Vector Boson and Direct Photon Production



Jianwei Qiu Brookhaven National Laboratory

2014 CTEQ Summer School on QCD Analysis and Phenomenology Peking University (PKU), Beijing, China, July 8 – 18, 2014

Vector Bosons



Real data:



Outline of the two lectures

□ Lecture one:

- \diamond Basics of vector bosons
- Orell-Yan like production process
- Cross section with a single hard scale precision
- \diamond Cross section with two different scales resummation

□ Lecture two:

- Photon production at high pT direct vs fragmentation
- \diamond Isolation cut the need and its complication
- Photons from fixed target to collider energies
- Multi-boson associated production at collider energies

Basics of vector bosons

□ Electro-weak gauge bosons (physical states):

W[±] boson: M_W = 80.4 GeV g₂ = g_w – weak coupling V_{ff'} – CMK matrox for quarks couples only to left-handed fermions

Z⁰ boson:

 $M_z = 91.2 \text{ GeV}$ $\cos \theta_w$ – weak mixing angle couples to both left- and right-hand fermions

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma - \text{photon:} \\ M_{\gamma} = 0 \\ e - \text{electro-charge} \\ Q_{f} - \text{fraction in electro-charge} \end{array}$



Basics of vector bosons

□ More interactions ...

Triple gauge boson interactions:

$$W_{\nu} \searrow p_{2} \qquad V_{\lambda} (=\gamma, Z^{0})$$

$$V_{\lambda} (=\gamma, Z^{0}) = -ig_{V} \left[(p_{1} - p_{2})_{\lambda}g_{\mu\nu} + (p_{2} - p_{3})_{\mu}g_{\nu\lambda} + (p_{3} - p_{1})_{\nu}g_{\lambda\mu} \right]$$

$$W_{\mu} \swarrow g_{\nu} = g_{2} \sin(\theta_{W}) \text{ for } \gamma, \text{ and } = g_{2} \cos(\theta_{W}) \text{ for } Z^{0}$$

Four point interactions:

$$\begin{array}{l} W_{\mu} & \mathcal{W}_{\nu} \\ \mathcal{W}_{\lambda} & \mathcal{W}_{\nu} \\ W_{\lambda} & \mathcal{W}_{\rho} \end{array} = ig_{2}^{2}(2g_{\mu\nu}g_{\lambda\rho} - g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\rho} - g_{\mu\rho}g_{\lambda\nu})$$

More WWVV – type four point interactions ...

 \Box Heavy quarkonia: $J/\psi, \Upsilon, ...$

Not covered in these two lectures ...

Basics of vector bosons

□ Large production cross sections:

Compare to the rate of BSM signals

Theoretically,

Production – well understood

Calibration – new calculations

□ Experimentally,

Decay to a massive lepton pair

- clean & well measured final states
- Leptons, missing energy (+ jets)
- crucial backgrounds for new physics



Drell-Yan process

□ Hadronic production of a massive lepton pair:

First experiment:

$$p+U \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-(Q) + X \ @ \ {\rm BNL}$$

["famous" Lederman experiment]

□ Two features:

A shoulder-like structure near Q = 3 GeV

[Discovery of J/Ψ in 1974]

A rapid fall-off of cross section as Q increases

[Drell-Yan mechanism in 1970]



Christenson et al. 1970

Drell-Yan process

Drell-Yan mechanism:

S.D. Drell and T.-M. Yan Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 316 (1970)

 $A(P_A) + B(P_B) \rightarrow \gamma^*(q) [\rightarrow l\bar{l}(q)] + X$ with $q^2 \equiv Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2 \sim 1/{\rm fm}^2$

Lepton pair – from decay of a virtual photon, or in general, a massive boson, e.g., W, Z, H⁰, ... (called Drell-Yan like processes)

□ Original Drell-Yan formula:



Drell-Yan process

□ Significance:

 First example of a calculable hadron-hadron process in the context of the parton model

Very nontrivial in QCD!



- QCD factorization:
 Structure of the parton model calculation preserved in the presence of QCD corrections
- Precision SM measurements one of the early calculations of higher order QCD corrections
- ♦ Important roles in searches for new physics

□ Prediction – Normalized angular distribution:

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d^4q}\right)^{-1} \frac{d\sigma}{d^4q d\Omega} = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda+3}\right) \left[1 + \lambda \cos^2\theta + \mu \sin(2\theta) \cos\phi + \frac{\nu}{2} \sin^2\theta \cos(2\phi)\right]$$

♦ Lam-Tung relation: $1 - \lambda - 2\nu = 0$ Transversely polarized photon!

□ Spin decomposition – cut diagram notation:



$$\Leftarrow \text{ all } \gamma \text{ structure: } \gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{5}, \sigma^{\alpha\beta}(\text{ or } \gamma^{5}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}), I, \gamma^{5} \\ \Leftarrow \text{ all } \gamma \text{ structure: } \gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{5}, \sigma^{\alpha\beta}(\text{ or } \gamma^{5}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}), I, \gamma^{5}$$

□ Factorized cross section:

$$\sigma(Q,\vec{s}) \pm \sigma(Q,-\vec{s}) \propto \langle p,\vec{s}|\mathcal{O}(\psi,A^{\mu})|p,\vec{s}\rangle \pm \langle p,-\vec{s}|\mathcal{O}(\psi,A^{\mu})|p,-\vec{s}\rangle$$

□ Parity-Time reversal invariance:

$$\langle p, -\vec{s} | \mathcal{O}(\psi, A^{\mu}) | p, -\vec{s} \rangle = \langle p, \vec{s} | \mathcal{PTO}^{\dagger}(\psi, A^{\mu}) \mathcal{T}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^{-1} | p, \vec{s} \rangle$$

Good operators:

$$\langle p, \vec{s} | \mathcal{PTO}^{\dagger}(\psi, A^{\mu}) \mathcal{T}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^{-1} | p, \vec{s} \rangle = \pm \langle p, \vec{s} | \mathcal{O}(\psi, A^{\mu}) | p, \vec{s} \rangle$$

"+" for spin-averaged cross section \longrightarrow PDFs: $\langle p, \vec{s} | \overline{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ \psi(y^-) | p, \vec{s} \rangle, \quad \langle p, \vec{s} | F^{+i}(0) F^{+j} | p, \vec{s} \rangle (-g_{ij})$

□ Spin-averaged cross section – Lowest order:



❑ Lowest order partonic cross section:

 $\overline{q}(p_2)$

1*(k₂)

$$\begin{split} \overline{\Sigma} \left| M \right|^2 &= \frac{e_q^2 e^4}{\hat{s}^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] 3 \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \right\} \operatorname{Tr}[\not\!\!p_1 \gamma^{\nu} \not\!\!p_2 \gamma^{\mu}] \operatorname{Tr}[\not\!\!k_1 \gamma_{\nu} \not\!\!k_2 \gamma_{\mu}] &= \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \right\} e_q^2 e^4 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \\ PS^{(2)} &= \frac{d^2 k_1}{(2\pi)^3 2 E_1} \frac{d^2 k_2}{(2\pi)^3 2 E_2} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (p_1 + p_2 - k_1 - k_2) = \frac{1}{16\pi} d\cos(\theta) \\ \sigma(q\bar{q} \to l^+ l^-) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \right\} \frac{4\pi \alpha^2}{3\,\hat{s}} e_q^2 \equiv \sigma_0 \end{split}$$

Drell-Yan cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2 dy} = \Sigma_q \int dx_A \, dx_B \, \phi_{q/A}(x_A) \phi_{\bar{q}/B}(x_B) \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{3} \right\} \frac{4\pi \alpha^2}{3 \, \hat{s}} e_q^2 \right] \delta(Q^2 - \hat{s}) \, \delta(y - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{x_A}{x_B}))$$

Beyond the lowest order:



- Soft-gluon interaction takes place all the time
- Long-range gluon interaction before the hard collision

Break the Universality of PDFs
 Loss the predictive power

□ Factorization – power suppression of soft gluon interaction:



□ Factorization – approximation:

Collins, Soper, Sterman, 1988

♦ Suppression of quantum interference between short-distance (1/Q) and long-distance (fm ~ $1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$) physics

Need "long-lived" active parton states linking the two



$$\int d^4 p_a \, \frac{1}{p_a^2 + i\varepsilon} \, \frac{1}{p_a^2 - i\varepsilon} \to \infty$$

Perturbatively pinched at $p_a^2 = 0$

Active parton is effectively on-shell for the hard collision

 \diamond Maintain the universality of PDFs: Long-range soft gluon interaction has to be power suppressed

 \diamond Infrared safe of partonic parts:

Cancelation of IR behavior Absorb all CO divergences into PDFs

on-shell: p_a^2 , $p_b^2 \ll Q^2$; collinear: p_{aT}^2 , $p_{bT}^2 \ll Q^2$; higher-power: $p_a^- \ll q^-$; and $p_b^+ \ll q^+$

□ Leading singular integration regions (pinch surface):



□ Collinear gluons:

- \diamond Collinear gluons have the polarization vector: $\ \epsilon^{\mu} \sim k^{\mu}$
- The sum of the effect can be represented by the eikonal lines,

which are needed to make the PDFs gauge invariant!

Hard: all lines off-shell by Q

Collinear:

- ♦ lines collinear to A and B
- One "physical parton" per hadron

Soft: all components are soft



□ Trouble with soft gluons:



 $(xp+k)^2 + i\epsilon \propto k^- + i\epsilon$ $((1-x)p-k)^2 + i\epsilon \propto k^- - i\epsilon$

- Soft gluon exchanged between a spectator quark of hadron B and the active quark of hadron A could rotate the quark's color and keep it from annihilating with the antiquark of hadron B
- ♦ The soft gluon approximations (with the eikonal lines) need k^{\pm} not too small. But, k^{\pm} could be trapped in "too small" region due to the pinch from spectator interaction: $k^{\pm} \sim M^2/Q \ll k_{\perp} \sim M$ Need to show that soft-gluon interactions are power suppressed

□ Most difficult part of factorization:



- ♦ Sum over all final states to remove all poles in one-half plane
 - no more pinch poles
- \diamond Deform the k^{\pm} integration out of the trapped soft region
- ♦ Eikonal approximation → soft gluons to eikonal lines
 - gauge links
- Collinear factorization: Unitarity soft factor = 1
 All identified leading integration regions are factorizable!

Factorized Drell-Yan cross section

 \Box TMD factorization ($q_{\perp} \ll Q$):

 $\frac{d\sigma_{AB}}{d^4q} = \sigma_0 \int d^2 k_{a\perp} d^2 k_{b\perp} d^2 k_{s\perp} \delta^2 (q_\perp - k_{a\perp} - k_{b\perp} - k_{s\perp}) \mathcal{F}_{a/A}(x_A, k_{a\perp}) \mathcal{F}_{b/B}(x_B, k_{b\perp}) \mathcal{S}(k_{s\perp})$ $+ \mathcal{O}(q_\perp/Q) \qquad x_A = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{s}} e^y \qquad x_B = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-y}$

The soft factor, $\ {\cal S}$, is universal, could be absorbed into the definition of TMD parton distribution

 \Box Collinear factorization ($q_{\perp} \sim Q$):

 $\frac{d\sigma_{AB}}{d^4q} = \int dx_a f_{a/A}(x_a,\mu) \int dx_b f_{b/B}(x_b,\mu) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{ab}}{d^4q}(x_a,x_b,\alpha_s(\mu),\mu) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q)$

□ Spin dependence:

The factorization arguments are independent of the spin states of the colliding hadrons



same formula with polarized PDFs for $\gamma^*, W/Z, H^0...$

Partonic hard parts:

$$\hat{\sigma}(\alpha_s, \mu_F, \mu_R) = [\alpha_s(\mu_R)]^{n_\alpha} \left[\hat{\sigma}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \hat{\sigma}^{(1)}(\mu_F, \mu_R) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^2 \hat{\sigma}^{(2)}(\mu_F, \mu_R) + \cdots \right]$$

$$LO \qquad \text{NLO} \qquad \text{NNLO}$$

INNLO total x-section $\sigma(AB \rightarrow W, Z)$:

(Hamberg, van Neerven, Matsuura; Harlander, Kilgore 1991)

Scale dependence:
 a few percent
 NNLO K-factor is about
 0.98 for LHC data, 1.04

for Tevatron data



□ NNLO differential x-section:

Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello, 2003-05







□ Flavor asymmetry of the sea:

$$\sigma_{DY}(p+d)/2\sigma_{DY}(p+p) \simeq \left[1 + \bar{d}(x)/\bar{u}(x)\right]/2$$



х

\Box Charged lepton asymmetry: $y \rightarrow y_{max}$

$$A_{ch}(y_e) = \frac{d\sigma^{W^+}/dy_e - d\sigma^{W^-}/dy_e}{d\sigma^{W^+}/dy_e + d\sigma^{W^-}/dy_e} \longrightarrow \frac{d(x_B, M_W)/u(x_B, M_W) - d(x_A, M_W)/u(x_A, M_W)}{d(x_B, M_W)/u(x_B, M_W) + d(x_A, M_W)/u(x_A, M_W)}$$



The *A_{ch}* data distinguish between the PDF models, reduce the PDF uncertainty

\Box Charged lepton asymmetry: $y \rightarrow y_{max}$

$$A_{ch}(y_e) = \frac{d\sigma^{W^+}/dy_e - d\sigma^{W^-}/dy_e}{d\sigma^{W^+}/dy_e + d\sigma^{W^-}/dy_e} \longrightarrow \frac{d(x_B, M_W)/u(x_B, M_W) - d(x_A, M_W)/u(x_A, M_W)}{d(x_B, M_W)/u(x_B, M_W) + d(x_A, M_W)/u(x_A, M_W)}$$



Sensitive both to d/u at x > 0.1 and u/d at $x \sim 0.01$

Cross section with two hard scales

$$Q_1^2 \gg Q_2^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2, \quad Q_1^2 \gg Q_2^2 \gtrsim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$$

□ Large perturbative logarithms:

 $lpha_s(\mu^2=Q_1^2)~~{
m is~small,~But,}~~lpha_s(Q_1^2)\ln(Q_1^2/Q_2^2)~{
m is~not~necessary~small!}$

Massless theory:

<u>Two</u> powers of large logs for each order in perturbation theory $\alpha_s(Q_1^2) \ln^2(Q_1^2/Q_2^2)$ due to overlap of IR and CO regions **Example – EM form factor:** $\Gamma_{\mu}(q^2,\epsilon) = -ie\mu^{\epsilon} \ \bar{u} \ (p_1)\gamma_{\mu}v(p_2) \ \rho(q^2,\epsilon)$ $\rho(q^2,\epsilon) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{-a^2 - i\epsilon}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(1-\epsilon)\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \left\{\frac{1}{(-\epsilon)^2} - \frac{3}{2(-\epsilon)} + 4\right\}$ $=1-\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\,\ln^2(q^2/\mu^2)+\dots$ Sudakov double logarithms Common to all massless theories

Drell-Yan Q_T-distribution



Leading double log contribution



□ Integrated Q_T-distribution:



Resummed Q_T distribution

 \Box Differentiate the integrated Q_T -distribution:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ_T^2} \approx \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dy}\right)_{\text{Born}} \times 2C_F\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) \frac{\ln\left(Q^2/Q_T^2\right)}{Q_T^2} \times \exp\left[-C_F\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\ln^2\left(Q^2/Q_T^2\right)\right] \Rightarrow 0$$
as $Q_T \to 0$

Compare to the explicit LO calculation:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ_T^2} \approx \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dy}\right)_{Bom} \times 2C_F\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) \frac{\ln(Q^2/Q_T^2)}{Q_T^2} \Rightarrow \infty \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_T \text{-spectrum (as } \mathbf{Q}_T \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \text{ is } \\ \text{completely changed!} \end{bmatrix}$$

We just resummed (exponentiated) an infinite series of soft gluon emissions – double logarithms

$$e^{-\alpha_{s}L^{2}} \approx 1 - \alpha_{s}L^{2} + \frac{(\alpha_{s}L^{2})^{2}}{2!} - \frac{(\alpha_{s}L^{2})^{3}}{3!} + \dots$$

$$L \propto \ln \left(Q^2 / Q_T^2 \right)$$

Soft gluon emission treated as uncorrelated

Still a wrong Q_T-distribution

Experimental fact:

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ_T^2} \Rightarrow \text{finite [neither ∞ nor $0!]} \text{ as } Q_T \to 0$

- Double Leading Logarithms Approximation (DLLA) radiated gluons are both soft and collinear with strong ordering in their transverse momenta
- Strong ordering in transverse momenta in DLLA
 - overly constrains the phase space of the emitted gluons
 - ignores the overall transverse momentum conservation
 - \Rightarrow DLLA over suppresses small Q_T region

Resummation of uncorrelated soft gluon emission leads to a too strong suppression at $Q_T = 0$!

Still a wrong Q_T -distribution

U Why?

Particle can receive many finite k_T kicks via soft gluon radiation yet still have $Q_T = 0$

- Need a vector sum!



 \Box Subleading logarithms are equally important at $Q_T = 0$

Solution:

To impose the 4-momentum conservation at each step of soft gluon resummation TMD factorization

CSS b-space resummation formalism

TMD-factorized cross section: $\frac{d\sigma_{AB}}{dQ^2 dQ}$ $\times P_{f/}$ $\times \delta^2 ($ $\delta^2 (\vec{Q}_T -$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AB}}{dQ^2 dQ_T^2} = \sum_{f} \int d\xi_a d\xi_b \int \frac{d^2 k_{A_T} d^2 k_{B_T} d^2 k_{s,T}}{(2\pi)^6} \\ \times P_{f/A}(\xi_a, k_{A_T}) P_{\bar{f}/B}(\xi_b, k_{B_T}) H_{f\bar{f}}(Q^2) S(k_{s,T}) \\ \times \delta^2(\vec{Q}_T - \vec{k}_{A_T} - \vec{k}_{B_T} - \vec{k}_{s,T})$$

Collins, Soper, Sterman, 1985

$$\delta^{2}(\vec{Q}_{T} - \prod_{i} \vec{k}_{i,T}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int d^{2}b \, e^{i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{Q}_{T}} \prod_{i} e^{-i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{k}_{i,T}}$$

0

□ Factorized cross section in "impact parameter b-space":

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AB}(Q,b)}{dQ^2} = \sum_{f} \int d\xi_a d\xi_b \overline{P}_{f/A}(\xi_a,b,n) \overline{P}_{\overline{f}/B}(\xi_b,b,n) H_{f\overline{f}}(Q^2) U(b,n)$$

Resummation: Two equations, two resummation of log's

$$\mu_{\rm ren} \, \frac{d\sigma}{d\mu_{\rm ren}} = 0 \qquad \qquad n^{\nu} \, \frac{d\sigma}{dn^{\nu}} =$$

CSS b-space resummation formalism

 \Box Solve those two equations and transform back to Q_T :



Role of each term:

Resummed cross section for W^+ production $d\sigma/dQ^2 dQ_r dy (y = 0)$ for pp collisions at 8 TeV

implemented in RESBOS code



CSS b-space resummation formalism

□ b-space distribution:



Nonperturbative contribution from large b-region:



Role of the nonperturbative input

\Box For the region where b > 1/GeV:

 Work in Q_T-space directly to some approximation The originals: Dokshitzer, Diakanov & Troyan Revived by Ellis & Veseli Kulesza & Stirling who re-derived it from b-space.

2) Insert a "soft landing" on the k_T integral by replacing

 $1/b \rightarrow \sqrt{1/b^2 + 1/b_*^2}$

for some fixed b_* . (CS, CSS " b_* " prescription, ResBos)

- 3) Extrapolation of $E^{\rm PT}$ into NP region (Qiu, Zhang)
- 4) Minimal: avoid the singularity at $1/b = \Lambda_{QCD}$

by monkeying with the *b*-space contour integral (This technique introduced in threshold resummation; then adapted by Laenen, GS and Vogelsang, and Bozzi, Catani, de Florian and Grazzini.)

5) SCET, ...

Compare with the LHC data:





Upsilon production (low Q, large phase space):



Gluon-gluon dominate the production Dominated by perturbative contribution even M_Y~10 GeV

Prediction vs Tevatron data:



□ Higgs at the LHC:

Berger, Qiu, 2003



Effectively no non-perturbative uncertainty!

Thank you!

See you at the recitation tonight

Backup slices

Compare with the Tevatron data:



No free fitting parameter!

Kang, Qiu, 2012

Compare with the LHC data:



Effectively no non-perturbative uncertainty!

