## Introduction to the Parton Model and Pertrubative QCD

George Sterman, YITP, Stony Brook CTEQ summer school, May 30, 2007

U. of Wisconsin, Madison

- II. From the Parton Model to QCD
- 1. Color and QCD
- 2. Field Theory Essentials
- 3. Infrared Safety



- 1. From Color to QCD
  - Enter the Gluon
- $\bullet$  If  $\phi_{q/H}(x)=$  probability to find q with momentum xp ,
- then,

 $M_q = \sum\limits_q \int_0^1 dx \; x \; \phi_{q/H}(x) \; = \; ext{total fraction of momentum} \ ext{carried by quarks.}$ 

• Experiment gave

$$M_q \sim 1/2$$

- What else? Quanta of force field that holds *H* together?
- 'Gluons' but what are they?

- Where color comes from.
- Quark model problem:
  - $-s_q = 1/2 \Rightarrow$  fermion  $\Rightarrow$  antisymmetric wave function, but
  - $-\left(uud
    ight)$  state symmetric in spin/isospin combination for nucleons and
  - -<u>Expect</u> the lowest-lying  $\psi(ec{x}_m, ec{x}_u', ec{x}_d)$  to be symmetric
  - So where is the antisymmetry?
- Solution: Han Nambu, Greenberg, 1968: Color
- **b**, **g**, **r**, a new quantum number.
- Here's the antisymmetry:  $\epsilon_{ijk}\psi(\vec{x}_u, \vec{x}'_u, \vec{x}_d)$ , (i,j,k)= (b,g,r)

- Quantum Chromodynamics: Dynamics of Color
- A globe with no north pole



- Position on 'hyperglobe' ↔ phase of wave function (Yang & Mills, 1954)
- We can change the globe's axes at different points in spacetime, and 'local rotation' ↔ emission of a gluon.
- QCD: gluons coupled to the color of quarks

(Gross & Wilczek; Weinberg; Fritzsch, Gell-Mann, Leutwyler, 1973)

#### 2. Field Theory Essentials

- Fields and Lagrange Density for QCD
- $q_f(x)$ , f = u, d, c, s, t, b: Dirac fermions (like electron) but extra (i, j, k) = (b, g, r) quantum number.
- $A_a^{\mu}(x)$  Vector field (like photon) but with extra  $a \sim (g\overline{b}...)$  quantum no. (octet).
- *L* specifies quark-gluon, gluon-gluon propagators and interactions.

$$egin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \sum\limits_{f} ar{q}_{f} \left( \left[ i \partial_{\mu} - g A_{\mu a} T_{a} 
ight] \gamma^{\mu} - m_{f} 
ight) q_{f} - rac{1}{4} \left( \partial_{\mu} A_{
u a} - \partial_{
u} A_{\mu a} 
ight)^{2} \ &- rac{g}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} A_{
u a} - \partial_{
u} A_{\mu a} 
ight) C_{abc} A^{\mu}_{b} A^{
u}_{c} \ &- rac{g^{2}}{4} C_{abc} A^{\mu}_{b} A^{
u}_{c} C_{ade} A_{\mu d} A_{
u e} \end{split}$$

From a Lagrange density to observables, the pattern:



- UV Divergences (toward renormalization & the renormalization group)
- Use as an example

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi^4} = rac{1}{2}ig(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2ig) - rac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4$$

• The "four-point Green function":



$$\int^\infty rac{d^4k}{(k^2-m^2)((p_1+p_2-k)^2-m^2)} ~\sim~ \int^\infty rac{d^4k}{(k^2)^2} \Rightarrow \infty$$

Interpretation: The UV divergence is due entirely states of high 'energy deficit',

$$E_{ ext{in}} - E_{ ext{state S}} = p_1^0 + p_2^0 - {\sum\limits_{i \ \in S} \sqrt{ec{k_i^2} - m^2}}$$

Made explicit in Time-ordered Perturbation Theory:



$$\int^\infty rac{d^4k}{(k^2-m^2)((p_1+p_2-k)^2-m^2)} = \sum_{states} igg[ rac{1}{E_{
m in}-E_1} \quad + \quad rac{1}{E_{
m in}-E_1'}igg]$$

Analogy to uncertainty principle  $\Delta E \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \Delta t \rightarrow 0$ .

- This suggests: UV divergences are 'local' and can be absorbed into the local Lagrange density. Renormalization.
- For our full 4-point Green function, two new "counterterms":



• The combination is supposed to be finite.

• How to choose them? This is the renormalization "scheme"



 $A \quad B \quad C \quad D$ 

• For example: define A+B+C b cutting off  $d^4k$  at  $k^2 = \Lambda^2$  (regularization). Then

$$A+B+C=a\lnrac{\Lambda^2}{s}+b(s,t,u,m^2)$$

### • Now <u>choose</u>:

$$D=-\;a\lnrac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}$$

so that

$$A+B+C+D=a\lnrac{\mu^2}{s}+b(s,t,u,m^2)$$

### independent of $\Lambda$ .

- Criterion for choosing  $\mu$  is a "renormalization scheme": MOM scheme:  $\mu = s_0$ , some point in momentum space. MS scheme: same  $\mu$  for all diagrams, momenta
- But the value of  $\mu$  is still arbitrary.  $\mu$  = renormalization scale.
- Modern view (Wilson) We hide our ignorance of the true high-E behavior.
- All current theories are "effective" theories with the same low-energy behavior as the true theory.

- μ-dependence is the price we pay for working with an effective theory: The Renormalization Group
- As  $\mu$  changes, mass m and coupling g have to change:  $m = m(\mu) \ g = g(\mu)$  "renormalized" but ...
- Physical quantities can't depend on  $\mu$ :

$$\murac{d}{d\mu}\sigma\left(\!rac{s_{ij}}{\mu^2},rac{m^2}{\mu^2},g(\mu),\mu
ight)=0$$

- The 'group' is just the set of all changes in  $\mu$ .
- 'RG' equation (Mass dimension  $[\sigma] = d_{\sigma}$ ):

$$\left(\murac{\partial}{\partial\mu}+\murac{\partial g}{\partial\mu}rac{\partial}{\partial g}+\murac{\partial m}{\partial\mu}rac{\partial}{\partial m}+d_{\sigma}
ight)\sigma\left(rac{s_{ij}}{\mu^2},rac{m^2}{\mu^2},g(\mu),\mu
ight)=0$$

The beta function : 
$$\beta(g) \equiv \mu \frac{\partial g(\mu)}{\partial \mu}$$

- The Running coupling
- Consider any  $\sigma$  ( $m = 0, d_{\sigma} = 0$ ):

$$\mu \frac{d\sigma}{d\mu} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mu \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mu} = -\beta(g) \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial g}$$
(1)

• in PT:

$$\sigma = g^2(\mu)\sigma^{(1)} + g^4(\mu) \left[\sigma^{(2)}\left(rac{s_{ij}}{s_{kl}}
ight) + au^{(2)}\lnrac{s_{12}}{\mu^2}
ight] + \dots (2)$$

$$egin{aligned} \bullet \ (2) \ ext{in} \ (1) &
ightarrow \ g^4 au^{(2)} &= 2g \sigma^{(1)} eta(g) + \dots \ eta(g) &= rac{g^3 au^{(2)}}{2 \ \sigma^{(1)}} + \mathcal{O}(g^5) \equiv -rac{g^3}{16 \pi^2} eta_0 + \mathcal{O}(g^5) \end{aligned}$$

• In QCD:

$$eta_0=11-rac{2n_f}{3}$$

•  $-\beta_0 < 0 \rightarrow g$  decreases as  $\mu$  increases.

Asymptotic Freedom: Solution for the QCD coupling



 $\bullet$  Vanishes for  $\mu_2 
ightarrow \infty$ . Equivalently,

$$\alpha_s(\mu_2^2) \equiv \frac{g^2(\mu_2^2)}{4\pi} = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_1)}{1 + \frac{\beta_0}{4\pi}\alpha_s(\mu_1)\ln\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}}$$

 $\bullet$  Dimensional transmutation:  $\Lambda_{QCD}$ 

- Two mass scales appear in

$$lpha_{s}(\mu_{2}^{2}) = rac{lpha_{s}(\mu_{1})}{1+rac{eta_{0}}{4\pi}lpha_{s}(\mu_{1})\lnrac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}}$$

but the value of  $\alpha_s(\mu_2)$  can't depend on choice of  $\mu_1$ .

– Reduce it to one by defining  $\Lambda\equiv\mu_1\,e^{-\beta_0/\alpha_s(\mu_1)}$ , independent of  $\mu_1.$  Then

$$lpha_s(\mu_2^2) = rac{4\pi}{eta_0 \ln rac{\mu_2}{\Lambda^2}}$$

• Asymptotic freedom strongly suggests a relationship to the parton model, in which partons act as if free at short distances. But how to quantify this observation?

# 3. Infrared Safety

- To use perturbation theory, would like to choose  $\mu$  'as large as possible to make  $\alpha_s(\mu)$  as small as possible.
- But how small is possible?
- A "typical" cross section, , define  $Q^2 = s_{12}$  and  $x_{ij} = s_{ij}/Q^2$ ,

$$\sigma\left(\!rac{Q^2}{\mu^2},x_{ij},\!rac{m_i^2}{\mu^2},lpha_s(\mu),\mu\!
ight) = \mathop{ imes}\limits_{n=1}^\infty a_n\left(\!rac{Q^2}{\mu^2},x_{ij},\!rac{m_i^2}{\mu^2}\!
ight)\,lpha_s^n(\mu)$$

with  $m_i^2$  all fixed masses – external, quark, gluon (=0!)

• Generically, the  $a_n$  depend logarithmically on their arguments, so a choice of large  $\mu$  results in large logs of  $m_i^2/\mu^2$ .

• But if we could find quantities that depend on  $m_i's$  only through powers,  $(m_i/\mu)^p, p > 0$ , the large- $\mu$  limit would exist.

$$egin{aligned} &\sigma\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu^2},x_{ij},rac{m{m}_i^2}{\mu^2},lpha_s(Q),\mu
ight) = \sigma\left(rac{Q}{\mu},x_{ij},rac{m{m}_i^2}{\mu^2},lpha_s(\mu),\mu
ight) \ &= \sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty a_n\left(rac{Q}{\mu},x_{ij}
ight) \, lpha_s^n(\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left[rac{m{m}_i^2}{\mu^2}
ight]^p
ight) \end{aligned}$$

- Such quantities are called infrared (IR) safe.
- Measure  $\sigma \rightarrow$  solve for  $\alpha_s$ . Allows observation of the running coupling.
- Most pQCD is the computation of IR safe quantities.

 $\bullet$  Consistency of  $\alpha_s(\mu)$  found as above at various momentum scales

(Particle Data Group)



• To find IR safe quantities, need to understand where the lowmass logs come from.

- $\bullet$  To analyze diagrams, we generally think of  $m \to 0$  limit in m/Q.
- Generic source of IR (soft <u>and</u> collinear) logarithms:



- IR logs come from degenerate states: Uncertainty principle  $\Delta E \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- For soft emission and collinear splitting it's "never too late". But these processes don't change the flow of energy ... The problem is asking for particle content.

- For IR safety, sum over degenerate final states in perturbation theory, and see what the sum is. This requires us to introduce another regularization, this time for IR behavior.
- The IR regulated theory is like QCD at short distances, but is better-behaved at long distances.

IR-regulated QCD not the same as QCD except for IR safe quantities.

- See how it works for the total  $e^+e^-$  annihilation cross section to order  $\alpha_s$ . Lowest order is  $2 \to 2, \sigma_2^{(0)} \equiv \sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma_3$  starts at order  $\alpha_s$ .
  - Gluon mass regularization:  $1/k^2 
    ightarrow 1/(k^2-m_G)^2$

$$egin{aligned} &\sigma_3^{(m_G)} = \sigma_0 rac{4lpha_s}{3 \, \pi} iggl( 2 \ln^2 rac{Q}{m_g} - 3 \ln Q m_g - rac{\pi^2}{6} + rac{5}{2} iggr) \ &\sigma_2^{(m_G)} = \sigma_0 iggl[ 1 - rac{4 lpha_s}{3 \, \pi} iggl( 2 \ln^2 rac{Q}{m_g} - 3 \ln rac{Q}{m_g} - rac{\pi^2}{6} + rac{7}{4} iggr) iggr] \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\sigma_{ ext{tot}} = \sigma_2^{(m_G)} + \sigma_3^{(m_G)} = \sigma_0 \left[ 1 + rac{lpha_s}{\pi} 
ight]$$

- **Pretty simple!** (Cancellation of virtual ( $\sigma_2$ ) and real ( $\sigma_3$ ) gluon diagrams.)

- Dimensional regularization: change the area of the sphere from  $4\pi R^2$  to  $(4\pi)^{(1-\varepsilon)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(2(1-\varepsilon))} R^{2-2\varepsilon}$  with  $\varepsilon = 2 - D/2$  in D dimensions.

$$\begin{split} \sigma_3^{(\varepsilon)} &= \sigma_0 \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{(3-2\varepsilon)\Gamma(2-2\varepsilon)} \right) \left( \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{Q^2} \right)^{\varepsilon} \\ & \times \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{3}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + \frac{19}{4} \right) \\ \sigma_2^{(\varepsilon)} &= \sigma_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left( \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{(3-2\varepsilon)\Gamma(2-2\varepsilon)} \right) \left( \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{Q^2} \right)^{\varepsilon} \\ & \times \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{3}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + 4 \right) \right] \end{split}$$

which gives again

$$\sigma_{ ext{tot}} = \sigma_2^{(m_G)} + \sigma_3^{(m_G)} = \sigma_0 \left[ 1 + rac{lpha_s}{\pi} 
ight]$$

• This illustrates IR Safety:  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$  depend on regulator, but their sum does not.

• Generalized IR safety: sum over all states with the same flow of energy into the final state. Introduce IR safe weight " $e(\{p_i\})$ "

$$rac{d\sigma}{de} = \sum\limits_n /_{PS(n)} |M(\{p_i\})|^2 \delta\left(e(\{p_i\})-w
ight)$$

with

$$e(\dots p_i \dots p_{j-1}, \alpha p_i, p_{j+1} \dots) = e(\dots (1+\alpha)p_i \dots p_{j-1}, p_{j+1} \dots)$$

• Neglect long times in the initial state for the moment and see how this works in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation: event shapes and jet cross sections.

- "Seeing" Quarks and Gluons With Jet Cross Sections
- Simplest example: cone jets in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation



- Intuition: eliminating long-time behavior ⇔ recognize the impossibility of resolving collinear splitting/recombination of massless particles
- No factors Q/m or  $\ln(Q/m)$  Infrared Safety.

#### • In this case,

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_{2J}(Q,\delta,\epsilon) &= rac{3}{8} \sigma_0 (1+\cos^2 heta) \ & imes \left(1-rac{4lpha_s}{\pi} \left[4\ln\delta\ln\epsilon+3\ln\delta+rac{\pi^2}{3}+rac{5}{2}
ight]
ight) \end{aligned}$$

- Perfect for QCD: asymptotic freedom  $\rightarrow d\alpha_s(Q)/dQ < 0$ .
- No unique jet definition. ↔ Each event a sum of possible histories.
- Relation to quarks and gluons always approximate but corrections to the approximation computable.

• The general form of a jet cross section:

$$\sigma_{ ext{jet}} = \sigma_0 \mathop{ imes}\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(y_i, N, C_F) lpha_s^n(Q)$$

- Choices for  $y_i$ :  $\delta$ ,  $\Omega_{\rm jet}$ , T,  $y_{\rm cut}$ ,...
- $\delta$ , cone size;  $\Omega$ , jet direction
- Shape Variable, e.g. thrust (T = 1 for "back-to-back" jets

$$T = rac{1}{s} {
m max}_{\hat{n}} \, \left. \begin{smallmatrix} \Sigma & \ p \ i \end{smallmatrix} 
ight| \hat{n} \cdot ec{p_i} |$$

•  $y_{
m cut}$  Cluster Algorithm:  $y_{ij} > y_{
m cut}$ ,

$$m{y_{ij}} = 2 \mathrm{min}\left(m{E_i^2}, m{E_j^2}
ight) \left(1 - \cos m{ heta_{ij}}
ight)$$

Summarize: what makes a cross section infrared safe?

• Independence of long-time interactions:



More specifically: should depend on only the flow of energy into the final state. This implies independence of collinear re-arrangements and soft parton emission.

But if we prepare one or two particles in the initial state (as in DIS or proton-proton scattering), we will always be sensitive to long time behavior inside these particles. The parton model suggests what to do: factorize. This is the subject of Part III.