DayaBay Reactor Neutrino Experiment

Brandon Seilhan March 28, 2011



Transforming Lives.Inventing the Future.www.iit.edu

Outline



- Neutrinos
 - Flavors and Masses
 - Oscillation
- DayaBay
 - Experiment Goals
 - Short Term Physics Potentials
 - Predicting the Reactor Anti Neutrino Flux
 - "4 Month Sensitivities"



Neutrinos

What is a neutrino?





- No "electric" charge (electro-magnetic force)
- No "color" charge (strong force)
- They do have "flavor" charge (weak force)
- They do have some mass (gravity)

- Relic neutrinos 100/cm³ @ 2K
- Influence nucleo-synthesis and CMB
- Required to explain SN explosions
- Neutrino astronomy is a growing field
- Matter-Antimatter asymmetry
- They are one of the fundamental particles

Brandon Seilhan, IIT











р By Definition: n An electron flavored neutrino is what is W Some created alongside an electron flavored v_e≽ "Short" lepton Distance By Definition: р n An electron flavored neutrino was captured to produce an electron flavored lepton





Flavor and Mass states are related by a unitary mixing matrix.

$$|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} |\nu_{i}\rangle$$
 $|\nu_{i}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha i} |\nu_{\alpha}\rangle$





Flavor and Mass states are related by a unitary mixing matrix.

$$|v_{\alpha}\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} |v_{i}\rangle$$
 $|v_{i}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha i} |v_{\alpha}\rangle$

For the 3 flavor case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_{e} \\ v_{\mu} \\ v_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

PMNS Matrix (3 flavor case)



Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.5 & U_{e3} \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

6 Free parameters: 3 real mixing angles , 3 complex phases



Brandon Seilhan, IIT

PMNS Matrix (3 flavor case)



Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.5 & U_{e3} \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\alpha_1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha_2/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

PMNS Matrix (3 flavor case)



1

Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.5 & U_{e3} \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\alpha_{1}/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha_{2}/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

U



 $\sin^{2} (2\theta_{12}) = 0.87 \pm 0.03$ $\Delta m_{21}^{2} = (7.59 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{2}$ $\sin^{2} (2\theta_{23}) > 0.92$ $\left| \Delta m_{32}^{2} \right| = (2.43 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}$ $\sin^{2} (2\theta_{13}) < 0.15, \text{CL} = 90\%$

2010 PDG

Picture Version





Neutrino Oscillation













Is Detected as flavor β

Brandon Seilhan, IIT





Is Detected as flavor β

Brandon Seilhan, IIT





According to Quantum Mechanics...





Propagates with

Propagation of Mass





In the rest frame of the neutrino:

$$-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|v_{i}(\tau_{i})\rangle = m_{i}|v_{i}(\tau_{i})\rangle$$



In the rest frame of the neutrino:

$$-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|v_{i}(\tau_{i})\rangle = m_{i}|v_{i}(\tau_{i})\rangle$$

Which has the solution: $|v_i(\tau_i)\rangle = e^{-im_i\tau_i} |v_i(0)\rangle$



In the rest frame of the neutrino:

$$-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |v_i(\tau_i)\rangle = m_i |v_i(\tau_i)\rangle$$

Which has the solution: $|v_i(\tau_i)\rangle = e^{-im_i\tau_i} |v_i(0)\rangle$

Which can be rewritten:

$$\left| v_{i}(\tau_{i}) \right\rangle = e^{-i \frac{m_{i}^{2}L}{2E}} \left| v_{i}(0) \right\rangle$$









$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) = \left| \mathrm{Amp}(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) \right|^{2} = \left(\sum_{i} \mathbf{U}_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-\mathrm{i}m_{i}^{2}\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2\mathrm{E}}} \mathbf{U}_{\beta i} \right) \left(\sum_{j} \mathbf{U}_{\alpha j} e^{\mathrm{i}m_{j}^{2}\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2\mathrm{E}}} \mathbf{U}_{\beta j}^{*} \right)$$



$$\operatorname{Amp}(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \sum_{i} \operatorname{Amp}\left[\begin{array}{c} & I_{\alpha} & & I_{\beta} \\ \bullet & & I_{\alpha i} & & I_{\beta i} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) = \left| \operatorname{Amp}(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) \right|^{2} = \left(\sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-im_{i}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta i} \right) \left(\sum_{j} U_{\alpha j} e^{im_{j}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta j}^{*} \right)$$

$$P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \Re\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right) + 2\Im\sum_{i>j}\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}\right)$$



$$\operatorname{Amp}(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \sum_{i} \operatorname{Amp}\left[\begin{array}{c} & l_{\alpha} & & l_{\beta} \\ \bullet & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & &$$

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) = \left| \operatorname{Amp}(\mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{v}_{\beta}) \right|^{2} = \left(\sum_{i} \mathbf{U}_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-im_{i}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{L}}{2E}} \mathbf{U}_{\beta i} \right) \left(\sum_{j} \mathbf{U}_{\alpha j} e^{im_{j}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{L}}{2E}} \mathbf{U}_{\beta j}^{*} \right)$$

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \Re\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right) + 2\Im\sum_{i>j}\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}\right)$$

$$P\left(\overline{v_{\alpha}} \to \overline{v_{\beta}}\right) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \Re\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{ij}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right) - 2\Im\sum_{i>j}\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin\left(\Delta m_{ij}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}\right)$$

Brandon Seilhan, IIT



$$\operatorname{Amp}(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \sum_{i} \operatorname{Amp}\left[\begin{array}{c} & I_{\alpha} & & I_{\beta} \\ \bullet & & I_{\alpha i} & & Prop(\nu_{i}) & & U_{\beta i} \end{array} \right]$$

$$P(v_{\alpha} \to v_{\beta}) = \left| \operatorname{Amp}(v_{\alpha} \to v_{\beta}) \right|^{2} = \left(\sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-im_{i}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta i} \right) \left(\sum_{j} U_{\alpha j} e^{im_{j}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta j}^{*} \right)$$

$$P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \Re\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right) + 2\Im\sum_{i>j} \left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}\right)$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{CPViolating Term If U is imaginary!} P(\overline{v_{\alpha}} \to \overline{v_{\beta}}) \stackrel{\text{CPT}}{=} P(v_{\beta} \to v_{\alpha}) = P(v_{\alpha} \to v_{\beta}, U \to U^{*}) \\ & P(\overline{v_{\alpha}} \to \overline{v_{\beta}}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \Re\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{ij}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right) - 2\Im\sum_{i>j}\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin\left(\Delta m_{ij}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}\right) \end{aligned}$

Brandon Seilhan, IIT



In The 3 neutrino case we have an explicit representation of U

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \left| e^{-im_{1}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} Amp^{*} (\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) \right|^{2} = \left| \sum_{i} e^{-i\Delta m_{1}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\alpha j} e^{i\Delta m_{i}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta j}^{*} \right|^{2}$$



$$\begin{split} P\left(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}\right) &= 4 \left[\left| U_{\alpha 2} U_{\beta 2} \right|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\Delta m_{21}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) + \left| U_{\alpha 3} U_{\beta 3} \right|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\Delta m_{31}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + 2 \left| U_{\alpha 2} U_{\beta 2} U_{\alpha 3} U_{\beta 3} \right| \sin \left(\Delta m_{21}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) \sin \left(\Delta m_{31}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) \cos \left(\Delta m_{32}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} + \delta_{32} \right) \right] \end{split}$$



$$P(v_{\alpha} \to v_{\beta}) = 4 \left[\left| U_{\alpha 2} U_{\beta 2} \right|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\Delta m_{21}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) + \left| U_{\alpha 3} U_{\beta 3} \right|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\Delta m_{31}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) \right]$$
$$+ 2 \left| U_{\alpha 2} U_{\beta 2} U_{\alpha 3} U_{\beta 3} \right| \sin \left(\Delta m_{21}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) \sin \left(\Delta m_{31}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} \right) \cos \left(\Delta m_{32}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} + \delta_{32} \right) \right]$$

$$P\left(\overline{\nu_{\alpha}} \to \overline{\nu_{\beta}}\right) = 4\left[\left|U_{\alpha 2}U_{\beta 2}\right|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{21}^{2} \frac{L}{4E}\right) + \left|U_{\alpha 3}U_{\beta 3}\right|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{31}^{2} \frac{L}{4E}\right)\right. \\ \left. + 2\left|U_{\alpha 2}U_{\beta 2}U_{\alpha 3}U_{\beta 3}\right|\sin\left(\Delta m_{21}^{2} \frac{L}{4E}\right)\sin\left(\Delta m_{31}^{2} \frac{L}{4E}\right)\cos\left(\Delta m_{32}^{2} \frac{L}{4E} - \delta_{32}\right)\right]\right]$$



$$+2\left|U_{\alpha 2}U_{\beta 2}U_{\alpha 3}U_{\beta 3}\right|\sin\left(\Delta m_{21}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right)\sin\left(\Delta m_{31}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right)\cos\left(\Delta m_{32}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}-\delta_{32}\right)\right]$$





Brandon Seilhan, IIT
Survival Probability (E dependent)



 $\bar{\nu_e}$ Survival Probability 1.0 8.0 0.6 $E_{\bar{\nu_e}} = 1.8 MeV$ 0.4 $E_{\bar{\nu_e}} = 3.0 MeV$ 0.8 $E_{\bar{
u_e}}\!=\!5.0 MeV$ 0.2 0.6 $E_{\bar{\nu_e}} = 8.0 MeV$ 0.4 $E_{\bar{\nu_{*}}}$ Averaged Over 1.8-8 MeVTotal 0.0° 10² 0.2 10⁴ 10³ 10⁵ Distance [m]

Previous plot averaged over energies. Each energy bin has a slightly different survival probability



Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Signal Size





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Spectral Distortion





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Previous Reactor Experiments



$$P\left(\overline{v_{e}} \to \overline{v_{e}}\right) = 1 - \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2} L}{4E}\right) - \cos^{4} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^{2} L}{4E}\right)$$



Look for a deficit of anti-neutrino events by predicting the expected flux.

Brandon Seilhan, IIT





Location





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Experimental Halls





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Where Does DayaBay Fit In?





KamLAND Collaboration et al. First Results from KamLAND: Evidence for Reactor Antineutrino Disappearance. Physical Review Letters (2003) vol. 90 (2) pp. 021802

Brandon Seilhan, IIT





































Brandon Seilhan, IIT





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Coincidence Method





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Neutron Capture + Coincidence Signal





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Measured IBD Spectrum

The Measured **Spectrum** is the convolution of the **Incoming** Flux and IBD **Cross Section.**







Brandon Seilhan, IIT





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

















3 Zone Detector

Target Mass:

20t per Detector

Detector Mass: ~110t

192 (+8 calibration) PMTS

Energy Resolution 12%/ \sqrt{E}

Site	Rate (per AD per Day)	AD's
DBNear	840	2 (40 ton)
LANear	760	2 (40 ton)
Far	90	4 (80 ton)





Detection Efficiency

Prompt e⁺ Signal

I MeV cut for prompt positrons: >99%, uncertainty negligible

Delayed n Signal

6 MeV cut for delayed neutrons: 91.5%, uncertainty 0.22% assuming 1% energy uncertainty



Brandon Seilhan, IIT



Backgrounds





(Normalized to area)

• Fast Neutron

- Proton recoil + neutron capture.
- ⁹Li/⁸He
 - 49.5% / 16% branching fraction for beta decay followed by neutron emission.

Accidental

 Natural Radiation + neutron Capture.

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Near Site Background Rate



With background to signal ratio of 0.3%

Brandon Seilhan, IIT



The water pools are the first of two systems to veto muons and help suppress backgrounds



• Water Cherenkov detector

- ~1000 total pmt's
- IPMT/8m² (inner)
- IPMT/6-7m² (outer)
- Divided into 2 optically separated regions
 - 2 region design provides independent triggers
 - When combined give a 98% muon efficiency

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Resistive Plate Chambers



The second system consists of 4 layers of RPC's that cover the entire water pool

- •1512 RPC's
 - 189 modules (8 RPC's per module)
 - Modules overlap to eliminate dead space

•6048 readout strips

- 4 readout strips per RPC
- Each strip is 2m x 25cm
- Zigzag design (6cm pitch)

•4 layer structure

- Trigger on 3 of 4 layers hit
- Alternate direction
- 0.5m spatial resolution



Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Muon Detection Efficiency



RPC

Trigger Threshold

	Layer	≥ 1	<u>≥</u> 2	<u>></u> 3	<u>≥</u> 4
	1	95%			
Total	2	99.75%	90.25%		
Planes	3	99.987%	99.275%	85.74%	
	4	99.999%	99.952%	98.598%	81.45%

Water Pool



Using an 11 pmt multiplicity trigger in the water pool and requiring 3 of 4 RPC layers register a hit gives a combined muon detection efficiency of over 99%

Water Pool + RPC

	Pool Only	Pool+RPC
Near	98.85±0.12%	99.43±0.09%
Far	98.81±0.12%	99.44±0.08%

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

DayaBay -- Tour





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

DayaBay -- Tour





DayaBay -- Tour





© 2010 The Regents of the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

DayaBay -- Tour





© 2010 The Regents of the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Brandon Seilhan, IIT
Sensitivity / Schedule



Upcoming Milestones

- Summer 2011: Daya Bay near hall physics ready
- Fall 2012: all near/far halls physics ready





Near Site Physics



- Data is available soon because we will take DBNear site data while commissioning the other two halls.
- High event rate (840 / module / day)
- No Near/Far Cancellation, need a very good understanding of Reactor Flux.
- Non-optimal Baseline.



- First "real" chance to test identicalness.
- Observe reactors:
 - Burn-up
 - Shutdowns
 - Power Fluctuations
- Single site Theta 13 Measurement.

Flux Predictions



Brandon Seilhan, IIT



Fission Rates





Fission Rate of 6 Larget Contributing Isotopes



Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Fission Fractions





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Rate by Isotope



Using a "standard" core: [0.570:0.078:0.295:0.057]



Fuel Cycle





IBD Rate





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Reactor Shutdowns





IAEA: OPERATING EXPERIENCE WITH NUCLEAR POWER STATIONS IN MEMBER STATES IN 2004-2009

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Shutdown Observation





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Shutdown





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Theta 13 Sensitivity





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Live time



Live time takes into account 80% uptime



Brandon Seilhan, IIT



$$\chi^{2} = \min_{\gamma} \sum_{A=1}^{N_{\text{det}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{bins}}} \frac{\left[M_{i}^{A} - T_{i}^{A} \left(1 + \alpha_{c} + \sum_{r=0}^{N_{\text{cores}}} \omega_{r}^{A} \alpha_{r} + \beta_{i} + \varepsilon_{D} + \varepsilon_{d}^{A} \right) - \eta_{f}^{A} F_{i}^{A} - \eta_{n}^{A} N_{i}^{A} - \eta_{s}^{A} S_{i}^{A} \right]^{2}}{T_{i}^{A} + \left(\sigma_{\text{b2b}} T_{i}^{A} \right)^{2}}$$

$$+\frac{\alpha_c^2}{\sigma_c^2} + \sum_{r=0}^{N_{\text{cores}}} \frac{\alpha_r^2}{\sigma_r^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\text{bins}}} \frac{\beta_i^2}{\sigma_{shp}^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_D^2}{\sigma_D^2} + \sum_{A=0}^{N_{\text{dets}}} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_d^A}{\sigma_d}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\eta_f^A}{\sigma_f^A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\eta_n^A}{\sigma_n^A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\eta_s^A}{\sigma_s^A}\right)^2 \right]$$

Parameter	Uncertainty Description	Value
σ_{b2b}	Bin to bin uncorrelated	0.003
σ_c	Reactor correlated	0.02
σ_r	Reactor uncorrelated	0.02
σ_{shp}	Reactor flux shape	0.02
σ_D	Detector Correlated	0.02
σ_d	Detector uncorrelated	0.0038
σ_{f}	Fast Neutron	1.0
σ_n	Accidental	1.0
σ_s	⁸ He/ ⁹ Li	0.003





SMU Seminar





Detector Correlated



Examples: H/Gd ratio, H/C ratio, neutron capture time, spill in / spill out



Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Detector Uncorrelated





Brandon Seilhan, IIT



Source of uncertainty		Chooz	Daya Bay (relative)		
		(absolute)	Baseline	Goal	Goal w/Swapping
# protons		0.8	0.3	0.1	0.006
Detector	Energy cuts	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.1
Efficiency	Position cuts	0.32	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Time cuts	0.4	0.1	0.03	0.03
	H/Gd ratio	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
	n multiplicity	0.5	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Trigger	0	0.01	0.01	0.01
	Live time	0	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Total detector-related uncertainty		1.7%	0.38%	0.18%	0.12%

9Li / 8He





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Other Backgrounds





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Bin-to-bin





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Reactor Flux Shape

In Full running this has a much lower impact because the nearsites will



"measure" the flux much better than 2 %

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Uncertainty Summary





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

- Oscillation experiments give a unique handle on weakly interacting neutrinos.
- With full running scheduled for Fall 2012 the goal sensitivity can be reached as early as 2014.
- In the near term, interesting physics measurements can be made using near site data taken during commissioning of the remaining sights.







Thank You





Backup

Dry-Run Results





Propagator



Rest
frame
$$P_i = (m_i, 0)$$

 $x = (\tau_i, 0)$ $P_i = (E_i, \vec{p_i})$
 $x = (t, \vec{x})$ Lab
frame $m_i \tau_i = Et - p_i L$

Phase Difference: $\delta \phi = (p_1 - p_2)L - (E_1 - E_2)t$

Average Velocity: $\overline{v} = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{E_1 - E_2}$ $\delta \phi = (p_1 - p_2)L - \frac{(E_1^2 - E_2^2)}{(p_1 + p_2)}L - (E_1 - E_2)\delta t \implies \delta \phi = \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{2E}$ $prop(v_i) = e^{-i\frac{m_i^2 L}{2E}}$

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

3 Neutrino Mixing



In General:
$$\operatorname{Amp}(v_{\alpha} \to v_{\beta}) = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i}^{*} e^{-im_{i}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta i}$$

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) = \left| e^{-im_{1}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} Amp^{*} (\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}) \right|^{2} = \left| \sum_{i} e^{-i\Delta m_{1}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\alpha j} e^{i\Delta m_{i}^{2} \frac{L}{2E}} U_{\beta j} \right|^{2}$$

$$P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \Re\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin^{2}\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{4E}\right) + 2\Im\sum_{i>j}\left(U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}^{*}\right) \sin\left(\Delta m_{i j}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}\right)$$

Coverage From DayaBay





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

SMU Seminar

Muon Flux (Hz/m²)

Muon Mean Energy (GeV)

	7	7

Detailed topo map, modified Gaisser formula, and MUSIC



1.16

55

0.73

60

0.041

138





Water Pool Efficiency





Brandon Seilhan, IIT
IBD Cross Section



Brandon Seilhan, IIT



Fuel Cycles

$$^{238}U(n,\gamma)^{239}U$$

$${}^{239}U \xrightarrow{T_{1/2}=23\min} {}^{239}Np$$

$${}^{239}Np \xrightarrow[E_v]{T_{1/2}=2.4 \text{ days}}{E_v^{max}=0.71 \text{ MeV}} \rightarrow {}^{239}Pu$$

$$^{U}N\Big|_{E>1.8 \text{ MeV}} = 0$$

Isotope Yields





Fig. 1. (a) Reactor \bar{v}_e energy spectra for four main fissile isotopes [5]. The shaded region for the isotopes gives the uncertainty in the spectrum. (b) Cross-section of the inverse β -decay reaction [4]. (c) \bar{v}_e observed no-oscillation spectrum for each fissile isotope; this is a convolution of (a) and (b).

Nakajima et al. Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research (2006)

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Reactor





Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Core Data



2 - TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

TECHNICAL DATA

Power NSSS rated thermal output Gross electrical output	2905 MWth 990 Mwe	
Reactor Containment		
Туре	Single Containment	
Inside diameter	37 m	
Wall thickness	0.90 m	
Overall height (from ground level)	59.4 m	
Overall internal volume	60000 m ³	
Overall internal free volume	50000 m ³	
Reactor Core		
Core rated thermal output	2895 MWth	
Core damage frequency	5 x 10 ⁻⁶ reactor-year	
Number of fuel assemblies	157	
Total weight of Uranium	72.5 t	
Rod cluster control available locations (equipped with CRDMs)	61	
Main Primary System		
Number of reactor coolant loops	3	
Number of CRDMs	61	
Reactor coolant system operating pressure	155 bar	
Reactor coolant temperature at RPV inlet	292.4°C	
Reactor coolant temperature at RPV outlet	327.0°0	
Steam pressure at SC outlet at nominal power	~ 67.1 bar	
Steam flow rate	207.1 Dai 1614 kg/s	
Steam humidity at SG outlet	< 0.25%	
Reactor coolant pump type	Model 100	
Reactor coolant pump nominal flow	23790 m ³ /h	
r r r		

Areva Press Release

Brandon Seilhan, IIT

Fuel Pin and Assembly





ORNLTM-9591

Brandon Seilhan, IIT



	Daya Bay Near	Ling Ao Near	Far Hall
Baseline (m)	363	481 from Ling Ao	1985 from Daya Bay
		526 from Ling Ao II	1615 from Ling Ao
Overburden (m)	98	112	350
Radioactivity (Hz)	<50	<50	<50
Muon rate (Hz)	36	22	1.2
Antineutrino Signal (events/day)	840	740	90
Accidental Background/Signal (%)	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.1
Fast neutron Background/Signal (%)	0.1	0.1	0.1
⁸ He+ ⁹ Li Background/Signal (%)	0.3	0.2	0.2