#### OUTLINE:

- 1. Direct Dark Matter Search: SuperCDMS
- 2. Backgrounds
- 3. iZIP design
- 4. Interactions in the detectors
- 5. Background discrimination techniques
  - Yield
  - Ionization
  - Phonon Pulse
     Shape

## SuperCDMS Status and PROSPECTS

Bedile Karabuga Southern Methodist University SuperCDMS Collaboration APS-2011





California Institute of Technology Z. Ahmed, B. Doughthery, J. Filippini, S.R. Golwala, D. Moore, R. Nelson, R.W. Ogburn

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory D. A. Bauer, F. DeJongh, J. Hall, S. Hansen, D. Holmgren, L. Hsu, R.L. Schmitt, R. B. Thakur, J. Yoo

Massachusetts Institute of Technology A. Anderson, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, S. Hertel, S.W. Leman, K.A. McCarthy, P. Wikus

NIST K. Irwin

#### Queen's University C.H. Crewdson, P. Di Stefano, J. Fox , O. Kamaev, S. Liu , C. Martinez, P. Nadeau, K. Page, W. Rau,

Y. Ricci, M.A. Verdier Santa Clara University B. A. Young

Southern Methodist University

J. Cooley, B. Karabuga, H. Qiu, S. Scorza

#### SLAC/KIPAC

M. Asai, A. Borgland, D. Brandt, P.L. Brink, W. Craddock, E. do Couto e Silva, G.G. Godfrey, J. Hasi, M. Kelsey, C. J. Kenney, P. C. Kim, R. Partridge, R. Resch, D. Wright

Stanford University B. Cabrera, M. Cherry , R. Moffatt, L. Novak, M. Pyle, M. Razeti, B. Shank, A. Tomada, S. Yellin, J. Yen

Syracuse University M. Kos, M. Kiveni, R. W. Schnee

Texas A&M R. Harris, A. Jastram, K. Koch, R. Mahapatra, M. Platt, K. Prasad, J. Sander

University of California, Berkeley M. Daal, T. Doughty, N. Mirabolfathi, A. Phipps, B. Sadoulet, D. Seitz, B. Serfass, D. Speller, K.M. Sundqvist

University of California, Santa Barbara R. Bunker, D.O. Caldwell, H. Nelson

University of Colorado Denver B.A. Hines, M.E. Huber

University of Florida D. Balakishiyeva, T. Saab, B. Welliver

University of Minnesota J. Beaty, H. Chagani, P. Cushman, S. Fallows, M. Fritts, T.Hoffer, V. Mandic, X. Qiu, R. Radpour, A. Reisetter, A. Villano, J. Zhang



## SuperCDMS EXPERIMENT

Challenges	Detection Requires	SuperCDMS
Low interaction rate (< 1 event/kg/week)	High exposure = Large Detector Mass + long run	Increased the exposure by 2.5 1 cm $\rightarrow$ 1 inch height
Low recoil energy (~10s of keV)	Low energy threshold Excellent Resolution	Cryogenic detectors →Phonons
High Background	<ol> <li>Background knowledge</li> <li>Low radioactivity</li> <li>Powerful rejection</li> </ol>	See next slide

## BACKGROUNDS

#### Background Sources:

Cosmogenic muons

- ➔ leading cosmogenic neutrons
- ➔ rejected by active veto

#### Radioactive neutrons

➔ polyethylene shielding

Gammas from radioactive impurities

➔ Lead shielding

#### Rn exposure

→ implants <sup>210</sup>Pb on detector surfaces

#### Inside the crystals:

- 1. Gamma interactions in the bulk of the crystal : BULK GAMMAS
- 2. Interactions near surface : SURFACE EVENTS
  - 1. Beta emitted by <sup>210</sup>Pb implanted on the surfaces of the detector while fabrication and testing
  - 2. Low energy electron interactions in the crystal near surfaces



# IZIP : INTERLEAVED Z-SENSITIVE IONIZATION AND PHONON DETECTOR

- 1 inch thick Ge
- NEW interleaved layout of ionization and phonon sensors
- Phonon and charge sensors on BOTH sides
- The 3 inner -1 outer phonon channels layout and rotation in bottom channels by 60 degree enable very good position reconstruction





## INTERACTIONS IN THE CRYSTAL

- 2 signals from each interaction in the crystal:
  - e-h pairs collected by electrodes (3 eV/pair)
  - 2. Phonons collected by W-TES

#### **BULK EVENTS** - Interactions in the bulk:

- Phonons collected by both sides
- e-h pairs are collected by opposite sides

## SURFACE EVENTS - Interactions near surface:

- Phonons collected by both sides
- e-h pairs are collected by the same side !!!!





Electron Recoils: ~25% e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> ~75% phonon  $Q_{ne}$  ~0.3  $Q_{ee}$ Nuclear Recoils: ~8% e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> ~92% phonon

In test facilities we use; <sup>133</sup>Ba  $\rightarrow$  gammas <sup>109</sup>Cd  $\rightarrow$  surface electrons <sup>252</sup>Cf  $\rightarrow$  <u>neutrons</u> to mimic our *backgrounds* and <u>signal.</u>



## IONIZATION

### Surface events from bulk events

## Electrodes on both surface $\rightarrow$



### PHONON PULSE SHAPE

#### Surface events from bulk events



#### **Bulk Event Rejection**

Discrimination Type	SuperCDMS Rejection
Yield Based	> 1:10 <sup>6</sup>
Phonon Pulse Shape (NR/ER discrimination)	>1:10 <sup>3</sup> will improve significantly

### Surface Event Rejection

Discrimination Type	SuperCDMS Rejection
Yield Based	>1:10 <sup>3</sup>
Ionization Based	>1:10 <sup>4</sup>
Phonon Based	>1:10 <sup>3</sup>

#### TOTAL Surface Event Rejection >1:10<sup>6</sup> Way more than 1:3000 rejection required for the science goal of SuperCDMS !!

## CONCLUSIONS

- CDMS collaboration produced iZIP detectors for increased rejection of background and higher probability to observe WIMPs.
- Promising iZIP performance in test facilities in both rejection and stability.
- 5 towers of iZIPs will be deployed to the Soudan mine in this November.
- Science run is expected to start in the following months in 2012.

## BACK-UP SLIDES



To get the sensitivity to spin independent cross section per nucleon from WIMP interaction to be better than  $9*10^{-45}$  cm<sup>2</sup> for a WIMP mass of 60 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>

### PHONON PULSE SHAPE ER/NR DISCRIMINATION



Total phonon traces for bulk ER/NR

ER

NR

Inside the crystals:

- 1. Interactions in the bulk of the crystal
  - 1. Compton scattering of the ambient photon flux
  - 2. 10.4 keV Gallium X-rays from e-capture decays of cosmogenically created 68Ge and 71Ge
- 2. Interactions near surface
  - 1. Beta emitted by <sup>210</sup>Pb implanted on the surfaces of the detector while fabrication and testing
  - 2. Low energy electron interactions in the crystal near surfaces



## SURFACE EVENTS REJECTION



# IZIP : INTERLEAVED Z-SENSITIVE IONIZATION AND PHONON DETECTOR



	ZIP of CDMSII	iZIP of SuperCDMS
Thickness x diameter	1 cm. x 7.5 cm.	2.5 cm. x 7.5 cm.
Mass	230 g.	607 g.
Number of Charge Channels	2 (single sided)	4 (2 per side)
Number of Phonon Channels	4(single sided)	8 (4 per side)

iZIP

## PHONON TYPES



## PHONON DETECTION

 Thin superconducting Al strip operated close to T<sub>c</sub>

• mechanism:

Tungsten strips set just below the edge of the superconductivity using bias voltage

as phonons interact with strip temperature increase

```
    ↓
    Resistance, R(T), increased dramatically due to temperature rise
    ↓
    Current decrease due to R(T) increased
    ↓
    change in current cause magnetic flux change
    ↓
    measured with high sensitivity by SQUID
```

Bedile Karabuga - APS 2011



## O YIELD EVENTS

- Zero---charge events scale with electron---recoil rate, not exposure
- Consistent with electron recoils where charge is collected on cylindrical surfaces
- Pass fiducial volume selection since guard electrode signal consistent with noise
- Comparison of candidates and calibration data, T1Z5 Guard ring Inner electrode



## ELECTRON TRAPPING

- Ge has an indirect band gap
- Conduction band minima (8) along diagonal [111] directions
- Electrons experience oblique propagation, moving at an angle (~33 degrees) relative to applied field in the [100] direction



#### **Evidence**

#### Galactic Dynamics :

Some of the clearest evidence for the existence of dark comes from the rotational dynamics of spiral galaxies.

A spiral galaxy such as our own consists of a central brig surrounded by a rotating disk of younger stars. Measure the galactic rotation speed as a function of radius have conducted for many spiral galaxies.





## BULLET CLUSTER :



In a textbook example of a shock front, the bullet-shaped cloud of gas at the right was distorted during the titanic collision between two galaxy clusters that created the larger bullet cluster itself. However, the dark matter present has not interacted with the cluster gas except by gravity. The clear separation of dark matter and gas clouds is considered direct evidence of the existence of particle dark matter.

### Cosmogenic Pie :

With the most recent measurements of the cosmic microwave background anisotropies (CMB) and simulations of large scale structures (LSS) of the universe as well as various other astronomical observations, it is now possible to have a clear and consistent picture of the history and content of the universe since nucleosynthesis.



The baryon (ordinary matter we observe) ratio calculated based on the baryon-to-phonon ratio calculations using deuterium abundances is about 4%. Shows that ordinary, baryonic matter thus only constitutes a small fraction of the universe's total matter density. The dominant dark Matter contribution must therefore be non-baryonic.

