Challenges in Galaxy Formation: an exploration with numerical simulations

Christine M. Simpson Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies (HITS)

> SMU Physics Seminar Dec. 12, 2017

Outline

I. Introduction to ACDM

- II. Are the properties of galaxies consistent with LCDM? III. Galactic winds
- IV. Galaxy formation at the extreme: dwarf & satellite galaxies
- V. Future Prospects: surveys, big data, and simulations VI. Conclusions

Hubble Ultra Deep Field

'ACDM'

Λ : cosmological constant, i.e. 'dark energy' CDM : 'cold dark matter'

THE BIG BANG

'light matter' or 'baryonic matter' DARK ENERGY? Atoms GALAXY EVOLUTION Dark 4.6% Energy CONTINUES ... 71.4% Dark FIRST STARS Matter 400,000.000 YEARS AFTER BIG BANG 24% INFLATION TODAY Now 13,700,000,000 YEARS AFTER BIG BANG COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND 400,000 YEARS AFTER BIG BANG FIRST GALAXIES 1000,000,000 YEARS AFTER BIG BANG FORMATION OF THE SOLAR SYSTEM 8,700,000,000 YEARS

AFTER BIG BANG

Amazing observational progress has specified the initial conditions CMB CONSTRAINTS TODAY AS SEEN BY PLANCK (BUT ALSO WMAP & COBE)

Minimal, 6-parameter **ACDM** model is a great fit



The Millennium Simulation captured the non-linear growth of small density perturbations into dark matter halos

COSMIC WEB IN MILLENNIUM

Includes over 10 billion particles

nanne

GENOME EDITING Rewriting the rules for gene therapy

BCL-2INHIBITORS Potent new antitumour compounds

HUMAN BEHAVIOUR Oxytocin - the 'trust hormone'

SURPRISING DINOSAURS A sauropod, by a short neck



growth of 20 million galaxies

THE INTERNATIONAL WEEKLY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE



The Millennium Simulation found good agreement of the predicted large-scale galaxy distribution with observations

VIRTUAL VS OBSERVED **PIE DIAGRAMS**



public access to SQL-queryable database with simulation predictions led to more than 850 publications based on the Millennium simulation thus far

Outline I. Introduction to ΛCDM II. Are the properties of galaxies consistent with LCDM? III. Galactic winds IV. Galaxy formation at the extreme: dwarf & satellite galaxies V. Future Prospects: surveys, big data, and simulations

VI. Conclusions

Millennium Run 10.077.696.000 particles

> M51 Hubble Herritage Team (2005)

5 Mpc

Abundance matching gives the expected halo mass – stellar mass relation in ΛCDM

MODULATION OF GLOBAL STAR FORMATION EFFICIENCY AS A FUNCTION OF HALO MASS



Much of astrophysics is described through systems of **Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)**

WE ALSO NEED TO DISCRETIZE THE PROBLEM



Discretize Gas on a Mesh



Discretize Dark Matter & Stars with Particles



Euler's Equations (Navier-Stokes, etc.)

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T + P) = \nabla \mathbf{\Pi} \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho e) + \nabla[(\rho e + P)\mathbf{v}] = \nabla(\mathbf{\Pi}\mathbf{v}) \end{split}$$

- Additional equations can describe
 - collisionless dynamics
 - magnetic fields
 - CRs
 - Radiation

What physics suppresses star formation in galaxies?

- Supernova explosions (energy & momentum input)
- Stellar winds
- **Black Hole activity** •
- Radiation pressure on dust •
- Photoionizing UV background and Reionization •
- Modification of cooling through local UV/X-ray flux •
- Photoelectric heating
- Cosmic ray pressure
- Magnetic pressure and MHD turbulence
- Exotic physics (decaying dark matter particles, etc.) •







Ciardi al. (2003)

Bubble Nebula



Kepler's

Supernova



Galactic winds are a manifestation of stellar feedback and necessary to explain galaxy properties EXAMPLE GALAXY M82

Galactic winds may impact global galaxy properties by:

- removing gas
- heating the gaseous halo
- driving turbulence within the ISM/ CGM
- dynamically heat the dark matter



What drives galactic winds?

Cosmic Rays

Image credit: NASA/CXC/SAO



~10% of SN energy goes into CRs (Morlino & Caprioli 2012)

~ CR pressure in equipartition with thermal, magnetic & turbulent pressures in the ISM (Boulares & Cox 2000)

Stratified-box simulations of SN feedback demonstrate the importance of CRs for driving outlows DIFFERENT MODES OF SUPERNOVA FEEDBACK

Simpson et al. (2016)







Outflow Properties



Mass loss rate ~equals star formation rate





CRs are a viable mechanism for driving outflows, yet give different predictions for temperature & velocity of outflows Simpson et al. (2016)

Outline

- I. Introduction to ΛCDM
- II. Are the properties of galaxies consistent with LCDM? III. Galactic winds
- IV. Galaxy formation at the extreme: dwarf & satellite galaxies
- V. Future Prospects: surveys, big data, and simulations VI. Conclusions

Inconsistencies between pure CDM predictions & observations especially acute at low masses

'Missing' Satellites (e.g. Moore et al. 1999)



Dark matter profiles may be cored (Walker & Penarrubia 2011)



'Too big to fail' (Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2012)



Planes of satellites? (Ibata et al. 2013)



The Auriga Project Grand et al. 2017





Stellar light

physical volume: 400 kpc

The Set-up & Physics

- Thirty cosmological zoom simulations of $10^{12}\,M_{\odot}$ halos
- DM particle mass ~ 3 x 10⁵ M_{\odot} ; baryon cell/particle mass ~ 5 x 10⁴ M_{\odot}
- Second-order hydrodynamics on a moving mesh (AREPO)
- MHD, SF & stellar feedback, AGN feedback, UV background, atomic & metal line cooling



Ram pressure is the dominant quenching mechanism EVIDENCE FROM THE AURIGA SIMULATIONS



Simpson et al. 1705.03018

Can we explain the observed quenching times of MW satellite galaxies? A COMPARISON TO THE ANGST SAMPLE

 au_{90} is the time by which 90% of a system's stellar mass formed

- cyan points from ANGST sample (Weisz et al. 2015)
- black and magenta points Auriga satellites (quenched & star forming)

Simpson et al. 1705.03018



Outline

- I. Introduction to ΛCDM
- II. Are the properties of galaxies consistent with LCDM? III. Galactic winds
- IV. Galaxy formation at the extreme: dwarf & satellite galaxies
- V. Future Prospects: surveys, big data, and simulations VI. Conclusions

An open question: what is the lowest mass galaxy? AN OPPORTUNITY FOR NEW SURVEYS



Is there a limit to galaxy formation? Is this limit determined by dark matter or some other effect?

Reionization and MW dSphs Simpson et al. 2012



	Reionization z=6-7	Reionization z=8-8.9
	R10	R10-earlyUV
$\begin{array}{c} M_{tot}/M_{\odot} \\ M_{*}/M_{\odot} \\ r_{200} \ (\mathrm{kpc}) \\ r_{1/2} \ (\mathrm{pc}) \\ M_{1/2}/M_{\odot} \\ M_{300}/M_{\odot} \\ \sigma_{1/2} \ (\mathrm{km/s}) \\ \langle Z/Z_{\odot} \rangle \ (\mathrm{median}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.55 \times 10^9 \\ 1.43 \times 10^6 \\ 23.7 \\ 704 \\ 3.05 \times 10^7 \\ 7.53 \times 10^6 \\ 7.83 \\ 0.51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.55 \times 10^9 \\ 1.16 \times 10^5 \\ 23.9 \\ 213 \\ 3.86 \times 10^6 \\ 7.41 \times 10^6 \\ 8.30 \\ 0.06 \end{array}$

Data from Walker et al. 2009, Kirby et al. 2008 & Kirby et al. 2011

New and upcoming observational facilities will expand our understanding of galaxy formation

OBTAIN TESTABLE PREDICTIONS FOR NEW UND UPCOMING LARGE OBSERVATIONAL FACILITIES







James Webb Space Telescope



Atacama Large Millimeter Array



Square Kilometer Array





ANGST



JWST and near field cosmology RESOLVED SFH IN A LARGER VOLUME



Brown+ 2008

The Illustris Simulation

M. Vogelsberger S. Genel V. Springel P. Torrey D. Sijacki D. Xu G. Snyder S. Bird D. Nelson L. Hernquist



Dark Matter Density Gas Density

THE EAGLE PROJECT



Schaye et al. (2014) and the Virgo Consortium

V. Conclusions

• One of the fundamental tests of Λ CDM is observations of galaxies and their properties Numerical simulations are necessary to make predictions for these data Modeling baryonic effects (e.g. stellar feedback) is an important component of predictive simulations As data become bigger, so too must simulations - leaps forward in computing speed and algorithm development will help us to develop next generation simulations