY SATISFIES THE CONDITION THAT EVERY OPEN COVER OF THE GROUP HAS A FINITE SUBCOVER.

EXAMPLE) BOOSTS IN THE LORENTZ GROUP BY ϕ RAPIDITY ALONG THE X-AXIS

$$\hat{\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh\phi & \sinh\phi & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh\phi & \cosh\phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{U}(\hat{\phi}) = \exp\{\hat{i}\hat{k} \cdot \hat{\phi}\} \Rightarrow \hat{U}(\phi\hat{x}) = \exp\{\hat{i}\hat{k}_x \phi\}$$

$$\hat{k}_i = \hat{k}_x = -i \left. \frac{\partial \hat{U}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \right|_{\phi=0}$$

$$\hat{k}_1 = -i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{j}_1 = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & +1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{k}_2 = -i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{j}_2 = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & +1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{k}_3 = -i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{j}_3 = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

FOR THE $SO(3,1)$ LIE ALGEBRAS HAVE THE FOLLOWING COMMUTATION RELATIONS

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} [\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{J}_k \\ [\hat{k}_i, \hat{k}_j] = -i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{l}_k \\ [\hat{J}_i, \hat{k}_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{k} \end{array} \right\} \quad \hat{U}(\Lambda^a_r) = \exp \left\{ -i \left(\frac{\vec{J}}{2} \cdot \vec{\theta} - \frac{\vec{k}}{2} \cdot \vec{\phi} \right) \right\}$$

AN ASIDE, A MATH TRICK TO THINK ABOUT LIE ALGEBRAS:

$$\text{DEFINE: } \hat{A}_k = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{J}_k + i \hat{k}_k) \quad \hat{B}_k = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{J}_k - i \hat{k}_k)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} [\hat{A}_i, \hat{A}_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{A}_k \\ [\hat{B}_i, \hat{B}_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{B}_k \\ [\hat{A}_i, \hat{B}_j] = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad SO(3) \text{ OR } SU(2) \text{ ROTATION}$$

$SO(3,1) \simeq SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ HAVE THE SAME COMPLEXIFICATION $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

SCHRÖDINGER VERSUS HEISENBERG PICTURE

SCHRÖDINGER PICTURE IS TYPICALLY MORE USEFUL IN QUANTUM MECHANICS. WHEREAS THE HEISENBERG PICTURE IS FAR MORE USEFUL IN QFT.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, 0)|\Psi(0)\rangle$$

$$|\Psi_s(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, 0)|\Psi(0)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

* SCHRÖDINGER KET IS TIME DEPENDENT

EXPECTATION VALUE OF AN OPERATOR $\hat{\sigma}$:

$$\langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle_t = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{\sigma} | \Psi(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi(0) | \hat{U}^\dagger(t, 0) \hat{\sigma} \hat{U}(t, 0) | \Psi(0) \rangle$$