SMU Physics Department Seminar Series: Higgs Particle and Bottom Quark Interactions

Stephen Sekula¹

¹Southern Methodist University Dallas, TX, USA

SEPTEMBER 10, 2018





Outline

The Standard Model

The Large Hadron Collider and the ATLAS Experiment

The Higgs-Bottom Quark Landscape

Within the Standard Model Beyond the Standard Model

Future Directions

Appendix

Theoretical Motivation

The Standard Model



The Standard Model: A Ridiculous Primer



The Standard Model

Higgs-Quark Interactions in the Standard Model

 $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{AL} F^{AL}$ $+ i F \mathcal{B} \mathcal{F}$ + $\not\downarrow_i \not\downarrow_j \not\downarrow_j \not\not= h_c$. + $\left| D_{\mu} \not e \right|^2 - \bigvee (\not e)$

One key parameter of the standard model is the Higgs mass, measured to be $m_H = (124.97 \pm 0.24)$ GeV using ATLAS and CMS Experiment data from 2010-2016.

Higgs-Quark Interactions in the Standard Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{J} \\ &+ \mathcal{J}_i \mathcal{Y}_{ij} \mathcal{J}_j \mathcal{D} + h.c. \\ &+ |\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{D}|^2 - V(\mathcal{D}) \end{aligned}$$

One key parameter of the standard model is the Higgs mass, measured to be $m_H = (124.97 \pm 0.24)$ GeV using ATLAS and CMS Experiment data from 2010-2016.

The Standard Model

Higgs-Quark Interactions in the Standard Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{A} \\ &+ \mathcal{K} \mathcal{D}_{ij} \mathcal{K}_{j} \mathcal{P} + h_{\nu,c} \\ &+ |\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{P}|^{2} - \mathcal{V} (\mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

One key parameter of the standard model is the Higgs mass, measured to be $m_H = (124.97 \pm 0.24)$ GeV using ATLAS and CMS Experiment data from 2010-2016.



Higgs-Quark Interactions in the Standard Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y} \\ &+ \mathcal{Y}_{i} \mathcal{Y}_{ij} \mathcal{Y}_{j} \mathcal{P} + h_{i,c} \\ &+ |D_{\mu} \mathcal{P}|^{2} - V(\mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

One key parameter of the standard model is the Higgs mass, measured to be $m_H = (124.97 \pm 0.24)$ GeV using ATLAS and CMS Experiment data from 2010-2016.



The partial width of the Higgs decay to (heavy) quarks can be written as [1]:

$$\Gamma(H
ightarrow q ar q) ~=~ rac{3 G_\mu}{4 \sqrt{2} \pi} \, M_H \, \overline{m}_q^2(M_H) \left[1 + \Delta_{qq} + \Delta_H^2
ight]$$

where Δ_{qq} and Δ_H hide all the corrections due to the strong coupling constant, Higgs mass, and most quark properties.

Higgs-Quark Interactions in the Standard Model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y} \\ &+ \mathcal{Y}_{i} \mathcal{Y}_{ij} \mathcal{Y}_{j} \mathcal{P} + h.c. \\ &+ |D_{\mu} \mathcal{P}|^2 - V(\mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$$

One key parameter of the standard model is the Higgs mass, measured to be $m_H = (124.97 \pm 0.24)$ GeV using ATLAS and CMS Experiment data from 2010-2016.



The partial width of the Higgs decay to (heavy) quarks can be written as [1]:

$$\Gamma(H o qar{q}) = rac{3G_{\mu}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi} M_H \, \overline{m}_q^2(M_H) \left[1 + \Delta_{qq} + \Delta_H^2
ight]$$

where Δ_{qq} and Δ_H hide all the corrections due to the strong coupling constant, Higgs mass, and most quark properties.

The rate of $H^0 \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ is expected to be about 60%, and is the single-largest decay mode of the Higgs particle. It went unobserved in earlier LHC data due to the difficulty of identifying this Higgs decay. The Large Hadron Collider and the ATLAS Experiment

The Large Hadron Collider and the ATLAS Experiment



S. Sekula (SMU)

SMU — Higgs-Bottom Quark

SEPTEMBER 10, 2018 5



The ATLAS Detector — Schematic Overview



Major SMU contributions:

- Liquid Argon Calorimeter
 - Original readout electronics, Phase I and Phase II upgrade readout electronics
 - Operations and Monitoring
- Trigger/Data Acquisition
 - Data Quality Monitoring Framework
 - Core Software Development and Maintenance
 - Trigger Rate Prediction Monitoring
 - Bottom-quark-initiated jet triggers and online track reconstruction systems

(bold items are places where my students, post-doctoral researchers, and I have played/are playing major roles; bold-underlined are active and ongoing contributions)

p-p Collision Data Collected by the ATLAS Experiment



A rough way of use the chart:

$$L \times \sigma = N \tag{1}$$

Read integrated luminosity (*L*) off chart at any time; get σ , the prediction of the cross-section of a physical process, from your friendly neighborhood theorist (e.g. $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \approx 60 \times 10^3$ fb at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV). Estimate the number of occurrances of that process in your data set. For example, for each 1fb⁻¹ the LHC produces 60×10^3 Higgs bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

For the current running period, 2015-2018, we anticipate having ~ 150 fb⁻¹, which would give us access to \sim 9 million Higgs particles — this is just what the collider would deliver, not what we would capture with ATLAS.

p-p Collision Data Collected by the ATLAS Experiment



A rough way of use the chart:

$$L \times \sigma = N \tag{1}$$

Read integrated luminosity (*L*) off chart at any time; get σ , the prediction of the cross-section of a physical process, from your friendly neighborhood theorist (e.g. $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \approx 60 \times 10^3 \text{ fb}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$). Estimate the number of occurrances of that process in your data set. For example, for each 1 fb⁻¹ the LHC produces 60×10^3 Higgs bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$.

For the current running period, 2015-2018, we anticipate having ~ 150 fb⁻¹, which would give us access to \sim 9 million Higgs particles — this is just what the collider would deliver, not what we would capture with ATLAS.

p-p Collision Data Collected by the ATLAS Experiment



A rough way of use the chart:

$$L \times \sigma = N \tag{1}$$

Read integrated luminosity (*L*) off chart at any time; get σ , the prediction of the cross-section of a physical process, from your friendly neighborhood theorist (e.g. $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \approx 60 \times 10^3 \text{ fb}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$). Estimate the number of occurrances of that process in your data set. For example, for each 1 fb⁻¹ the LHC produces 60×10^3 Higgs bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$.

For the current running period, 2015-2018, we anticipate having \sim 150fb⁻¹, which would give us access to \sim 9 million Higgs particles — this is just what the collider would deliver, not what we would capture with ATLAS.

The Higgs-Bottom Quark Landscape



The Higgs-Bottom Quark Landscape



S. Sekula (SMU

2010-2012: The Run 1 LHC Perspective on Higgs Couplings



We learned about the bottom-quark and Higgs interaction in Run 1 [2] without ever laying eyes, reliably, on a single Higgs particle decaying to bottom quarks (e.g. $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$). This was done via quantum mechanical processes like this:



where bottom quarks "running in the loop" influence the rate of the above process, and have consequences for how often we observed Higgs bosons being produced in Run 1.

2015-2018: Run 2 and the "Edge of a Major Success"



The "gold-plated" approach to direct observation of $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is $pp \rightarrow (W, Z)H^0$ with $W \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu, Z \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu}$, or $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ [3, 4]. By itself, this method is still not definitive but is rapidly approaching that point.

SMU has contributed to discovery by this approach via software framework development and leadership (e.g. speeding development through automated builds of the "Hbb" analysis software and improved analysis software) and improved modeling of a major background process, $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$. PH.D. THESIS: PEILONG WANG

2015-2018: Run 2 and the "Edge of a Major Success"



The "gold-plated" approach to direct observation of $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is $pp \rightarrow (W, Z)H^0$ with $W \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu, Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$, or $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ [3, 4]. By itself, this method is still not definitive but is rapidly approaching that point.





SMU has contributed to discovery by this approach via software framework development and leadership (e.g. speeding development through automated builds of the "Hbb" analysis software and improved analysis software) and improved modeling of a major background process, $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$. PH.D. THESIS: PEILONG WANG

2015-2018: Run 2 and the "Edge of a Major Success"



The "gold-plated" approach to direct observation of $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is $pp \rightarrow (W, Z)H^0$ with $W \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu, Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$, or $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ [3, 4]. By itself, this method is still not definitive but is rapidly approaching that point.





SMU has contributed to discovery by this approach via software framework development and leadership (e.g. speeding development through automated builds of the "Hbb" analysis software and improved analysis software) and improved modeling of a major background process, $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$. PH.D. THESIS: PEILONG WANG

$H ightarrow b ar{b}$ as a tool for new discoveries: "The Tail of the Higgs" and other stories



SMU partnered with the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory to pioneer this analysis in ATLAS, recognizing that there are significant physics improvements to be made in this analysis to improve its scope (e.g. addition of top quark resonances from $t\bar{t}$ and single-top production. *PH.D. THESIS: MATTHEW FEICKERT*)

$H ightarrow b ar{b}$ as a tool for new discoveries: "The Tail of the Higgs" and other stories





SMU partnered with the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory to pioneer this analysis in ATLAS, recognizing that there are significant physics improvements to be made in this analysis to improve its scope (e.g. addition of top quark resonances from $t\bar{t}$ and single-top production. *PH.D. THESIS: MATTHEW FEICKERT*)

$H ightarrow b ar{b}$ as a tool for new discoveries: "The Tail of the Higgs" and other stories





SMU partnered with the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory to pioneer this analysis in ATLAS, recognizing that there are significant physics improvements to be made in this analysis to improve its scope (e.g. addition of top quark resonances from $t\bar{t}$ and single-top production. *PH.D. THESIS: MATTHEW FEICKERT*)



Future Directions



- Bottom-quark identification in dense environments: as the LHC collision intensity climbs over the next 20 years, it will become more important to work harder to "tag" the presence of bottom quarks from Higgs decay in increasingly information-dense environments.
 - "Xbb" tagging, quark/gluon jet-tagging, charm tagging, hadron-level tagging, deep learning applications in jet identification
- Bottom-quark-initiated jet triggers: Heavy quarks and signs of new physics may go hand-in-hand; securing data with such signatures right at the time of data-taking grows in importance over the next 20 years.
 - Implementation of new jet-tagging algorithms in the online system, use of the new hardware-based charged particle reconstruction systems (FTK and HTT), deployment of algorithms in the new ATLAS framework ("AthenaMT"), physics needs and impact of design choices.

- Bottom-quark identification in dense environments: as the LHC collision intensity climbs over the next 20 years, it will become more important to work harder to "tag" the presence of bottom quarks from Higgs decay in increasingly information-dense environments.
 - "Xbb" tagging, quark/gluon jet-tagging, charm tagging, hadron-level tagging, deep learning applications in jet identification
- Bottom-quark-initiated jet triggers: Heavy quarks and signs of new physics may go hand-in-hand; securing data with such signatures right at the time of data-taking grows in importance over the next 20 years.
 - Implementation of new jet-tagging algorithms in the online system, use of the new hardware-based charged particle reconstruction systems (FTK and HTT), deployment of algorithms in the new ATLAS framework ("AthenaMT"), physics needs and impact of design choices.

- Bottom-quark identification in dense environments: as the LHC collision intensity climbs over the next 20 years, it will become more important to work harder to "tag" the presence of bottom quarks from Higgs decay in increasingly information-dense environments.
 - "Xbb" tagging, quark/gluon jet-tagging, charm tagging, hadron-level tagging, deep learning applications in jet identification
- Bottom-quark-initiated jet triggers: Heavy quarks and signs of new physics may go hand-in-hand; securing data with such signatures right at the time of data-taking grows in importance over the next 20 years.
 - Implementation of new jet-tagging algorithms in the online system, use of the new hardware-based charged particle reconstruction systems (FTK and HTT), deployment of algorithms in the new ATLAS framework ("AthenaMT"), physics needs and impact of design choices.

- Bottom-quark identification in dense environments: as the LHC collision intensity climbs over the next 20 years, it will become more important to work harder to "tag" the presence of bottom quarks from Higgs decay in increasingly information-dense environments.
 - "Xbb" tagging, quark/gluon jet-tagging, charm tagging, hadron-level tagging, deep learning applications in jet identification
- Bottom-quark-initiated jet triggers: Heavy quarks and signs of new physics may go hand-in-hand; securing data with such signatures right at the time of data-taking grows in importance over the next 20 years.
 - Implementation of new jet-tagging algorithms in the online system, use of the new hardware-based charged particle reconstruction systems (FTK and HTT), deployment of algorithms in the new ATLAS framework ("AthenaMT"), physics needs and impact of design choices.

So Much To Say (II)

- ► $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ as a tool: we will have definitively established the Higgs-bottom quark coupling as directly observable at the conclusion of Run 2 data analysis (ca. 2019-2020)
 - increased emphasis on probing rare Higgs production processes now that its largest decay mode is reliably established, use of this decay mode for a new round of Higgs property measurements, and emphasis on using this decay mode to distinguish Higgs decays from possible new particles/signatures involving bottom quarks (the "Higgs as background" problem)

So Much To Say (II)

- ► $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ as a tool: we will have definitively established the Higgs-bottom quark coupling as directly observable at the conclusion of Run 2 data analysis (ca. 2019-2020)
 - increased emphasis on probing rare Higgs production processes now that its largest decay mode is reliably established, use of this decay mode for a new round of Higgs property measurements, and emphasis on using this decay mode to distinguish Higgs decays from possible new particles/signatures involving bottom quarks (the "Higgs as background" problem)

SMU ATLAS Group Website

Home People ATLAS Website ATLAS Public Results SMU Preprints Research - Job

THE ATLAS EXPERIMENT AT SMU



What is ATLAS?

ALLIAE structs for -X broket U-D Aquentum' and is one of two magnetizations for a V-D broket U-D Aquentum' and is one of the V-D broket U-D br



The videos in the above playlist will let you learn more about the ATLA Experiment and the people that make it possible.

SMU ATLAS Contributions

https://www.physics.smu.edu/web/research/atlas.html



The Support A Scholar Summer Mit 6 Sinder of sound be

My ATLAS Research Page

Pri Apri D WHI ERU Elexits Main Melecus Mane cares a Manihus Aciada Aractá is Superint Ser AELAS Assaultá – SMU indeepadora nal previo regior Roboca Moor has usuad a Wanihos Scholas Aractá is support for summer reasor's with the MME ATLAS Doog, Bahasa will epidere da datab et dano a ellina is de cares et d'UK terésos, and consider rear debas de tere une el effette el en datab.

Line Mat-

For Taylor Andrice

Current and Past Collaborators



Enderson Moory Understandante Bouaryber, Elandron

is the study of highly baseded R--bh republicies.

Rest description in the second Nabelar Spring and Summer 2018, Study of above Level Trigger and Higgs and herey-flever physics, with amphasis on the study of Baseland Model Evolts. Bit of the two small





Higgs could be adopted at the provide and a trans-Higgs could be adopted at the work is new utilized in ATLAS physics and your and Part Collaborations











substructure numbers of the 128-to jets from herey boson decay, specifically in an eWE - 2015. Dec





Opportunities at SMU

If you are interested in any of these mean planes consider and the to see PM If you are intervened in any of these areas, please smokes performed to our DAD program, and perioding your research with me as the ATLAS Experiment, or (1) you? when you perform a minimum encourable, your PAD you doubt consider any interview





https://www.physics.smu.edu/sekula/atlas/





APPENDIX



S. Sekula (SML

Appendix



Motivation for $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$



Appendix Theoretical Motivation

Expected Higgs Decays — Standard Model Picture



A visual representation of the expected pattern of decay for $m_H = 125$ GeV. The areas of final-states are relatively proportional to the expected rate of $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$.

Solid lines indicate channels that have been directly observed in data as of the spring of 2017. Dashed lines indicate those channels that had not yet been observed at that time.

$H ightarrow b ar{b}$ Example Feynman Diagrams



The Feynman diagrams above are from Ref. [1]. These illustrate examples of the leading-order QCD corrections to the Born-level (tree-level) diagram with a single vertex.

$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ Partial Width

In the standard model, the partial width of the Higgs decay to (heavy) quarks is given at NNLO in QCD by [1]:

$$\Gamma(H o qar{q}) ~=~ rac{3G_\mu}{4\sqrt{2}\pi}\,M_H\,\overline{m}_q^2(M_H)\left[1+\Delta_{qq}+\Delta_H^2
ight]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{qq} &= 5.67 \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\pi} + (35.94 - 1.36 N_f) \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s^2}{\pi^2} \\ &+ (164.14 - 25.77 N_f + 0.26 N_f^2) \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s^3}{\pi^3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta_H^2 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s^2}{\pi^2} \left(1.57 - \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{M_H^2}{m_t^2} + \frac{1}{9} \log^2 \frac{\overline{m}_q^2}{M_H^2} \right)$$

(2)

(3)

(4)

Comments on the partial width in the standard model

- The partial width depends on well-established fundamental constants, the running quark mass, and the mass of the Higgs boson
- The established Higgs mass is 125.09 GeV based on the Run 1 ATLAS+CMS combination
- At the measured value of the mass, the full width is 4.100 MeV (with an uncertainty of about 2%)
- Focus on the "Full QCD curve" at measured m_H, Γ_{bb} ≈ 2.5 MeV yielding B_{bb} ≈ 60%



State-of-the-art branching ratio calculation

The state-of-the-art branching fraction calculations for $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ include next-to-leading order (NLO) electroweak corrections, as well as massless QCD corrections up to NNNNLO (c.f. Ref. [5, 6]). The current prediction in the SM for $m_H = 125.09$ GeV is:

$$\mathcal{B}(H \to b\bar{b}) = 0.5809 \pm 0.65\% (\text{THU})^{+0.72\%}_{-0.74\%} (\text{PU}) (m_q)^{+0.77\%}_{-0.79\%} (\text{PU}) (\alpha_s)$$
(5)

where "THU" refers to theory undertainties due to missing higher-order corrections, "PU(m_q)" to parametric uncertainties from the quark masses, and "PU(α_s)" to parametric uncertainties from the strong coupling constant.

Production Mechanisms



The above are the leading-order diagrams [1] for the leading Higgs production mechanisms at the LHC. Clock-wise from upper-left, these are: vector boson associated (VBA) production, vector boson fusion (VBF), $(t\bar{t}/b\bar{b})H$ production, and gluon fusion (ggF).

Mode	Cross-section (pb)
ggF	48.52
VBF	3.779
WH	1.369
ZH	0.8824
ttH	0.5065
bbH	0.4863
$(\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV } [5])$	

References I

- [1] A. Djouadi, "The Anatomy of electro-weak symmetry breaking. I: The Higgs boson in the standard model," *Phys. Rept.* 457 (2008) 1–216, arXiv:hep-ph/0503172 [hep-ph].
- [2] ATLAS and C. Collaborations, "Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and constraints on its couplings from a combined ATLAS and CMS analysis of the LHC *pp* collision data at √s = 7 and 8 TeV," arXiv:1606.02266. http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.02266http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)045.
- [3] T. ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ decays and VH production with the ATLAS detector," *ATLAS-CONF-2018-036* (2018) 50. https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/ CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2018-036/ATLAS-CONF-2018-036.pdf.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et al.*, "Observation of $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays and VH production with the ATLAS detector," arXiv:1808.08238 [hep-ex].
- [5] The LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group Collaboration, D. de Florian *et al.*, "Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector," arXiv:1610.07922 [hep-ph].

References II

[6] A. Denner, S. Heinemeyer, I. Puljak, D. Rebuzzi, and M. Spira, "Standard Model Higgs-Boson Branching Ratios with Uncertainties," *Eur. Phys. J.* C71 (2011) 1753, arXiv:1107.5909 [hep-ph].